

Rural Healthcare Systems: An Analysis of the Challenges of accessing healthcare facilities among the rural households of Kerala

Krishnan Kutty. V.

Assistant Professor of Economics, Government College, Kodanchery – Kozhikode – Kerala.

Mail: kkmapprom@gmail.com

DOI: 10.29322/IJSRP.11.10.2021.p11837

<http://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.11.10.2021.p11837>

Abstract

According to US congress (1988), “The access to healthcare is defined as the potential and actual entry of a group of population in to the health and healthcare delivery system”. That the ability to utilize the healthcare facilities and the availability of qualitative care were basically determine the accessibility of healthcare facilities. Regression and the Structural Equation Model (SEM) used to test the hypothesis and analyze the objective. The workforce shortage (0.434), poor health literacy (0.513), poor service offered (0.593), poor health workers attitude (0.489), cost of health services (0.404), insufficient skills of health workers (0.696) is the most of the challenging factor for accessing healthcare services/facilities.

Keywords: Accessibility, Healthcare, variable, Regression and Factor analysis

Introduction

Access to health, would depend on availability, awareness, affordability and the accessibility to healthcare services” (Uplekar and George – 1994). The access to healthcare affects one’s own overall physical, social, mental status and the quality of life. Inaccessibility of healthcare services create the inequality in the society; especially among the rural people. The inequalities in the access to health services are the barrier to equity, inequality in availability, affordable and utilization of health care. The barriers to accessing healthcare services are unmet health needs, delay of receiving the appropriate care, inability to get preventive services. financial burden and the preventable hospitalization. Therefore, the challenges of accessing healthcare facilities for healthcare services among the rural households across the Kerala are categories in to eight items like the workforce shortage(C1), poor health literacy(C2), and poor services offered(C3), poor health workers attitude(C4), cost of services(C5), insufficient skills of health workers(C6), cultural practices(C7) and the distance to the healthcare center(C8).

Objective of the study

To analyse the Challenges of accessing healthcare service/facilities among the rural households.

General hypothesis

There is no significant difference between the Challenges of accessing categories in the healthcare service/facilities.

Methodology of the study

Both primary and secondary data were used and primary data were collected from the 675 rural households by using structured interview schedule.

Tools used for analysis

Regression and the Structural Equation Model (SEM) used to test the hypothesis and evaluate the objective. For the analysis initially an input model was developed by using AMOS-18 graphics. The rectangle represents observed factors, ovals in drawn in the diagram represents unobserved variable. The curved double headed arrows represent correlations or co-variances among the unobserved variables and the straight headed arrow represents the factor loadings of the observed variables. The small circles with arrows pointing from the circles to the observed variables represent errors /unique factors, which are also known as squared multiple correlation of the standard error. This initial model is refined to reach the final model. Firstly, consider measurement model of factors to test the convergent validity and then considered the various factors under awareness regarding the consumer rights.

Major results of the analysis

In order to analyse the challenges of accessing healthcare facilities among the rural households, the alternative hypothesis is made on the challenges of accessing categories were:

- H₁:** C1 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities
- H₂:** C2 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities
- H₃:** C3 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities
- H₄:** C4 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities
- H₅:** C5 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities
- H₆:** C6 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities
- H₇:** C7 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities
- H₈:** C8 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities

Table 1

Model fit Indices for CFA – Challenges of accessing healthcare facilities

	χ^2	DF	P	Normed χ^2	GFI	AGFI	NFI	TLI	CFI	RMR	RMSEA
Challenges of accessing healthcare facilities	9.364	11	.588	.851	.997	.989	.988	1.006	1.00	.008	0.00
Recommended value				<5	>0.9	>0.9	>0.9	>0.9	>0.9	<1	<1

Source:
 Primary Data
 All the

attributes/categories loaded significantly on the latent constructs. The value of the fit indices indicates a reasonable fit of the measurement model with data. The multiple regression model for the challenges of accessing healthcare facilities and in table 2, present the regression coefficients for the challenges of accessing healthcare services.

$$Y = \beta_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3 + \beta_4X_4 + \beta_5X_5 + \beta_6X_6 + \beta_7X_7 + \beta_8X_8 + \beta_9X_9$$

Y = Challenges of accessing healthcare facilities

β_1, \dots, β_9 = Regression coefficients

X₂ = Workforce shortage

X₃ = Poor health literacy

X₄ = Poor service offered

X₅ = Poor health workers' attitude

X₆ = Cost of services

X₇ = Insufficient skills of health workers

X₈ = Cultural practices

X₉ = Distance to the healthcare center

Table 2

The regression Coefficients - Challenges of accessing healthcare facilities

Factors/ Latent Variables (Dependent Variable)	Construct (Independent Variable)	Regression Coefficient	C.R.	P	Variance explained (%)
Challenges of accessing healthcare facilities	C1	0.434	12.049	<0.001	18.8
	C2	0.513	14.693	<0.001	26.3
	C3	0.593	17.687	<0.001	23.9
	C4	0.489	13.862	<0.001	9.2
	C5	0.404	15.138	<0.001	15.5
	C6	0.696	22.281	<0.001	3.0
	C7	0.172	4.504	<0.001	1.9
	C8	0.139	3.627	<0.001	35.1

Source: Primary Data

H₁: C1 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities

The results exhibited in Table 2 revealed that the regulatory construct C1 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities as the standardised direct effect of this construct on Challenges is 0.434, which is more than the recommended value of 0.4 (p value significant). So that the hypothesis H₁ is accepted and concludes that C1 (Work force shortage) is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities.

H₂: C2 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities

The results exhibited in Table 2 revealed that the regulatory construct C2 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities as the standardised direct effect of this construct on Challenges is 0.513, which is more than the recommended value of 0.4 (p value

significant). Therefore, the hypothesis H₂ is accepted and concludes that C2 (Poor health literacy) is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities.

H₃: C3 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities

The results exhibited in Table 2 revealed that the regulatory construct C3 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities as the standardised direct effect of this construct on Challenges is 0.593, which is more than the recommended value of 0.4 (p value significant). Hence, the hypothesis H₃ is accepted and concludes that C3 (Poor services offered) is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities.

H₄: C4 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities

The results exhibited in Table 2 revealed that the regulatory construct C4 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities as the standardised direct effect of this construct on Challenges is 0.489, which is more than the recommended value of 0.4 (p value significant). So that, the hypothesis H₄ is accepted and concludes that C4 (Poor health workers attitude) is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities.

H₅: C5 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities

The results exhibited in Table 2 revealed that the regulatory construct C5 is not a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities as the standardised direct effect of this construct on Challenges is 0.404, which is more than the recommended value of 0.4 (p value significant) So the hypothesis H₅ is accepted and concludes that C5 (Cost of health services) is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities.

H₆: C6 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities

The results exhibited in Table 2 revealed that the regulatory construct C6 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities as the standardised direct effect of this construct on Challenges is 0.696, which is more than the recommended value of 0.4 (p value significant). Therefore, the hypothesis H₆ is accepted and concludes that C6 (Insufficient skills of health workers) is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities.

H₇: C7 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities

The results exhibited in Table 2 revealed that the regulatory construct C7 is not a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities as the standardised direct effect of this construct on Challenges is 0.172, which is less than the recommended value of 0.4. So the hypothesis H₇ is rejected and concludes that C7 (Cultural belief/Practices) is not a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities.

H₈: C8 is a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities

The results exhibited in Table 2 revealed that the regulatory construct C8 is not a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities as the standardised direct effect of this construct on Challenges is 0.139, which is less than the recommended value of 0.4. So, the hypothesis H₈ is rejected and concludes that C8 (Distance to the healthcare center) is not a challenge of accessing healthcare facilities.

Therefore, the fitted multiple regression coefficient shows that the cultural practices and the distance to the healthcare centre is not a challenging factor for accessing the healthcare facilities.

$$Y = \beta_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3 + \beta_4X_4 + \beta_5X_5 + \beta_6X_6 + \beta_7X_7 + \beta_8X_8 + \beta_9X_9$$

$$Y = \beta_1 + 0.434X_2 + 0.513X_3 + 0.593X_4 + 0.489X_5 + 0.404X_6 + 0.696X_7 + \beta_8X_8$$

Conclusion

Based on the regression coefficient, conclude that; workforce shortage (0.434), poor health literacy (0.513), poor service offered (0.593), poor health workers attitude (0.489), cost of health services (0.404), insufficient skills of health workers (0.696) is the most of the challenging factor for accessing healthcare services/facilities and Cultural belief/practices (0.172) and distance to the healthcare center (0.139) is not a challenging factor for accessing healthcare facilities.

This publication is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.11.10.2021.p11837>

www.ijsrp.org

Reference

R. Penchansky and J.W.Thomas (1981) “ The concept of access”, *Med care*, 19 (2) – pp 127 – 140.

A. Oliver and E. Mossialos (2004) “Equity of access to health care: Outlining the foundation for action”, *Journal of Epidemiol community health* – 55, pp 655 – 658.

Simon, T D (2007) *Healthcare Accessibility and Socio – Economic Groups: A study of Kerala*, Phd thesis, university of Calicut.

Strasser, Roger (2003),” *Rural health around the world: Challenges and Solutions*” *Family Practice*, Oxford University Press, 20 (4), 457 – 463.

Pillai RK, Williams SV, Glick HA et al. 2003. Factors affecting decisions to seek treatment for sick children in Kerala, India. *Social Science and Medicine* 57: 783–90.

Munoz UH, Kallestal C. Geographical accessibility and spatial coverage modeling of the primary health care network in the Western Province of Rwanda. *Int J Health Geogr*, 2012; 11:40–50.

Kronfol NM. Access and barriers to health care delivery in Arab countries: a review. *East Mediterr Health J*, 2012; 18: 1239–1246.

