

Global Accurate Domination in Graphs

V.R.Kulli *, M.B.Kattimani**

* Department of Mathematics, Gulbarga University, Gulbarga 585 106, India

** Department of Mathematics, The Oxford College of Engineering, Hosur Road, Bangalore 560 068, India

Abstract- A dominating set D of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is an *accurate dominating set*, if $V-D$ has no dominating set of cardinality $|D|$. An accurate dominating set D of a graph G is a *global accurate dominating set*, if D is also an accurate dominating set of \bar{G} . The *global accurate domination number* $\gamma_{ga}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a global accurate dominating set. In this paper, some bounds for $\gamma_{ga}(G)$ are obtained and exact values of $\gamma_{ga}(G)$ for some standard graphs are found. Also a Nordhaus-Gaddum type result is established.

Index Terms- accurate dominating set, global accurate dominating set, global accurate domination number.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C.

I. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered here are finite, undirected without loops and multiple edges. Any undefined term in this paper may be found in Kulli [1].

A set D of vertices in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a *dominating set* of G if every vertex in $V - D$ is adjacent to some vertex in D . The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set.

A dominating set D of a graph G is an *accurate dominating set*, if $V - D$ has no dominating set of cardinality $|D|$. The *accurate domination number* $\gamma_a(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality of an accurate dominating set. This concept was introduced by Kulli and Kattimani in [2].

A dominating set D of a graph G is a *global dominating set* if D is also a dominating set of \bar{G} . The *global domination number* $\gamma_g(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality of a global dominating set, [5].

In [4], Kulli and Kattimani introduced the concept of global accurate domination as follows:

An accurate dominating set D of a graph G is a *global accurate dominating set*, if D is also an accurate dominating set of \bar{G} . The *global accurate domination number* $\gamma_{ga}(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality of a global accurate dominating set.

Let $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denote the greatest integer less than or equal to x . A γ_a -set is a minimum accurate dominating set.

II. RESULTS

We characterize accurate dominating sets which are global accurate dominating sets.

Theorem1. An accurate dominating set D of a graph G is a global accurate dominating set if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (i) for each vertex $v \in V - D$, there exists a vertex $u \in D$ such that u is not adjacent to v ,
- (ii) there exists a vertex $w \in D$ such that w is adjacent to all vertices in $V - D$.

Theorem 2. Let G be a graph such that neither G nor \bar{G} have an isolated vertex. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i)} \quad & \gamma_{ga}(G) = \gamma_{ga}(\bar{G}); \quad (1) \\ \text{ii)} \quad & \frac{(\gamma_a(G) + \gamma_a(\bar{G}))}{2} \leq \gamma_a(G) \leq \gamma_a(G) + \gamma_a(\bar{G}). \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. Let G be a graph such that neither G nor \bar{G} have an isolated vertex. Then

$$\gamma_a(G) \leq \gamma_{ga}(G) \quad (3)$$

Proof: Every global accurate dominating set is an accurate dominating set. Thus (3) holds.

Theorem4. Let G be a graph such that neither G nor \bar{G} have an isolated vertex. Then

$$\gamma_g(G) \leq \gamma_{ga}(G). \quad (4)$$

Proof: Every global accurate dominating set is a global dominating set. Thus (4) holds.

Exact values of $\gamma_{ga}(G)$ for some standard graphs are given in Theorem 5.

Theorem 5.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i)} \quad & \gamma_{ga}(K_p) = p. \quad (5) \\ \text{ii)} \quad & \gamma_{ga}(C_p) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1, \quad \text{if } p \geq 3. \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{iii) } \gamma_{ga}(P_p) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1, \quad \text{if } p \geq 2. \quad (7)$$

$$\text{iv) } \gamma_{ga}(K_{m,n}) = m+1, \quad \text{if } m \leq n. \quad (8)$$

$$\text{v) } \gamma_{ga}(W_p) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1, \quad \text{if } p \geq 5. \quad (9)$$

vi) For any regular graph G ,

$$\gamma_{ga}(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1, \quad \text{if } p \geq 2. \quad (10)$$

Now we obtain an upper bound for $\gamma_{ga}(G)$.

Theorem 6. Let G be a graph such that neither G nor \bar{G} have an isolated vertex. Then

$$\gamma_{ga}(G) \leq p - 1. \quad (11)$$

Proof: Clearly G has two nonadjacent vertices u and v such that u is adjacent to some vertex in $V - \{u\}$. This implies that $V - \{u\}$ is a global accurate dominating set of G . Thus

$$\gamma_{ga}(G) \leq |V - \{u\}|$$

or
$$\gamma_{ga}(G) \leq p - 1.$$

Next we characterize graphs G that have global accurate domination number equal to the order of G .

Theorem 7. For any graph G ,

$$\gamma_{ga}(G) = p \quad (12)$$

if and only if $G = K_p$ or $G = \bar{K}_p$.

Proof: Suppose (12) holds. Assume $G \neq K_p, \bar{K}_p$. Then G has at least three vertices u, v and w such that u and v are adjacent and w is not adjacent to one of u or v . Suppose w is not adjacent to u . Then this implies that $V - \{u\}$ is a global accurate dominating set of G . This is a contradiction. This proves necessity.

Converse is obvious.

Theorem 8. Let D be an accurate dominating set of G . If there exist two vertices $u \in V - D$ and $v \in D$ such that u is adjacent only to the vertices of D and v is adjacent only to the vertices of $V - D$. Then

$$\gamma_{ga}(G) \leq \gamma_a(G) + 1. \quad (13)$$

Proof: Let D be a \square_a -set of G . If there exists a vertex u in $V - D$ such that u is adjacent only to the vertices of D , then $D \cup \{u\}$ is a global accurate dominating set of G . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ga}(G) &\leq |D \cup \{u\}| \\ &\leq |D| + 1 \end{aligned}$$

or
$$\gamma_{ga}(G) \leq \gamma_a(G) + 1.$$

In a graph G , a vertex and an edge incident with it are said to cover each other. A set of vertices that covers all the edges of

G is a vertex cover of G . The vertex covering number $\alpha_0(G)$ of G is the minimum number of vertices in a vertex cover. A set S of vertices in G is independent if no two vertices in S are adjacent.

The independence number $\alpha_0(G)$ of G is the maximum cardinality of an independent set of vertices. The clique number $\beta_0(G)$ of G is the maximum order among the complete subgraphs of G .

Theorem 9. Let G be a graph without isolated vertices. Then

$$\gamma_{ga}(G) \leq \alpha_0(G) + 1 \quad (14)$$

Proof: Let S be a maximum independent set of vertices in G . Then for any vertex $v \in S$, $\{V - S\} \cup \{v\}$ is a global accurate dominating set of G . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ga}(G) &\leq |(V - S) \cup \{v\}| \\ &\leq |V - S| + 1 \\ &\leq p - \beta_0(G) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

or
$$\gamma_{ga}(G) \leq \alpha_0(G) + 1.$$

Now we obtain a Nordhaus-Gaddum type result.

Theorem 10. Let G be a graph such that neither G nor \bar{G} have an isolated vertex. Then

$$\gamma_{ga}(G) + \gamma_{ga}(\bar{G}) \leq p + \gamma_0(G) - w(G) + 2$$

Proof: By Theorem 9, $\gamma_{ga}(G) \leq \alpha_0(G) + 1$.

Therefore
$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ga}(\bar{G}) &\leq \alpha_0(\bar{G}) + 1 \\ &\leq p - \beta_0(\bar{G}) + 1 \\ &\leq p - \omega(G) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence
$$\gamma_{ga}(G) + \gamma_{ga}(\bar{G}) \leq p + \alpha_0(G) - \omega(G) + 2$$

REFERENCES

- [1] V.R.Kulli, *Theory of Domination in Graphs*, Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India (2010).
- [2] V.R.Kulli and M.B.Kattimani, The accurate domination number of a graph, Technical Report 2000:01, Dept. of Math. Gulbarga University, Gulbarga, India (2000).
- [3] V.R.Kulli and M.B.Kattimani, Accurate domination in graphs. In V.R.Kulli, ed., *Advances in Domination Theory I*, Vishwa International Publications, Gulbarga, India (2012) 1-8.
- [4] V.R.Kulli and M.B.Kattimani, The global accurate domination number of a graph, Technical Report 2000:04, Dept. of Math. Gulbarga University, Gulbarga, India (2000).
- [5] E.Sampathkumar, The global domination number of a graph, *J. Math. Phy. Sci.* 23 (1989) 377-385.

AUTHORS

First Author – V.R.KULLI, Department of Mathematics,
Gulbarga University, Gulbarga 585 106, India, e-mail:
vrkulli@gmail.com

Second Author – M.B.KATTIMANI, Department of
Mathematics, The Oxford College of Engineering, Hosur Road,
Bangalore 560 068, India