Unsafe Abortion: Global Definition, Impact, and Strategies for Prevention

Dr. Aanandita Swami
Senior Consultant (Obs and Gyane)
Dr. Banerjee Clinic, Faridabad

DOI: 10.29322/IJSRP.15.08.2025.p16434
https://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.15.08.2025.p16434

Paper Received Date: 20th July 2025
Paper Acceptance Date: 24th August 2025
Paper Publication Date: 28th August 2025

Definition and Global Scope of Unsafe Abortion

Unsafe abortion refers to the termination of an unintended pregnancy by individuals lacking the necessary medical skills, or in an environment that does not meet minimal medical standards, or both. In practice, this encompasses abortions performed by untrained providers or by the pregnant woman herself using dangerous methods (such as ingestion of toxic substances or insertion of foreign objects), often in unsanitary conditions. The World Health Organization (WHO) identifies unsafe abortion as a **preventable** and significant cause of maternal injury and death, one that can lead to severe physical and mental health complications and impose heavy social and financial burdens on women, communities, and health systems.

Globally, unsafe abortions constitute a large portion of all abortions, especially in regions with restrictive abortion laws. Between 2010 and 2014, an estimated **25 million unsafe abortions occurred each year**, accounting for about 45% of all abortions worldwide. Virtually all of these unsafe procedures (97%) take place in developing countries across Africa, Asia, and Latin America. By contrast, in North America and most of Europe — where abortion is broadly legal and healthcare systems are strong — the vast majority of abortions are safe (for example, **99% of abortions in North America are safe**), and unsafe abortions are rare. In regions such as Africa and Latin America, however, **roughly three out of four abortions are unsafe**, highlighting the immense scope of the problem. Unsafe abortion remains a critical public health challenge, reflecting broader disparities in healthcare access and legal rights for women worldwide.

Statistical Analysis and Trends Over Time

Unsafe abortion has persisted as a global issue for decades, with only modest improvements in safety over time. In the early 1990s, WHO estimated about **69,000 women died yearly from unsafe abortions**, out of roughly 19-20 million unsafe procedures annually. Thanks in part to improvements in medical technology (e.g. wider availability of antibiotics and uterotonics) and post-abortion care, the annual death toll from unsafe abortions dropped to about **47,000 by 2008**. This decline in mortality occurred even as the **number of unsafe abortions increased** – from an estimated 19.7 million in 2003 to 21.6 million in 2008 – largely due to population growth and a higher number of pregnancies. By the early 2010s, the total number of unsafe abortions was still rising: **25.1 million unsafe abortions per year** were estimated for 2010–2014. Unsafe abortions now account for roughly **13% of all maternal deaths worldwide**, a proportion that has remained stubbornly high and stagnant over the past few decades.

These global aggregates mask important regional differences and evolving trends. In regions with longstanding legal restrictions and limited healthcare infrastructure, unsafe abortion rates remain high. For example, Africa has the highest risk: in Sub-Saharan Africa, **nearly half of all abortions occur under the least safe conditions**, contributing to maternal mortality rates >200 deaths per 100,000 abortions. In contrast, Western and Northern Europe and North America not only have very low overall abortion rates but also negligible levels of unsafe abortion and extremely low abortion-related mortality. Over time, some regions have seen improvements. Latin

America, for instance, still has a majority of abortions classified as unsafe, but many of these are now **"less safe" medication abortions (using misoprostol)** rather than the highly dangerous invasive methods of the past, leading to fewer deaths and severe complications than previously. Globally, the **case-fatality rate of unsafe abortion has decreased** as more women resort to medication abortion and as treatment of complications improves. Nonetheless, the total burden of unsafe abortion remains immense. In 2012, an estimated **7 million women in developing countries required hospital treatment for complications from unsafe abortions in a single year**. Without accelerated interventions, unsafe abortion is projected to continue placing millions of women at risk each year.

Causes and Contributing Factors

Unsafe abortions do not occur in a vacuum; they are driven by a confluence of legal, social, and economic factors that push women into clandestine and dangerous procedures. Key contributing factors include:

- **Restrictive Legal Frameworks:** There is a strong correlation between punitive abortion laws and the incidence of unsafe abortion. In countries where abortion is criminalized or allowed only in extremely narrow circumstances, women with unwanted pregnancies often feel they have no choice but to seek out clandestine abortions. Legal restrictions "simply make the abortions that do occur more likely to be unsafe," as **abortion rates are similar in countries where it is broadly legal and where it is highly restricted, but safety differs dramatically**. In the most restrictive jurisdictions (e.g. abortion banned or only to save the woman's life), only about **1 in 4 abortions is safe**, whereas in countries with liberal laws, **nearly 9 in 10 abortions are safe**. Thus, criminalization is a primary driver of unsafe procedures. However, **illegality is not the only factor** – some abortions remain unsafe even in legal settings due to other barriers, and conversely a minority of illegal abortions can be safe if skilled providers clandestinely offer services. Still, broadly speaking, where abortion laws are highly restrictive, women face greater risks in ending pregnancies.
- **Limited Access to Reproductive Healthcare and Contraception:** A lack of access to modern contraceptives and family planning services underlies many unsafe abortions. Unintended pregnancy is the direct precursor to most abortions, and areas with high unmet need for contraception see higher abortion rates. It is estimated that **four in five unintended pregnancies in developing regions occur among women with an unmet need for modern contraception**. If these women had reliable access to contraception, the incidence of unsafe abortion could drop dramatically. One analysis projected that **unsafe abortion incidence could be reduced by as much as 73% without any change in abortion laws, simply by meeting the global need for modern family planning and quality maternal health care**. In many cases, women resort to abortion (safe or unsafe) only because they lacked the means to prevent the pregnancy in the first place. Lack of reproductive health clinics, shortages of contraceptive supplies, and restrictive social norms about women (especially unmarried women) using contraception all contribute to this factor.
- **Poverty and Economic Barriers:** Poverty significantly increases the risk of unsafe abortion. **Poor women are both more likely to experience unintended pregnancies and less able to afford safe abortion services** (such as traveling to a reputable clinic or paying a skilled provider). In countries where abortion is legal but not covered by insurance or public health systems, cost can be prohibitive for low-income women, driving them toward cheaper, unsafe methods. In settings where safe abortions are available only in urban centers or private facilities, rural and poor women face additional hurdles like travel expenses and lost wages. As a result, unsafe abortion disproportionately impacts economically disadvantaged groups: for example, studies indicate unsafe procedures are far more common among poor and rural women than among wealthier, urban women in the same country. Economic desperation can also compel women to terminate pregnancies under unsafe conditions, especially if they cannot afford to raise a child. In summary, the **financial costs and logistical hurdles of obtaining a safe abortion** leave the poorest women with few options aside from unsafe practices.
- **Social Stigma and Lack of Information:** In many communities, abortion is highly stigmatized, which discourages women from openly seeking help and drives them to secrecy. Stigma can manifest as judgment from family, community, or even healthcare providers, and fear of this judgment causes women to hide their abortion attempts. Consequently, some women avoid licensed clinics (even where abortion is legal) and instead attempt self-induction or visit unqualified providers to keep their situation confidential. Misinformation and lack of sexuality education exacerbate this problem. Many young women have little knowledge about safe abortion methods or even basic reproductive health. In Sub-Saharan Africa, for instance, **the unsafe abortion "conundrum" often begins with ill-informed teenagers engaging in unprotected sex and then, faced with an unwanted pregnancy in a context of legal restrictions, resorting to backstreet abortionists or quack remedies**. Myths and misinformation about contraception (e.g. unfounded fears about side effects) also lead to contraceptive non-use and unintended

pregnancies. Overall, **insufficient access to accurate, non-judgmental information** — whether about how to prevent pregnancy or about safe options to terminate one — is a major contributing factor to unsafe abortion. When women do not know their legal rights or cannot find factual guidance, they are more likely to use dangerous methods.

- **Inadequate Healthcare Infrastructure:** Even when abortion is legal under certain indications (such as to save a woman's life or protect health), many low-income countries lack the healthcare capacity to provide safe services. Shortages of trained providers, poor distribution of clinics (with few facilities in rural areas), and weak health systems mean that women cannot access timely, safe abortion care, pushing them towards unsafe alternatives. For example, in Ethiopia, years after law reform expanded abortion access, only about half of abortions were being done in health facilities, with the rest remaining clandestine due to **poor awareness of the law, lack of rural services, distance to clinics, and costs**. Where health systems are not equipped to meet demand, unsafe providers fill the void. Additionally, some healthcare workers may refuse to provide abortion due to personal beliefs (conscientious objection), further limiting the availability of safe services. Thus, gaps in the health system's capacity and reach are an important factor: **without accessible, affordable services and willing providers, legality on paper does not translate to safety in practice**.

In summary, women typically resort to unsafe abortion when **a cascade of barriers** stands between them and a safe termination: restrictive laws, fear of stigma, lack of contraception, poverty, and absent or hostile healthcare services. These factors often intersect – for instance, a poor young woman in a conservative rural area may simultaneously face legal barriers, intense stigma, no nearby clinic, and no money to travel – leaving an unsafe method as her only perceived option. Effective solutions, therefore, must address this multidimensional problem.

Health Consequences of Unsafe Abortion

The health consequences of unsafe abortion are often severe and can be life-threatening. Immediately, an improperly performed abortion can result in a range of acute medical complications, including:

- **Incomplete abortion:** Failure to remove all pregnancy tissue from the uterus, leading to prolonged bleeding and infection.
- **Hemorrhage:** Severe, uncontrolled bleeding is common, especially when uterine injury occurs. Hemorrhage can quickly lead to hypovolemic shock (dangerously low blood pressure) and death if untreated.
- **Infection (Sepsis):** Unsanitary procedures or retained tissue can introduce bacteria into the uterus and bloodstream. Infection can progress to septicemia, which is often fatal without prompt medical intervention.
- **Uterine perforation and organ damage:** Using sharp objects or aggressive techniques can puncture the uterus or damage adjacent organs like the intestines, bladder, and cervix. Such injuries frequently require major surgery to repair and can cause internal bleeding or peritonitis.
- **Damage to the genital tract:** Insertion of caustic substances or objects can cause vaginal or cervical lacerations, burns, and trauma to the reproductive tract.

These immediate complications account for the high mortality associated with unsafe abortion. **WHO reports that in regions where unsafe abortions are common, the fatality rate can exceed 200 deaths per 100,000 abortions**, whereas abortions performed by trained providers under safe conditions have an almost negligible fatality rate (<<1 per 100,000). Overall, unsafe abortion is estimated to cause at least **7.9–13% of all maternal deaths globally** (roughly one in every eight). In 2008, for example, unsafe abortions were responsible for approximately **47,000 maternal deaths worldwide**. This toll is disproportionately shouldered by the poorest countries; in some nations before reforms, more than half of maternal deaths were due to complications of unsafe abortion (e.g. Romania in the 1980s). Even when not fatal, the injuries from unsafe abortion can be catastrophic and lead to long-term disabilities.

Case studies vividly illustrate the danger. For instance, a recent case report from India describes a 25-year-old woman in her third pregnancy who, unable to access a legal clinic, took unprescribed abortifacient pills at home. She developed massive vaginal bleeding and went into hypovolemic shock (life-threatening circulatory collapse). Only after she was rushed to a hospital for an emergency uterine evacuation and resuscitation was her life savedfile-bfogjbzvky842pwpwtjz93. Her case exemplifies how self-induced or pharmacist-assisted abortions, if improperly managed, can rapidly become medical emergencies. In many other instances, women are less fortunate. **Historically, unsafe abortions accounted for a significant share of obstetric emergencies**. In the pre-legalization era in the United States, for example, over a thousand women died each year from unsafe abortions in the 1940s. Hospitals routinely operated *"septic*

abortion wards" to treat women suffering from infected, incomplete abortions – which at the time were the leading cause of obstetric admissions. That situation dramatically improved after abortion was legalized (in 1973), virtually eliminating deaths from unsafe abortion in the U.S. in subsequent decades. This stark before-and-after contrast underscores how dangerous clandestine abortions can be to women's health.

Beyond the immediate injuries, unsafe abortions can have lasting health effects. Women who survive severe infections may suffer **chronic pelvic inflammatory disease**, leading to chronic pain and **infertility** due to scarring of the reproductive organs. Uterine perforations or cervical damage can increase the risk of future pregnancy complications, such as miscarriages or preterm labor. Some women require hysterectomies (surgical removal of the uterus) as life-saving treatment for complications, permanently ending their childbearing ability. Mental health repercussions are also notable: experiencing a near-death medical emergency, losing a wanted pregnancy due to complications, or facing intense guilt and fear from the clandestine process can contribute to long-term psychological trauma, depression, or post-traumatic stress.

Every unsafe abortion that results in serious complications places additional strain on the healthcare system as well. Treating hemorrhage, sepsis, and organ injuries from unsafe procedures often demands blood transfusions, antibiotics, surgeries, and prolonged hospital stays. These are resources that are frequently scarce in low-income settings, meaning women may not get timely care. **Delays in seeking treatment** are common because women fear legal repercussions or stigma; many only arrive at the hospital when critically ill. This delay worsens outcomes – a significant proportion of the maternal deaths from unsafe abortion have been attributed to women presenting for care too late due to fear of arrest or shame. In summary, the health consequences of unsafe abortion range from acute, life-threatening trauma to subtle long-term morbidities, all of which are **entirely preventable** with proper medical care.

Economic and Societal Burden

The impact of unsafe abortion extends beyond individual health, placing heavy economic and social burdens on families, communities, and nations. From an economic standpoint, treating the complications of unsafe abortion consumes substantial healthcare resources. According to WHO estimates, **complications from unsafe abortions cost health systems in developing countries around US $553 million per year** in direct treatment costs. This includes the expense of emergency surgeries, medications, hospitalization, and post-operative care to manage sepsis, hemorrhage, and other issues. These costs strain already under-resourced health budgets in low-income countries, diverting funds that could have been used for other essential maternal health services. In addition to public health system costs, **households incur huge losses** due to unsafe abortion. Families often must pay out-of-pocket for medical care, and women who suffer long-term disability may be unable to work. It is estimated that households collectively lose about **$922 million annually in lost income** due to long-term health complications from unsafe abortions. For poor families, the medical expenses and loss of a mother's income or productivity can be devastating, pushing them deeper into poverty.

Societal costs are also significant. When a woman dies or is incapacitated from an unsafe abortion, the repercussions for her family are profound. Children may be left motherless – and maternal orphans face higher risks of poor health and reduced educational attainment. A maternal death can thus perpetuate cycles of poverty and poor outcomes across generations. Even in non-fatal cases, women who suffer infertility from an unsafe abortion may face social stigma or ostracism in cultures that emphasize childbearing. The community loses the contributions of women who die young or are chronically ill, which can reduce overall economic productivity. A 2008 analysis noted that unsafe abortion-related morbidity and mortality imposes a **long-term economic drag on communities**, through both direct healthcare costs and indirect losses of human capital.

Restrictive abortion policies themselves can inflict economic burdens. When laws force women to travel out of their local area (or even abroad) to seek safe abortion care, many incur significant travel costs, need to take time off work, and may have to pay higher fees for services. Those who cannot afford to travel may turn to unsafe local options, with the consequences described. **Regulations like mandatory waiting periods or multiple doctors' approvals can increase the financial burden** by requiring additional clinic visits and time away from work. These hurdles especially hurt women with low resources, essentially creating a two-tiered system: wealthier women can obtain safe abortions (either locally or by traveling), whereas poorer women are more likely to resort to unsafe means, entrenching social inequality.

On a broader scale, studies have linked access to abortion with societal outcomes such as women's educational and economic attainment. When women can control their fertility and avoid unwanted births, they are more likely to continue their education and participate in the workforce. The WHO notes that restrictive abortion laws – by limiting women's ability to time their childbearing – can negatively affect **women's education, labor force participation, and overall economic output**. Conversely, legalization of abortion and reduction of unsafe procedures can have positive ripple effects: children born in the wake of legalization are more likely to be "wanted" and thus receive greater parental investment (improving their health and schooling outcomes), and women are empowered to make choices that enhance their economic prospects. For example, evidence from various countries indicates that when unsafe abortion is curtailed (through safe access or fertility control), there are gains in female educational attainment and contributions to GDP growth. In summary, unsafe abortion is not only a public health crisis but also a socio-economic burden. It **saps health system resources**, impoverishes families, and undermines women's ability to participate fully in society. The preventable nature of this burden makes it an urgent priority for policy intervention.

The Role of Policy and Legal Frameworks in Abortion Access

Law and policy are pivotal in shaping abortion safety. There is a clear pattern: **countries with more liberal abortion laws tend to have far lower rates of unsafe abortion and related complications**, whereas countries with restrictive laws endure higher burdens of clandestine, unsafe procedures. According to WHO's analysis, in the strictest legal settings (abortion banned or only allowed to save the woman's life), only 25% of abortions are performed safely; by contrast, in countries permitting abortion on broad grounds, **almost 90% of abortions are safe**. Furthermore, regions with permissive laws (for example, Northern/Western Europe, North America) not only experience near-universal safe abortions but also tend to have **lower abortion rates overall**. This is attributed to better access to contraception and reproductive healthcare in those settings, reinforcing that **restricting access to abortion does not reduce the number of abortions – it only makes more of them unsafe**.

Globally, a significant share of women live under restrictive abortion regimes. As of 2017, **125 countries (home to 42% of women of reproductive age) had highly restrictive abortion laws**, either banning abortion entirely or permitting it only to save a woman's life or health. The vast majority of these countries are in developing regions. This legal landscape means hundreds of millions of women lack legal access to safe abortion. However, there has been a progressive trend toward liberalization: since 2000, at least **28 countries have expanded the legal grounds for abortion** in some way (all but one of the law changes during that period broadened access). Notable reforms have occurred in countries across Latin America, Africa, and Asia, often in recognition of the toll of unsafe abortion on maternal health. For example, Nepal legalized abortion in 2002 after documenting widespread maternal deaths from unsafe procedures; similarly, Ethiopia reformed its law in 2005, and Ireland repealed its near-ban in 2018 following publicized tragedies. These policy shifts have begun to reduce unsafe abortion where implemented, but the **gap between law and practice** remains an issue in many places.

Crucially, **legalization alone is not enough** – it must be accompanied by accessible implementation. Some countries with broadly legal abortion still see clandestine abortions if services are not available or affordable in practice. For instance, India has had relatively liberal abortion law since 1971, yet unsafe abortions persist there at high levels because many women, especially in rural areas, cannot access authorized facilities or are unaware of their legal rights. Nepal, after legalization, achieved a sharp drop in maternal mortality (from 580 deaths per 100,000 live births in 1995 to 190 in 2013), but still **over half of abortions in Nepal in 2014 were done clandestinely** due to obstacles like lack of clinics in remote regions, stigma, and women's limited decision-making power. These examples underscore that policy reform must be paired with health system strengthening and public awareness. When abortion is legal, governments need to invest in training providers, establishing clinics (including in rural areas), subsidizing costs for low-income patients, and informing the public so that women know how to obtain safe care. **Political will and adequate resources** are required to ensure that a legal right translates into accessible services. Conversely, even without full legalization, some harm can be mitigated by sensible policies – for example, many countries formally permit *post-abortion care* for complications irrespective of abortion's legal status, which saves lives by encouraging women to seek help if they have complications without fear of legal reprisal.

Policies also shape provider behavior and public attitudes. Where abortion is criminalized, providers may fear legal consequences and avoid treating even complications (or may mistreat patients out of stigma), whereas an enabling legal environment can integrate abortion into standard healthcare. Moreover, **highly restrictive laws can violate women's human rights**, including rights to life, health, privacy, and freedom from discrimination. International human rights bodies increasingly urge countries to reform punitive abortion laws, noting

that forcing women to carry unwanted pregnancies or seek unsafe abortions undermines gender equality and the right to health. Progressive legal frameworks, such as those in Canada or Sweden, treat abortion as a health matter between a woman and her provider, which has resulted in better health outcomes and upheld women's autonomy. In sum, legal frameworks play a determining role: **permissive, evidence-based laws combined with supportive policies produce safer outcomes**, whereas punitive laws correlate with preventable injuries and deaths. As one policy review concisely noted, *"highly restrictive laws do not eliminate the practice of abortion, but they make those abortions that do occur more likely to be unsafe"*. The goal for policymakers should therefore be to create a legal environment that enables all abortions to be as safe as possible while simultaneously preventing unintended pregnancies.

Preventive Strategies and Interventions

Reducing and ultimately eliminating unsafe abortion will require a multifaceted strategy addressing its root causes and improving healthcare responses. Key preventive measures include:

- **Liberalizing Restrictive Laws and Policies:** Ensuring that abortion is legal under broad grounds (at least in early pregnancy and for a range of indications) is a fundamental step to reduce unsafe procedures. Legal reform immediately removes the fear of criminal punishment and opens the door for women to seek care from qualified providers. Countries that have liberalized abortion laws have seen rapid improvements in safety. For example, after South Africa enacted the **Choice on Termination of Pregnancy Act in 1996** (legalizing abortion on request in the first trimester), abortion-related deaths dropped by over **90% (from 32.7 deaths per 1,000 abortions in 1994 to 0.8 per 1,000 by 1998)**. Similar outcomes were observed in Romania after 1990, and in other settings where legal barriers were removed. However, as discussed, legal change must be accompanied by accessible services. Policymakers should also remove ancillary barriers in law – such as mandatory waiting periods, requirements for multiple doctor approvals or spousal consent, gestational limits that are unreasonably short, and criminal penalties – since these restrictions can delay care and push abortions into later (riskier) gestation or into illegality. A **supportive legal framework** grounded in human rights and evidence is one of the cornerstones of an enabling environment for safe abortion. In parallel, laws should explicitly protect healthcare providers and patients from harassment or prosecution for abortion care. Countries should also implement policies ensuring that *post-abortion care* (treatment for complications) is available without legal repercussion, to encourage women to seek help if needed.
- **Expanding Access to Contraception and Sexuality Education:** Since most abortions result from unintended pregnancies, **the first line of prevention is avoiding unplanned pregnancies**. Comprehensive strategies to improve access to modern contraceptives can dramatically reduce abortion rates and hence unsafe abortions. Governments and health organizations should ensure a wide range of contraceptive methods are available, affordable (or free), and accessible to all segments of the population, including adolescents and unmarried women. This includes providing condoms, oral contraceptives, long-acting reversible contraceptives (IUDs, implants), and emergency contraception, along with counseling to choose methods that fit each person's needs. Meeting the unmet need for contraception would not only prevent millions of unintended pregnancies but also empower women to plan their families. Alongside contraceptive access, **comprehensive sexuality education** is crucial. Such education (in schools and communities) provides adolescents with accurate information about reproductive health, contraception, and the risks of unsafe abortion. It helps young people develop the knowledge and skills to avoid unprotected sex and to seek safe medical care if needed. Evidence shows that when teenagers are informed about contraception and pregnancy options, they are less likely to have unintended pregnancies and resort to unsafe abortions. Public awareness campaigns can also dispel myths (for example, correcting misinformation like "abortion is universally illegal" or "contraceptives are dangerous") and inform women of their rights and the services available. In summary, **prevention through education and contraception is a highly cost-effective strategy**: it addresses the problem upstream by reducing the incidence of unwanted pregnancies that might lead to unsafe abortion.
- **Improving Healthcare Infrastructure and Safe Abortion Services:** A robust healthcare system that offers safe abortion care is essential to eliminate unsafe abortions. This involves training and deploying sufficient providers, establishing or upgrading clinics (especially in under-served rural areas), and integrating abortion into routine reproductive healthcare. WHO recommends expanding the pool of providers beyond doctors – for instance, **training mid-level health professionals (nurses, midwives, physician assistants) to perform abortion services** – which many countries have done to increase capacity. Evidence confirms that abortions provided by trained mid-level practitioners are as safe as those done by physicians, and this task-shifting greatly improves service coverage. Health workers must be trained not only in clinical skills (vacuum aspiration, medical abortion protocols, managing complications) but also in providing non-judgmental, respectful care and in counseling patients about their options. Ensuring confidentiality and compassion in services helps counteract stigma and encourages women to seek care earlier. Another aspect is **equipping facilities** with necessary supplies: sterile instruments, medications (like oxytocin, antibiotics), blood transfusion capability, etc., so that both elective procedures and emergency post-abortion care can be handled properly. Governments should allocate funding so that **safe abortion (and post-abortion) services are affordable** – ideally integrated into universal health coverage. If cost is not a barrier, fewer women will be driven to cheaper, unsafe options. Mobile clinics or telemedicine can be employed to reach remote areas, and partnership with private clinics or NGOs can help extend coverage. In sum, making sure that *safe, legal abortion care is readily accessible* – through a well-trained workforce and adequate facilities – directly replaces the unsafe "market" with a safe alternative.

- **Advancing Medical Abortion and Self-care Innovations:** Medical abortion using pills (typically a combination of mifepristone and misoprostol, or misoprostol alone where mifepristone is unavailable) has revolutionized the safety of abortion, including in restrictive settings. These medications allow women to terminate early pregnancies without invasive procedures, and WHO has endorsed medical abortion as a safe and effective method up to at least 12 weeks of gestation. Spreading access to these medications is a key strategy. In countries where abortion is legal, health systems should make the combined mifepristone-misoprostol regimen widely available in clinics and via prescription. In more restrictive environments, **harm reduction approaches** can be lifesaving: ensuring that women know about misoprostol and how to use it correctly can greatly reduce the harms of clandestine abortions. Misoprostol (originally an ulcer medication) is available in many countries and, when used properly, can induce abortion with a much lower risk of infection or injury than dangerous methods like inserting objects. Outreach programs and hotlines (as pioneered in some Latin American countries) that confidentially guide women on safe pill usage have been shown to decrease complications. For example, in Uruguay, even before abortion was fully legalized, a harm-reduction model was implemented where women received counseling on how to use misoprostol safely; as a result, **Uruguay virtually eliminated deaths from unsafe abortion years before legalizing the procedure**. Embracing such measures, including **telemedicine abortion services** (consultations and guidance provided by phone or internet, with pills delivered by mail), can provide safe options to women who otherwise would resort to unsafe means. In 2022, WHO issued updated consolidated guidelines recommending self-management approaches and digital interventions as part of abortion care, recognizing their potential to expand safe access. It is crucial, however, that along with access to pills, there is a safety net: women should have the ability to seek medical help for any warning signs (like excessive bleeding or fever) without fear of legal consequences. Therefore, strengthening **post-abortion care** for incomplete or complications – training all hospitals in compassionate emergency treatment – remains a pillar of the strategy.
- **Education and Community Engagement to Reduce Stigma:** To sustainably reduce unsafe abortion, communities must be engaged to change the narrative around reproductive rights. Public health programs can involve community leaders, women's groups, and youth advocates to promote understanding of family planning and the importance of safe abortion access. Destigmatizing abortion involves framing it as a healthcare issue and a personal decision. In some settings, testimonials from women who have suffered from unsafe abortion (or from healthcare workers who witness the toll) have been powerful in shifting public opinion and building support for safer services. Additionally, ensuring **providers are supported and protected** is important. When health workers know that the law and their institutions will back them up, they are more willing to participate in providing abortions, which increases availability. Combating stigma also means clarifying misinformation: for example, widespread education that abortion, when done safely, **does not cause infertility or long-term harm** (whereas unsafe abortion clearly can), and that seeking help for complications is a right. Over time, as safe abortion becomes normalized within healthcare, the fear and secrecy that drive unsafe practices should diminish.

Collectively, these strategies form a comprehensive approach. Countries that have successfully reduced unsafe abortion have typically employed *multiple measures at once*: legal reform, improved contraception, health system upgrades, and community education. For instance, **Tunisia and Turkey** liberalized abortion in the 1970s and coupled it with strong family planning programs, leading to low abortion rates and almost all abortions being safe. In recent decades, **Ethiopia** expanded legal indications in 2005 and worked with NGOs to train providers and roll out services even in rural areas, significantly increasing the proportion of safe abortions. **Uruguay**'s experience shows that even short of full legalization, pragmatic harm-reduction policies (providing information and post-abortion care) can save lives. Meanwhile, **Ireland** and **South Korea** have, in response to public advocacy and evidence, overturned restrictive laws and are now in the process of building safe abortion services, learning from other countries' best practices.

Global Comparisons and Best Practices

There are valuable lessons from countries that have dramatically reduced unsafe abortion. A classic example is **Romania**. Under a total abortion ban from 1966 to 1989, Romania had one of the highest maternal mortality rates in Europe – peaking at 170 deaths per 100,000 live births, with a shocking **87% of those deaths due to unsafe abortions** in 1989. After the ban was lifted in 1989, the country saw a swift decline in abortion-related mortality. By 2006, Romania's maternal mortality ratio had plummeted to 15 per 100,000, and abortion-related deaths fell to 5 per 100,000 live births. The Romanian government didn't stop at legalization; it also invested in family planning services and contraceptive access in the 1990s, which reduced unintended pregnancies. Romania's turnaround underscores how **legalization combined with reproductive health services** can virtually eliminate unsafe abortion deaths in a short time frame.

South Africa is another success story. Prior to 1996, it's estimated that tens of thousands of illegal abortions occurred annually, causing many deaths (unsafe abortion was a leading cause of maternal mortality during apartheid). After legalizing abortion on demand up to 12 weeks, South Africa achieved an extraordinary improvement in safety. Studies found a **91% reduction in abortion-related deaths** in the first few years post-legalization. The rate of deaths per 1,000 abortions went from extremely high pre-1996 to very low thereafter . Importantly, South Africa also expanded services into public hospitals and allowed trained nurses to perform abortions, which increased accessibility for poor and rural women. Southern Africa as a region now has a relatively low unsafe abortion rate compared to the rest of Africa, largely thanks to South Africa's policy change. The lesson is that **legal reform, if accompanied by service delivery, can save lives rapidly**. However, South Africa also demonstrates that barriers can persist: in recent years, issues like provider stigma and unequal implementation mean some women (especially adolescents) still struggle to access services, pointing to the need for ongoing training and support for providers.

In **Latin America**, where abortion laws are generally restrictive, one country stands out: **Uruguay**. Despite illegality until 2012 (and even now allowed only in early pregnancy with certain requirements), Uruguay developed a harm reduction model through the organization Iniciativas Sanitarias. Starting around 2004, healthcare professionals in Uruguay provided confidential counseling to women seeking abortions – advising them on safe use of misoprostol and ensuring they came for follow-up. This approach, though operating in a legal grey area, had dramatic results. By 2012, Uruguay reported **zero maternal deaths from unsafe abortion for several consecutive years**, effectively becoming the country with the lowest abortion-related mortality in Latin America. The Vice Minister of Health in 2011 proudly noted that Uruguay did not record a single death from unsafe abortion in the previous three years. This achievement helped Uruguay meet its Millennium Development Goal on maternal mortality. The key best practices from Uruguay were: treating abortion as a public health issue even while the law was restrictive, emphasizing women's right to information and healthcare, and integrating post-abortion care as a standard service. Uruguay's experience influenced other countries to consider similar harm-reduction initiatives while working toward legal reform.

Another instructive case is **Bangladesh**, where menstrual regulation (MR) – a procedure to evacuate the uterus within the first trimester without formally stating it as an "abortion" – has been permitted for decades, despite abortion technically being illegal except to save the woman's life. By training mid-level providers to perform MR and by making it widely available, Bangladesh substantially reduced deaths from unsafe abortion. Between the 1970s and 2000s, maternal mortality in Bangladesh declined significantly, with improved management of abortion complications and availability of MR services contributing to that decline. Bangladesh shows a model of **implementing safe services under a different name (MR) to sidestep legal barriers**, which has been a pragmatic compromise to protect women's health.

On the other hand, countries that maintain strict abortion bans continue to experience preventable health crises. In parts of **Sub-Saharan Africa** where laws remain very restrictive (for example, Central and West African countries), unsafe abortion still accounts for a large fraction of maternal deaths and complications. In these settings, even incremental policy changes – like allowing abortion in cases of rape, incest, or danger to health – combined with improved post-abortion care, could reduce the toll. International organizations have identified **best practices** such as Ethiopia's expansion of legal criteria and task-sharing to nurses, **Ghana**'s efforts to train midwives in manual vacuum aspiration, and **Tunisia's decades-long integration of family planning and abortion services**, as models to emulate in Africa.

In Western countries, best practices focus on making abortion *accessible and stigma-free*. For example, **Canada** has no criminal law on abortion (it was struck down in 1988) and treats it as a medical procedure governed by general health laws. As a result, Canada has high availability of services (including via national health insurance) and very low complication rates. **France and Sweden** provide abortion services as part of their national healthcare with minimal barriers and also emphasize comprehensive sex education, contributing to low teen pregnancy and abortion rates. These countries illustrate that **when abortion is normalized within healthcare, safety is maximized and abortion rates often decline due to better prevention of unintended pregnancy**. They also continuously update medical guidelines (e.g. allowing telemedicine or extending the range of providers) to improve access.

Finally, global agencies like WHO have created benchmarks and tools that encapsulate best practices: for instance, WHO's **Global Abortion Policies Database** helps countries compare legal frameworks and align them with health evidence; and the 2022 WHO **guideline on quality abortion care** synthesizes recommendations on law, clinical practice, and service delivery. **Best practices**

universally call for a three-pronged enabling environment: respect for women's human rights in law, availability of accurate information, and a well-functioning health system that provides comprehensive reproductive health care including safe abortion.

Conclusion

Unsafe abortion remains a major public health and human rights challenge, but it is fundamentally **solvable**. The evidence from around the world is unequivocal: when women have access to contraception to avoid unwanted pregnancies, and access to safe, legal abortion services when needed, deaths and injuries from unsafe abortion plummet. Addressing this issue requires courage and commitment from policymakers to reform laws that harm women, investment in healthcare systems to provide essential reproductive services, and education to empower women and communities. The persistence of millions of unsafe abortions annually – and tens of thousands of needless deaths – is a stark indicator of social injustice and health inequity. By implementing the strategies outlined above, countries can make unsafe abortion a rarity of the past and ensure that **no woman has to risk her life or health to exercise her reproductive choices**. The path forward is clear, and backed by abundant research and successful examples: expanding rights and services saves lives . In the pursuit of global goals such as reducing maternal mortality and achieving universal access to reproductive health (SDG 3.7), prioritizing the elimination of unsafe abortion is not only wise policy – it is an imperative for women's dignity, health, and equality.

References:

1. World Health Organization (2012). *Unsafe abortion: global and regional estimates of the incidence of unsafe abortion and associated mortality.* Geneva: WHO.
2. World Health Organization (2017). *News Release: Worldwide, an estimated 25 million unsafe abortions occur each year.*
3. World Health Organization (2024). *Abortion Fact Sheet.*
4. Guttmacher Institute (2018). *Abortion Worldwide 2017: Uneven Progress and Unequal Access.*
5. Shah, I. & Ahman, E. (2009). *Unsafe abortion: global and regional incidence, trends, consequences and challenges.* Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada, 31(12), 1149–1158.
6. Benson, J. et al. (2011). *Reductions in abortion-related mortality following policy reform: evidence from Romania, South Africa and Bangladesh.* Reproductive Health, 8:39.
7. Wikipedia. *Unsafe Abortion.* (Accessed 2025)
8. Haddad, L. B., & Nour, N. M. (2009). *Unsafe abortion: unnecessary maternal mortality*. Reviews in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2(2), 122–126.
9. Vlassoff, M. et al. (2008). *Economic Impact of Unsafe Abortion-Related Morbidity and Mortality: Evidence and Estimation Challenges.* Institute of Development Studies, Research Report 59.
10. UNFPA (2011). *Eliminating Maternal Deaths from Unsafe Abortion in Uruguay – News Story*.
11. Guttmacher Institute (2017). *Fact Sheet: Abortion and Unintended Pregnancy in Nepal.*
12. Center for Reproductive Rights (2022). *The World's Abortion Laws (interactive map).* (Accessed 2025).
13. World Health Organization (2017). Ganatra, B. et al., *Global, regional, and subregional classification of abortions by safety, 2010–14. The Lancet* 390(10110):2372-81.