

# A linear operator of a new class of multivalent harmonic functions

\*WaggasGalibAtshan, \*\*Ali Hussein Battor, and \*\*\*Amal Mohammed Darweesh

\*Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of Al-Qadisiya, Diwaniya-Iraq  
 \*\*\*\*\*Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Girls, University of Kufa, Najaf – Iraq

**Abstract-** New classes of multivalently functions with a linear operator are introduced . We give sufficient coefficient bounds for  $f(z) \in KM_p(K, \beta, q)$  and then we show that these sufficient coefficient conditions are also necessary for  $f(z) \in AM_p(K, \beta, q)$ . Furthermore, we determine extreme points, convex combination, convolution property and integral operator for these functions. Also we obtain new results in this paper.

**Index Terms-** Multivalent harmonic functions, Coefficient bounds, Extreme points, Convex combination, Integral operator.

**AMS subject classification: 30C45.**

## I. INTRODUCTION

A continuous function  $f = u + iv$  is a complex valued harmonic function in a complex domain  $\mathbb{C}$  if both  $u$  and  $v$  are real harmonic in  $\mathbb{C}$ . In any simply connected domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ , we can write  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are analytic in  $D$ . We call  $h$  the analytic part and  $g$  the co-analytic part of  $f$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in  $D$  is that  $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$  in  $D$ , see Clunie and Sheil-Small [5].

Denote by  $M(p)$  the class of functions  $f = h + \bar{g}$  that are harmonic multivalent and sense-preserving in the unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . The class  $M(p)$  was studied by Ahuja and Jahangiri [1] and class  $M(p)$  for  $p = 1$  was defined and studied by Jahangiri et. al. in [6].

For  $f = h + \bar{g} \in M(p)$ , we may express the analytic functions  $h$  and  $g$  as:

$$h(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad |b_p| < 1. \quad (1)$$

Let  $W_p$  denote the subclass of  $M(p)$  consisting of functions  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are given by:

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n, \quad g(z) = - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |b_n| z^n, \quad |b_p| < 1. \quad (2)$$

Now, we define anew class  $KM_p(K, \beta, q)$  of harmonic functions of the form (1) that satisfy the inequality

$$Re \left\{ \frac{K \left[ \left( D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma) f(z) \right)^q + z^2 \left( D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma) f(z) \right)^{q+2} \right]}{z \left( D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma) f(z) \right)^{q+1}} \right\} > \beta, \quad (3)$$

where  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{p}$ ,  $p > q$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $0 \leq K \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ ,

$$f^q(z) = \delta(p, q) z^{p-q} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q) a_n z^{n-q},$$

$$\delta(i, j) = \frac{i!}{(i-j)!} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 0 \\ i(i-1) \dots (i-j+1) & j \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\left( D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma) f(z) \right) = \left( D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma) h(z) \right) + \overline{\left( D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma) g(z) \right)}. \quad (4)$$

The operator  $D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)$  denotes the linear operator introduced in [8]. For  $h$  and  $g$  given by (1), we obtain

$$D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma) h(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^\eta a_n z^n, \quad (5)$$

$$D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma) g(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^\eta b_n z^n, \quad (6)$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \lambda \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0, \eta \geq 0$ .

We further denote by  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$  the subclass of  $KM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$  that satisfies the relation

$$AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma) = AM_p \cap KM_p(K, \beta, \gamma). \tag{7}$$

## II. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITY

We need the following lemma in our results:

**Lemma 1[2]:**  $\operatorname{Re}\{w(z)\} > \beta$  if and only if  $|w(z) - (1 + \beta)| \leq |w(z) + (1 - \beta)|$ .

In the following theorem, we find a coefficient inequality for functions in the class  $KM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ .

**Theorem 1:** Let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  ( $h$  and  $g$  being given by (1)). If

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma}\right]^\eta |a_n| + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma}\right]^\eta |b_n| \leq \delta(p, q), \tag{8}$$

where  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{p}, p > q, p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq K \leq 1, \eta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0$ , then  $f$  is harmonic  $p$ -valent sense-preserving in  $U$  and  $f \in KM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ .

**Proof:** Let

$$w(z) = \left\{ \frac{K \left[ (D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)f(z))^q + z^2 (D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)f(z))^{q+2} \right]}{z (D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)f(z))^{q+1}} \right\}. \tag{9}$$

Using the fact in Lemma  $\operatorname{Re}\{w(z)\} > \beta$  if and only if  $|w(z) - (1 + \beta)| \leq |w(z) + (1 - \beta)|$ .

Substituting for  $w$  and resorting to simple calculations, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z) - (1 + \beta)| &\leq \delta(p, q)[(p - q)((p - q - 1)K - (1 + \beta)) + K]|z|^{p-q} \\ &+ \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - (1 + \beta)) + K]|a_n||z|^{n-q} \\ &+ \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - (1 + \beta)) + K]|b_n||z|^{n-q}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z) + (1 - \beta)| &\geq \delta(p, q)[(p - q)((p - q - 1)K + (1 - \beta)) + K]|z|^{p-q} \\ &- \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K + (1 - \beta)) + K]|a_n||z|^{n-q} \\ &- \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K + (1 - \beta)) + K]|b_n||z|^{n-q}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Evidently (10) and (11) in conjunction with (8) yields

$$|w(z) - (1 + \beta)| - |w(z) + (1 - \beta)| \leq 0.$$

The harmonic functions

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{\delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma}\right]^\eta} z^n + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{y}_n}{\delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma}\right]^\eta} \bar{z}^n, \tag{12}$$

where

$$\left( \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |y_n| = \delta(p, q) \right),$$

show that the coefficients bounds given by (8) is sharp.

The functions of the form (12) are in  $KM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$  because in view of (12) infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |a_n| \\ & + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |b_n| \\ & = \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |y_n| = \delta(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

The restriction placed in Theorem (1) on the moduli of coefficients of  $f = h + \bar{g}$  implies for arbitrary rotation of the coefficients of  $f$ , the resulting functions would still be harmonic multivalent and  $f \in KM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ .

The following theorem shows that the condition (8) is also necessary for function  $f$  to belong to  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ .

**Theorem 2:** Let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  with  $h$  and  $g$  are given by (2). Then  $f \in AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |a_n| \\ & + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |b_n| \leq \delta(p, q), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{p}, p > q, p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq K \leq 1, \eta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0$ .

**Proof:** By noting that  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma) \subset KM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ , the sufficiency part of Theorem (2) follows at once from Theorem (1). To prove the necessary part, let us assume that  $f \in AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ . Using (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{K \left[ \left( D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)h(z) \right)^q + \left( \overline{D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)g(z)} \right)^q + z^2 \left[ \left( D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)h(z) \right)^{q+2} + \left( \overline{D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)g(z)} \right)^{q+2} \right] \right]}{z \left[ \left( D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)h(z) \right)^{q+1} + \left( \overline{D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)g(z)} \right)^{q+1} \right]} \right\} \\ & = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{Kt - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} Kc \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^{\eta} |a_n| z^{n-q} - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} Kc \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^{\eta} |b_n| \bar{z}^{n-q}}{\delta(p, q + 1) - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q + 1) \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^{\eta} |a_n| z^{n-q} - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q + 1) \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^{\eta} |b_n| \bar{z}^{n-q}} \right\} \\ & > \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where

$t = \delta(p, q)[1 + (p - q)(p - q - 1)]$  and  $c = \delta(n, q)[1 + (n - q)(n - q - 1)]$ .

If we choose  $z$  to be real and let  $z \rightarrow 1^-$ , we obtain the condition (13).

### III. EXTREME POINTS

Next, we determine the extreme points of the closed convex hull of  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ , denoted by  $\overline{AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)}$ .

**Theorem 3:**  $f \in \overline{AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)}$  if and only if

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (\mu_n h_n + \theta_n g_n), \quad (14)$$

where  $z \in U, h_p(z) = z^p$ ,

$$h_n(z) = z^p - \frac{\delta(p, q)}{\delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta}} z^n, \quad (15)$$

( $n = p + 1, p + 2, \dots$ )

$$g_n(z) = z^p - \frac{\delta(p, q)}{\delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta}} \bar{z}^n, \quad (16)$$

( $n = p, p + 1, \dots$ ) and

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (\mu_n + \theta_n) = 1, \quad (\mu_n \geq 0, \theta_n \geq 0).$$

In particular, the extreme points of  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$  are  $\{h_n\}$  and  $\{g_n\}$ .

**Proof :** Suppose  $f$  is of the form (14). Using (15) and (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (\mu_n h_n + \theta_n g_n) \\
 &= \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (\mu_n + \theta_n) z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta(p, q)}{\delta(n, q)[(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta} \mu_n z^n \\
 &\quad - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{\delta(p, q)}{\delta(n, q)[(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta} \theta_n (\bar{z})^n \\
 &= z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta(p, q)}{\delta(n, q)[(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta} \mu_n z^n \\
 &\quad - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{\delta(p, q)}{\delta(n, q)[(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta} \theta_n (\bar{z})^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta(p, q)}{\delta(n, q)[(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta} \mu_n \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{\delta(p, q)}{\delta(n, q)[(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta} \theta_n \\
 &= \delta(p, q) \left( \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (\mu_n + \theta_n) - \mu_p \right) = \delta(p, q)(1 - \mu_p) \leq \delta(p, q),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $f \in \overline{AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)}$ . Conversely, assume that  $f \in \overline{AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)}$ . Putting

$$\mu_n = \frac{\delta(n, q)[(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta}{\delta(p, q)} |a_n|,$$

( $n = p + 1, p + 2, \dots$ ),

$$\theta_n = \frac{\delta(n, q)[(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta}{\delta(p, q)} |b_n|,$$

( $n = p, p + 1, \dots$ ), we get

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (\mu_n h_n + \theta_n g_n).$$

**Theorem 4:** The class  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$  is a convex set.

**Proof :** Let the function  $f_{n,j}$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by

$$f_{n,j}(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_{n,j}| z^n - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |b_{n,j}| \bar{z}^n$$

be in the class  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ .

It is sufficient to prove that the function

$$H(z) = \tau f_{n,1}(z) + (1 - \tau) f_{n,2}(z), \quad (0 \leq \tau < 1),$$

is also in the class  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ . Since for  $0 \leq \tau < 1$ ,

$$H(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\tau |a_{n,1}| + (1 - \tau) |a_{n,2}|) z^n - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (\tau |b_{n,1}| + (1 - \tau) |b_{n,2}|) (\bar{z})^n$$

with the aid of Theorem (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} (\tau|a_{n,1}| + (1 - \tau)|a_{n,2}|) \\
 & + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} (\tau|b_{n,1}| + (1 - \tau)|b_{n,2}|) \\
 & = \tau \left[ \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |a_{n,1}| \right. \\
 & + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |b_{n,1}| \left. \right] \\
 & + (1 - \tau) \left[ \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |a_{n,2}| \right. \\
 & + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |b_{n,2}| \left. \right] \\
 & \leq \tau\delta(p, q) + (1 - \tau)\delta(p, q) = \delta(p, q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $H(z) \in AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ .

For harmonic functions

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |b_n| (\overline{z})^n \tag{17}$$

and

$$F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |A_n| z^n - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |B_n| (\overline{z})^n. \tag{18}$$

We define the convolution of  $f$  and  $F$  as

$$(f * F)(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n A_n| z^n - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |b_n B_n| (\overline{z})^n. \tag{19}$$

#### IV. CONVOLUTION PROPERTY

In the following theorem we examine the convolution property of the class  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ .

**Theorem 5:** If  $f$  and  $F$  are in the class  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ , then  $(f * F)$  also in the class  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ .

**Proof :** Let  $f$  and  $F$  of the form (17) and (18) belong to  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ . Then the convolution of  $f$  and  $F$  is given by (19). Note that  $|A_n| \leq 1$  and  $|B_n| \leq 1$ , since  $F \in AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ . Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |a_n| |A_n| \\
 & + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |b_n| |B_n| \\
 & \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |a_n| \\
 & + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q)[(n - q)((n - q - 1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n - p)\lambda}{p + \gamma} \right]^{\eta} |b_n|.
 \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of the above inequality is bounded by  $\delta(p, q)$  because  $f \in AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ . Therefore  $(f * F) \in AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ .

#### 5- Integral Operator:

**Definition 1[7]:** The June-Kim-Srivastava integral operator is defined by

$$J^{\sigma} h(z) = \frac{(p + 1)^{\sigma}}{z\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^z \left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{\sigma} K(t) dt, \quad \sigma > 0. \tag{20}$$

If

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

then

$$J^\sigma h(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+1}{n+1}\right)^\sigma a_n z^n, \tag{21}$$

also  $J^\sigma$  is a linear operator.

**Remark 1:** If  $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$ , where

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n, \quad g(z) = - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |b_n| z^n, |b_p| < 1,$$

then

$$J^\sigma f(z) = J^\sigma h(z) + \overline{J^\sigma g(z)}. \tag{22}$$

**Theorem 6:** If  $f \in AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ , then  $J^\sigma f$  is also in  $AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ .

**Proof :** By (21) and (22), we obtain

$$J^\sigma f(z) = J^\sigma \left( z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |b_n| \overline{(z)^n} \right) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+1}{n+1}\right)^\sigma |a_n| z^n - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+1}{n+1}\right)^\sigma \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |b_n| \overline{(z)^n},$$

since  $f \in AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ , then by Theorem (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q) [(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^\eta |a_n| \\ & + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q) [(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^\eta |b_n| \leq \delta(p, q). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We must show

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q) [(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^\eta \left(\frac{p+1}{n+1}\right)^\sigma |a_n| \\ & + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \delta(n, q) [(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^\eta \left(\frac{p+1}{n+1}\right)^\sigma |b_n| \\ & \leq \delta(p, q). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

But in view of (23) the inequality in (24) holds true if  $\left(\frac{p+1}{n+1}\right)^\sigma \leq 1$ , since  $\sigma > 0$  and  $p \leq n$ , therefore (24) holds true and this gives the result.

**Theorem 7:** Let  $f \in AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ . Then

$$|D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)f(z)| \leq (1 + |b_p|)z^p + \frac{\delta(p, q) - |b_p|}{\delta(p+1, q)[(p-q+1)((p-q)K - \beta) + K]} |z|^{p+1}$$

and

$$|D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)f(z)| \geq (1 - |b_p|)z^p - \frac{\delta(p, q) - |b_p|}{\delta(p+1, q)[(p-q+1)((p-q)K - \beta) + K]} |z|^{p+1}.$$

**Proof :** Let  $f \in AM_p(K, \beta, \gamma)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta(p+1, q)[(p-q+1)((p-q)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^\eta (|a_n| + |b_n|) \\ & \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(n, q) [(n-q)((n-q-1)K - \beta) + K] \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^\eta (|a_n| + |b_n|) \leq \delta(p, q) - |b_p| \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^\eta (|a_n| + |b_n|) \leq \frac{\delta(p, q) - |b_p|}{\delta(p+1, q)[(p-q+1)((p-q)K - \beta) + K]}.$$

Applying this inequality in the following assertion, we obtain

$$|D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)f(z)| = \left| z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^\eta |a_n| z^n - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma} \right]^\eta |b_n| \overline{(z)^n} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 + |b_p|)z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta (|a_n| + |b_n|)|z|^n \\ &\leq (1 + |b_p|)z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta (|a_n| + |b_n|)|z|^{p+1} \\ &\leq (1 + |b_p|)z^p + \frac{\delta(p, q) - |b_p|}{\delta(p+1, q)[(p-q+1)((p-q)K - \beta) + K]} |z|^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, on the other hand we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D_p(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)f(z)| &\geq (1 - |b_p|)z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta (|a_n| + |b_n|)|z|^n \\ &\geq (1 - |b_p|)z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[1 + \frac{(n-p)\lambda}{p+\gamma}\right]^\eta (|a_n| + |b_n|)|z|^{p+1} \\ &\geq (1 - |b_p|)z^p - \frac{\delta(p, q) - |b_p|}{\delta(p+1, q)[(p-q+1)((p-q)K - \beta) + K]} |z|^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. P. Ahuja and J. M. Jahangiri, Multivalent harmonic starlike functions, *Ann. Univ. Marie-Curie Skłodowska sect. A*, 55(1)(2001).
- [2] E. S. Aqlan, Some problems connected with geometric function theory, Ph. D. Thesis(2004), Pune University, Pune.
- [3] W. G. Atshan, On a certain class of multivalent functions defined by Hadama product, *Journal of Asian Scientific Research*, 3(9)(2013), 891-902.
- [4] W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni, New classes of multivalentlyharmonic functions, *Int. J. of Math. Analysis*, Vol. 2, 2008, no. 3, 111-121.
- [5] J. G. Clunie and T. Shell-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, *Aun. Acad. Aci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math*, 9(1984), 3-25.
- [6] J. M. Jahangiri, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya, Sălăgean-typeharmonic univalent functions, *South. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 2(2002), 77-82.
- [7] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kimand and H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with one-parameter families of integral operators, *J. Math. Appl.* 176(1993), 138-197.
- [8] H. Mahzoon and S. Latha, Neighborhoods of multivalent functions, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 3(30)(2009), 1501-1507.

#### AUTHORS

**First Author** – WaggasGalibAtshan, Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of Al-Qadisiya, Diwaniya-Iraq, E-mail :waggashnd@gmail.com ; waggas\_hnd@yahoo.com  
**Second Author** – Ali Hussein Battor , Department of Mathematics , College of Education for Girls, University of Kufa , Najaf – Iraq, E-mail : battor\_ali@yahoo.com  
**Third Author** – Amal Mohammed Darweesh, Department of Mathematics , College of Education for Girls, University of Kufa , Najaf – Iraq , E-mail :amalm.alhareezi@uokufa.edu.iq