ISSN 2250-3153

NATURE'S GENERAL LEDGER : "THE GRAND DESIGN" MODEL FOR A SIMULATED UNIVERSE-A GIANT DIGITAL COMPUTER AT WORK

[1]DR K N PRASANNA KUMAR, [2]PROF B S KIRANAGI AND [3] PROF C S BAGEWADI

ABSTRACT: Consciousness could be thought of as the problem to which propositions belong and concomitantly correspond as they indicate particular responses ,signify instances of general solutions, with its essential configurations, rational representations conferential extrinsicness, interfacial interference, syncopated justices, heterogeneous variations testimonies,apodeictic knowledge of ideological tergiversation,sauccesful reality,sleaty sciolisms,tiurated vaticinations,anchorite aperitif anamensial alienisms and manifest subjective acts of resolution . Consciousness in its organization of singular points, series and displacements, is doubly generative; it not only engenders the logical propositions with its determinate dimensions but also its correlates. The equivocality, ambiguity, in the synchronicity of the problem and proposition both in the sets and subsets of the ontological premises and logical boundaries, "error in perception" arises in the field of consciousness. Far from indicating the subjective and provisional state of empirical knowledge consciousness refers to an ideational objectivity or to a structure constitutive of space and time, the knowledge and the known, the proposition and its correlates. The question of "question" in consciousness does not bear any resemblance to the proposition which subsumes it, but rather it determines its own conditionalities and representationalitiesof and assigns them to its constituents in various permutations and combinations, that are done with corporate signification, personalized manifestation, individual denotation and organizational individuation. Consciousness is only the shadow of the problem projected or rather constructed based on empirical propositions. It is the same 'illusion' which does not allow it to be reduced to any empirical thesis or antithesis for that matter. Retroactive movement of consciousness based on morphemes, semitones and relational openness leads to disintegration of external relations and dysfunctional fissures in the personality domains of resolvability are relativistic in the self determination of the consciousness problem. Consciousness makes signification as the condition of truth and proposition as the conditional truth; it is necessary that we should not vie the condition as the one who is conditioned, lest the biases of internationality and subject object conflict arise. Witness consciousness is the best answer to the problems that we face in science. Static genesis sets right the "aham brahasmi" (I AM Brahman) and "from Brahman we came" problem. Consciousness thus is neutral but never the double of the propositions which express it. "Events" have critical points like say liquids have, or water has. in all its pristine glory and primordial mortification consciousness is just "knowledge", expressed in bytes, visual field capacity is also expressed. We make an explicit assumption that the storage is measured based on the number of bytes and that ASCII is used. Further assumption in gratification deprivation is that gratification increases in arithmetic progression, and deprivation in geometric progression. More you think, more you get angry. The still more you think you go mad. Repetitive actions and thoughts which are themselves actions are assumed to be recorded. by a hypothertical"neuron DNA". We thus record everything in the general ledger of the universe. And lo! The grand design simulated by someone, with people like us with Tamás, rajas (dynamism) and sattva (the transcendental form of Tamás and rajas) react. The height' is the murder, mayhem calypso and cataclysm. the depth is "non reaction ability". With this we state that this universe is s grand design simulated and we are really playing our roles to fit in a virtual drama.

INTRODUCTION:

We take in to considerations following parameters:

(1) Consciousness(just the amount of bytes recorded and visual representations measured by Information field capacity)
(2) Perception (What we see-It is said by many people like Kant and Indian Brihadyaranyaka Sutra that what you see is not what you see; what you do not see is not what you do not see; what you see is what you do not see and what you do not see is what you see –Here we assume that perception is what we see. And note in the Model we are making a case for the "augmented reality" or "dissipated reality" if the observer has "consciousness", by which we mean what exactly is happening. If two crime syndicates are fighting each other, you may only see a terrible traffic and do not see anything else!)
(3) Gratification (we assume that it increases, the balance increases by arithmetic progression .The more you think, the same sentences form again and can be measured by ASCII numbers…Too much needless to say leads to paranoid schizophrenia. All actions are performed by people to achieve gratification or deprivation, that includes sadists and masochists)
(4) Deprivation(Balance here increases by GP ;again ASCII is used)
(5) Space
(6) Time
(7) Vacuum Energy
(8) Quantum Field
(9) Quantum Gravity
(10) Environmental Coherence
(11) Mass
(12) Energy

CONSCIOUSNESS AND PERCEPTION MODULE NUMBERED ONE

NOTATION :

G_{13} : CATEGORY ONE OF PERCEPTION

G_{14} : CATEGORY TWO OF PERCEPTION

G_{15} : CATEGORY THREE OFPERCEPTION

T_{13} : CATEGORY ONE OF THE CONSCIOUSNESS

T_{14} : CATEGORY TWO OF THE CONSCIOUSNESS

T_{15} :CATEGORY THREE OF THE CONSCIOUSNESS

SPACE AND TIME MODULE NUMBERED TWO:

==========
=

G_{16} : CATEGORY ONE OFTIME

G_{17} : CATEGORY TWO OF TIME

G_{18} : CATEGORY THREE OF TIME

T_{16} :CATEGORY ONE OFSPACE

T_{17} : CATEGORY TWO OF SPACE

T_{18} : CATEGORY THREE OF SPACE

GRATIFICATIONA AND DEPRIVATION(MOSTLY UNCONSERVATIVE HOLISTICALLY AND INDIVIDUALLY! WORLD IS AN EXAMPLE) MODULE NUMBERED THREE:

==========

G_{20} : CATEGORY ONE OF DEPRIVATION

G_{21} :CATEGORY TWO OF DEPRIVATION

G_{22} : CATEGORY THREE OF DEPRIVATION

T_{20} : CATEGORY ONE OF GRATIFICATION

T_{21} :CATEGORY TWO OF GRATIFICATION

T_{22} : CATEGORY THREE OF GRATIFICATION

MASS AND ENERGY:MODULE NUMBERED FOUR:

==========

G_{24} : CATEGORY ONE OF MATTER

G_{25} : CATEGORY TWO OFMATTER

G_{26} : CATEGORY THREE OF MATTER

T_{24} :CATEGORY ONE OF ENERGY

T_{25} :CATEGORY TWO OF ENERGY

T_{26} : CATEGORY THREE OF ENERGY

VACUUM ENERGY AND QUANTUM FIELD:MODULE NUMBERED FIVE:

==========

G_{28} : CATEGORY ONE OF QUANTUM FIELD

G_{29} : CATEGORY TWO OFQUANTUM FIELD

G_{30} :CATEGORY THREE OF QUANTUM FIELD

T_{28} :CATEGORY ONE OF VACUUM ENERGY

T_{29} :CATEGORY TWO OF VACUUM ENERGY

T_{30} :CATEGORY THREE OF VACUUM ENERGY

ENVIRONMENTAL COHERENCE AND QUANTUM GRAVITY:MODULE NUMBERED SIX:

==========

G_{32} : CATEGORY ONE OFENVIRONMENTAL COHERENCE

G_{33} : CATEGORY TWO OF ENVIRONMENTAL COHERENCE

G_{34} : CATEGORY THREE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COHERENCE

T_{32} : CATEGORY ONE OF QUANTUM GRAVITY

T_{33} : CATEGORY TWO OF QUANTUM GRAVITY

T_{34} : CATEGORY THREE OF QUANTUM GRAVITY

==========

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$

are Accentuation coefficients

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},\ \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

are Dissipation coefficients

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

CONSCIOUSNESS AND PERCEPTION MODULE NUMBERED ONE

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15}$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15}$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

SPACE AND TIME MODULE NUMBERED TWO

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16}$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17}$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a_{18}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{18}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18}$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b_{16}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16}$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17}$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b_{18}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{18}^{''})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18}$$

$+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

GRATIFICATIONA AND DEPRIVATION(MOSTLY UNCONSERVATIVE HOLISTICALLY AND INDIVIDUALLY! WORLD IS AN EXAMPLE) MODULE NUMBERED THREE

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21}$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a_{22}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22}$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b_{20}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b_{22}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22}$$

$+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

MASS AND ENERGY:MODULE NUMBERED FOUR:

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24}$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25}$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a_{26}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{26}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26}$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b_{24}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24}$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{25}^{''})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25}$$

$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b_{26}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{26}^{''})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26}$

$+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

VACUUM ENERGY AND QUANTUM FIELD:MODULE NUMBERED FIVE

$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28}$

$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29}$

$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a_{30}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30}$

$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{28}$

$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{29}$

$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b_{30}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{30}^{''})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{30}$

$+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

ENVIRONMENTAL COHERENCE AND QUANTUM GRAVITY:MODULE NUMBERED SIX

$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32}$

$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a_{33}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33}$

$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a_{34}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{34}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34}$

$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{32}^{''})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{32}$

$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{33}^{''})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{33}$

$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b_{34}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{34}^{''})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{34}$

$+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

HOLISTIC CONCATENATE SYTEMAL EQUATIONS HENCEFORTH REFERRED TO AS "GLOBAL EQUATIONS"

CONSCIOUSNESS AND PERCEPTION MODULE NUMBERED ONE

SPACE AND TIME MODULE NUMBERED TWO

GRATIFICATIONA AND DEPRIVATION(MOSTLY UNCONSERVATIVE HOLISTICALLY AND INDIVIDUALLY! WORLD IS AN EXAMPLE) MODULE NUMBERED THREE

VACUUM ENERGY AND QUANTUM FIELD:MODULE NUMBERED FIVE

MASS AND ENERGY:MODULE NUMBERED FOUR

ENVIRONMENTAL COHERENCE AND QUANTUM GRAVITY:MODULE NUMBERED SIX

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{13}^{'})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{14}^{'})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{15}^{'})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a_{15}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{15}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b_{14}^{'})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{16}$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{17}$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{18}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b_{16}^{'})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{16}$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b_{17}^{'})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{17}$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b_{18}^{'})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{18}$$

where $\boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{20}$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{21}^{'})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{21}$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{22}^{'})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{22}$$

$\boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}\\ \boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{20}$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{21}^{'})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}\\ \boxed{-(b_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{21}$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{22}^{'})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}\\ \boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{22}$$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{24}$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a_{25}^{'})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{25}$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{26}^{'})^{(4)} \boxed{+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{26}$$

$Where$ $\boxed{(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{26}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ $are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ ca$

$\boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ $are\ second\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ fo$

$\boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ $are\ third\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ for$

$\boxed{+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b_{24}^{'})^{(4)} \boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{24}$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b_{25}^{'})^{(4)} \boxed{-(b_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{25}$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b_{26}^{'})^{(4)} \boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{26}$$

$Where$ $\boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ $are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ ca$

$\boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ $are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ ca$

$\boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ $are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ cate$

$\boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{28}$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{29}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{29}$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{30}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{30}$$

$Where$ $\boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ $are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ f$

And $\boxed{+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ $are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficier$

$\boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ $are\ third\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ f$

$\boxed{+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b_{28}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{array}\right]T_{28}$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b_{29}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{array}\right]T_{29}$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b_{30}^{'})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{array}\right]T_{30}$$

$where$ $\boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ $are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ $are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ ca$

$\boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ $are\ \ third\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for$

$\boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a_{33}^{'})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{33}$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a_{34}^{'})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{34}$$

$\boxed{+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for cate*

$\boxed{+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients*

$\boxed{+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients*

$\boxed{+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{32}$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{33}^{'})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{33}$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b_{34}^{'})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{34}$$

$\boxed{-(b_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for categor*

$\boxed{-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}^{''})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for*

$\boxed{-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}^{''})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for*

$\boxed{-(b_{13}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}^{''})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}^{''})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}^{''})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

Where we suppose

(A) $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i^{'})^{(1)}, (a_i^{''})^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i^{'})^{(1)}, (b_i^{''})^{(1)} > 0,$

$i, j = 13,14,15$

(B) The functions $(a_i^{''})^{(1)}, (b_i^{''})^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$(a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$

$(b_i^{''})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i^{'})^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$

(C) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i^{''})^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{'},t) - (a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}^{'}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

$|(b_i^{''})^{(1)}(G^{'},t) - (b_i^{''})^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G^{'}||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{'},t)$ and$(a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. $(T_{14}^{'},t)$ and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient WOULD be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$:

(D) $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$:

(E) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i^{'})^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i^{'})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(F) $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$

(G) The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \le (p_i)^{(2)} \le (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \le (r_i)^{(2)} \le (b_i')^{(2)} \le (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$$

(H) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}),t) = (r_i)^{(2)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the SECOND augmentation coefficient would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

(I) $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(J) $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22$

The functions $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}, (b_i^{''})^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i^{'})^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$

$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{'}, t) - (a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}^{'}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{'}, t) - (b_i^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}{}^{'}||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{'}, t)$ and$(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. $(T_{21}^{'}, t)$ And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the THIRD augmentation coefficient, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$:

(K) $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i^{'})^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i^{'})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i^{'})^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i^{'})^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i^{'})^{(4)}, (a_i^{''})^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i^{'})^{(4)}, (b_i^{''})^{(4)} > 0, \quad i, j = 24,25,26$$

(M) The functions $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}, (b_i^{''})^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i^{''})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i^{'})^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

(N) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)=(p_i)^{(4)}$
$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i^{''})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)=\ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)},(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{'},t)-(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25}-T_{25}^{'}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$

$|(b_i^{''})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{'},t)-(b_i^{''})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27})-(G_{27})^{'}||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{'},t)$ and$(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. $(T_{25}^{'},t)$ and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}=4$ then the function $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the FOURTH **augmentation coefficient WOULD** be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$:

(Q) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)},(a_i^{'})^{(4)},(b_i)^{(4)},(b_i^{'})^{(4)},(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)},i=24,25,26,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)}+(a_i^{'})^{(4)}+\ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+\ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)}+(b_i^{'})^{(4)}+\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}]<1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(5)},(a_i^{'})^{(5)},(a_i^{''})^{(5)},(b_i)^{(5)},(b_i^{'})^{(5)},(b_i^{''})^{(5)}>0,\quad i,j=28,29,30$

(S) The functions $(a_i^{''})^{(5)},(b_i^{''})^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)},\ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$(a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le(p_i)^{(5)}\le(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$

$(b_i^{''})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le\ (r_i)^{(5)}\le(b_i^{'})^{(5)}\le(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$

(T) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)=(p_i)^{(5)}$
$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)=\ (r_i)^{(5)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{'}, t) - (a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}^{'}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i^{''})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{'}, t) - (b_i^{''})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})^{'}||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{'}, t)$ and$(a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. $(T_{29}^{'}, t)$ and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 5$ then the function $(a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, theFIFTH **augmentation coefficient** attributable would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants
$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i^{'})^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i^{'})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i^{'})^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i^{'})^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i^{'})^{(6)}, (a_i^{''})^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i^{'})^{(6)}, (b_i^{''})^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$

(W) The functions $(a_i^{''})^{(6)}, (b_i^{''})^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i^{''})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i^{'})^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

(X) $lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$
$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i^{''})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{'}, t) - (a_i^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}^{'}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i^{''})^{(6)}((G_{35})',t)-(b_i^{''})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35})-(G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{'},t)$ and$(a_i^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. $(T_{33}^{'},t)$ and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}=6$ then the function $(a_i^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the SIXTH **augmentation coefficient** would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants
$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}<1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)},(a_i^{'})^{(6)},(b_i)^{(6)},(b_i^{'})^{(6)},(p_i)^{(6)},\ (r_i)^{(6)},i=32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)}+(a_i^{'})^{(6)}+\ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+\ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)}+(b_i^{'})^{(6)}+\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}]<1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions IN THE FOREGOING above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions

Definition of $G_i(0),T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t)\leq\ \big(\hat{P}_{13}\big)^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ ,\ \boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\quad ,\quad \boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$$

Definition of $G_i(0),T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Definition of $G_i(0),T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + a_{16}'')^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}'')^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}) \right) G_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (a_{18}'')^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (b_{16}'')^{(2)} (G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (b_{17}'')^{(2)} (G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (b_{18}'')^{(2)} (G(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + a_{20}'')^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{21}'')^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (a_{22}'')^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (b_{20}'')^{(3)} (G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (b_{21}'')^{(3)} (G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (b_{22}'')^{(3)} (G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\bar{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\bar{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)} (G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)} (G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)} (G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)} (G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)} (G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{29}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)} (G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) T_{30}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} \left(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)} \right) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} \left(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)} \right) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} \left(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)} \right) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} \left(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)} \right) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} \left(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)} \right) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} \left(G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)} \right) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(- \frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{14} , $G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

(b) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} \right) \right] ds_{(16)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0 \right) e^{\left(- \frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{17} , $G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

(b) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

(c) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{28}(t) - G_{28}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \le \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_{29}^0}{G_{29}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

(d) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^0 + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\right)\right] ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{32})^{(6)} t\right) G_{33}^0 + \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}<1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}$:

$$\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{13}')^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a_{13}'')^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a_{13}'')^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a_{13}'')^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a_{13}')^{(1)}+(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\,G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}$ and $(b_{13}^{''})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i^{''})^{(1)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i^{'})^{(1)} - (a_i^{''})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i^{'})^{(1)} t\right)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14} \; and \; G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}^{'})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)} \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}^{'})^{(1)}$

If $G_{14} \; or \; G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13} \; is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14} \; and \; G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty} ((b_i^{''})^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}^{'})^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \; \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t \; > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i^{''})^{(1)} (G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)} (m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \; \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)} (m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \; \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \; \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)} (m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log \frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty} (b_{15}^{''})^{(1)}\,(G(t), t) = (b_{15}^{'})^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\,(G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i^{''})^{(2)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(2)}, i=16{,}17{,}18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t})=0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t})=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}|(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}$ and $(b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(3)},i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i^{'})^{(3)}-(a_i^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i^{'})^{(3)}t\right)}>0\quad\text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20}<(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1-(a_{21}^{'})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2=G_{21}^0+2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a_{21}^{'})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a_{22}')^{(3)}$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying IN to itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}\right),\left((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}), (\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)} - G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)} \left| G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)} \left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) \left| G_{24}^{(1)} - G_{24}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left| (a_{24}^{''})^{(4)} \left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a_{24}^{''})^{(4)} \left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right) \right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} \} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, \mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)} - (G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a_{24}^{'})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)}; \; (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}$ and $(b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27}) (and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i^{'})^{(4)} - (a_i^{''})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i^{'})^{(4)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25} \; and \; G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}^{'})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}^{'})^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25} \; or \; G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{24} \; is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25} \; and \; G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t \to \infty} ((b_i^{''})^{(4)} \; ((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}^{'})^{(4)}$ then $\mathcal{T}_{25} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i^{''})^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}^{''})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}^{'})^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions ANALOGOUS inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)}), ((G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}), (\widetilde{T_{31}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\bar{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$

$G_{28}^{(2)}|(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (35,35,36) the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}$ and $(b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i^{''})^{(5)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(5)}, i=28{,}29{,}30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS it results

$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i^{'})^{(5)}-(a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\geq 0$

$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i^{'})^{(5)}t\right)}>0\quad$ for $\mathrm{t}>0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28}<(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1-(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2=G_{29}^0+2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3=G_{30}^0+2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a_{30}^{'})^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{28}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i^{''})^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b_{29}^{'})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i^{''})^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}^{''})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b_{30}^{'})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left| G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)} \left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left| G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left| (a''_{32})^{(6)} \left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)} \left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right) \right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left| (G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)} \right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)} \right) d \left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)} \right) \right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35}) (and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 69 to 32 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[- \int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)} \right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33} \; or \; G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{32} \; is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below.

Remark 5: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t \to \infty} ((b_i'')^{(6)} \; ((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \; \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i^{''})^{(6)}\big((G_{35})(t), t\big) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}^{''})^{(6)}\big((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\big) = (b_{34}^{'})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

(a) $\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(G, t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$:

(b) By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\big(\nu^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\big(u^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\big(\nu^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\big(u^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

(c) If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{13}(t) \leq G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{14}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \leq G_{15}(t) \leq \right.$$
$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a_{15}')^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a_{15}')^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a_{15}')^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b_{15}')^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b_{15}')^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b_{15}')^{(1)} t} \leq T_{15}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a_{13}')^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b_{13}')^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$:

(d) $\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \leq -(a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}')^{(2)} - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \leq -(b_{16}')^{(2)} + (b_{17}')^{(2)} - (b_{16}'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b_{17}'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots

(e) of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:-

(f) If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}$, $(m_2)^{(2)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(2)} < (v_1)^{(2)}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (v_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (v_0)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a_{18}')^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a_{18}')^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a_{18}')^{(2)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b_{18}')^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b_{18}')^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b_{18}')^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

(a) $\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$:

(b) By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:-

(c) If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$G_{20}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}}G_{20}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}}G_{20}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$

$(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)}-(S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+G_{22}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le$
$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(a_{22}^{'})^{(3)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(a_{22}^{'})^{(3)}t}]+\ G_{22}^{0}e^{-(a_{22}^{'})^{(3)}t})$

$\boxed{T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}}$

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}$

$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b_{22}^{'})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(b_{22}^{'})^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(b_{22}^{'})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le$

$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b_{22}^{'})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions
If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

(d) $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b_{24}^{'})^{(4)} + (b_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b_{25}^{''})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$:

(e) By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

(f) If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$ are defined by 59 and 64 respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \leq G_{24}(t) \leq G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \leq G_{25}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \leq G_{26}(t) \leq \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t} \right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \leq T_{26}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t} \right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)} (m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)} (\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions
If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

(g) $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)} + (b_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$:

(h) By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

(i) If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

$$\Big(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\Big] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a_{30}')^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a_{30}')^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a_{30}')^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b_{30}')^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b_{30}')^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b_{30}')^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a_{28}')^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b_{28}')^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b_{30}')^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions
If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

(j) $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a_{32}')^{(6)}+(a_{33}')^{(6)}-(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b_{32}')^{(6)}+(b_{33}')^{(6)}-(b_{32}'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b_{33}'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)},(\nu_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},\nu^{(6)},u^{(6)}$:

(k) By $(\nu_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

(l) If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(\nu_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(\nu_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)}<(\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(\nu_1)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)}<(\nu_0)^{(6)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)}=\frac{G_{32}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(\nu_1)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(\nu_0)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}<(\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a_{34}')^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a_{34}')^{(6)} t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a_{34}')^{(6)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b_{34}')^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b_{34}')^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b_{34}')^{(6)} t} \le T_{34}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a_{32}')^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b_{32}')^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Proof : From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} - (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

(a) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$$

$$\text{it follows } (\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(1)}$$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

(b) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

(c) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}^{''})^{(1)} = (a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} =$

$(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}^{''})^{(1)} = (b_{14}^{''})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} - (a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

(d) For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

(e) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

(f) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

.

Particular case :

If $(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)} = (a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}^{''})^{(2)} = (b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a_{20}^{'})^{(3)} - (a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

(a) For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

(b) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

(c) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \le (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \le u^{(3)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)} = (a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}^{''})^{(3)} = (b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

: From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}^{'})^{(4)} - (a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

(d) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

(e) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

(f) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $\boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)} = (a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}^{''})^{(4)} = (b_{25}^{''})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}^{'})^{(5)} - (a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right) - (a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

(g) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

(h) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

(i) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)} = (a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}^{''})^{(5)} = (b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}^{'})^{(6)} - (a_{33}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

(j) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

(k) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

(l) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq v^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{v^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{32}^{''})^{(6)} = (a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $v^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{32}^{''})^{(6)} = (b_{33}^{''})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

We can prove the following

Theorem 3: If $(a_i^{''})^{(1)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a_{14}^{'})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{14}^{'})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined, then the system

If $(a_i^{''})^{(2)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$

$(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{17}^{'})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$

$(b_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$,

$(b_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{17}^{'})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a_i^{''})^{(3)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a_{21}^{'})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(b_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(b_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{21}^{'})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a_i^{''})^{(4)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(a_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a_{25}^{'})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(b_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(b_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{25}^{'})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a_i^{''})^{(5)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a_{29}^{'})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(b_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(b_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{29}^{'})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined satisfied , then the system

If $(a_i^{''})^{(6)} and\ (b_i^{''})^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(a_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(a_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a_{33}^{'})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(b_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(b_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{33}^{'})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a_{15}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{15}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b_{13}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b_{15}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{15}^{''})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a_{18}^{'})^{(2)} + (a_{18}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0$

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0$

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0$

$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0$

$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0$

$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0$

$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0$

$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0$

$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0$

$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0$

$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0$

$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0$

$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0$

$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0$

$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0$

$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0$

$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0$

$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0$

$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) +$

$(a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^{*} :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^{*} for which $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a_{13}^{'})^{(1)}+(a_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a_{15}^{'})^{(1)}+(a_{15}^{''})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{17}^{*} :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{17}^{*} for which $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a_{16}^{'})^{(2)}+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a_{18}^{'})^{(2)}+(a_{18}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{21}^{*} :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{21}^{*} for which $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)}+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a_{22}^{'})^{(3)}+(a_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{25}^{*} :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{25}^{*} for which $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)}+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a_{26}^{'})^{(4)}+(a_{26}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{29}^{*} :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{29}^{*} for which $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)}+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a_{30}^{'})^{(5)}+(a_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^{*} :-

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^{*} for which $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)}+(a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a_{34}^{'})^{(6)}+(a_{34}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

(e) By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if

$$\varphi(G) = (b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b_{13}^{'})^{(1)}(b_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(G) + (b_{14}^{'})^{(1)}(b_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b_{13}^{''})^{(1)}(G)(b_{14}^{''})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there

exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$

(f) By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b_{16}^{'})^{(2)}(b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b_{17}^{'})^{(2)}(b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$

(g) By the same argument, the concatenated equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(b_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b_{20}^{'})^{(3)}(b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b_{21}^{'})^{(3)}(b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

(h) By the same argument, the equations of modules admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(b_{25}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b_{24}^{'})^{(4)}(b_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b_{25}^{'})^{(4)}(b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right] + (b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b_{25}^{''})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^{*}) = 0$

(i) By the same argument, the equations (modules) admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(b_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)}(b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b_{29}^{'})^{(5)}(b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right] + (b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{29}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$

(j) By the same argument, the equations (modules) admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(b_{33}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)}(b_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b_{33}^{'})^{(6)}(b_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right] + (b_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 94

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{13}^{''})^{(1)} (T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a_{15}^{'})^{(1)} + (a_{15}^{''})^{(1)} (T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b_{13}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{13}^{''})^{(1)} (G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b_{15}^{'})^{(1)} - (b_{15}^{''})^{(1)} (G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(\mathrm{T}_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$\mathrm{G}_{16}^{*} = \frac{(\mathrm{a}_{16})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}_{17}^{*}}{[(\mathrm{a}_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (\mathrm{a}_{16}^{''})^{(2)} (\mathrm{T}_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad \mathrm{G}_{18}^{*} = \frac{(\mathrm{a}_{18})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}_{17}^{*}}{[(\mathrm{a}_{18}^{'})^{(2)} + (\mathrm{a}_{18}^{''})^{(2)} (\mathrm{T}_{17}^{*})]}$$

$$\mathrm{T}_{16}^{*} = \frac{(\mathrm{b}_{16})^{(2)} \mathrm{T}_{17}^{*}}{[(\mathrm{b}_{16}^{'})^{(2)} - (\mathrm{b}_{16}^{''})^{(2)} ((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad \mathrm{T}_{18}^{*} = \frac{(\mathrm{b}_{18})^{(2)} \mathrm{T}_{17}^{*}}{[(\mathrm{b}_{18}^{'})^{(2)} - (\mathrm{b}_{18}^{''})^{(2)} ((G_{19})^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{20}^{''})^{(3)} (T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a_{22}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{22}^{''})^{(3)} (T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b_{20}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{20}^{''})^{(3)} (G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b_{22}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{22}^{''})^{(3)} (G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{24}^{''})^{(4)} (T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a_{26}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{26}^{''})^{(4)} (T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b_{24}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24}^{''})^{(4)} ((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b_{26}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{26}^{''})^{(4)} ((G_{27})^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{28}^{''})^{(5)} (T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a_{30}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{30}^{''})^{(5)} (T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{28}^{''})^{(5)} ((G_{31})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b_{30}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{30}^{''})^{(5)} ((G_{31})^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^* = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^* = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a_{34}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{34}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

$$T_{32}^* = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{32}^{''})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^* = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b_{34}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{34}^{''})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(1)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}^{''})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial (b_i^{''})^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \mathbb{T}_{14}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* \mathbb{T}_{14}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}^{'})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}^* \mathbb{T}_{14}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)} T_{13}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)} T_{14}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}^{'})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)} T_{15}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(2)}$ and $(b_i^{''})^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}^{''})^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial (b_i^{''})^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij}$$

taking into account equations (global)and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}^{'})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)} G_{16}^* \mathbb{T}_{17}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}^{'})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)} G_{17}^* \mathbb{T}_{17}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{18}\mathbb{T}_{17}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T^*_{16}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(3)}\ and\ (b_i^{''})^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stabl

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i^{''})^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(4)}\ and\ (b_i^{''})^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stabl

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}^{''})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i^{''})^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(5)}\ and\ (b_i^{''})^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i^{''})^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i^{''})^{(6)}\ and\ (b_i^{''})^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i^{''})^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations(global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

+

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)} + (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a_{16}')^{(2)}+(a_{17}')^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a_{16}')^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}^{*}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{16}^{*}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b_{16}')^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}_{17}^{*}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}_{16}^{*}\right)\}=0$$

+

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b_{22}')^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a_{22}')^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a_{20}')^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^{*}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b_{20}')^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T_{21}^{*}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T_{21}^{*}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a_{21}')^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^{*}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G_{21}^{*}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b_{20}')^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T_{21}^{*}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T_{20}^{*}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a_{20}')^{(3)}+(a_{21}')^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b_{20}')^{(3)}+(b_{21}')^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a_{20}')^{(3)}+(a_{21}')^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a_{20}')^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^{*}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b_{20}')^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T_{21}^{*}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T_{20}^{*}\right)\}=0$$

+

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b_{26}')^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a_{26}')^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a_{24}')^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b_{24}')^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T_{25}^{*}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T_{25}^{*}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a_{25}')^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b_{24}')^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T_{25}^{*}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T_{24}^{*}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a_{24}')^{(4)}+(a_{25}')^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b_{24}')^{(4)}+(b_{25}')^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a_{24}')^{(4)}+(a_{25}')^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a_{24}')^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)} + (b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\right)\} = 0$$

+

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)} + (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)} + (b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\right)\} = 0$$

+

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)} + (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)} + (b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\right)\} = 0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Acknowledgments:

The introduction is a collection of information from various articles, Books, News Paper reports, Home Pages Of authors, Journal Reviews, Nature 's L:etters,Article Abstracts, Research papers, Abstracts Of Research Papers, Stanford Encyclopedia, Web Pages, Ask a Physicist Column, Deliberations with Professors, the internet including Wikipedia. We acknowledge all authors who have contributed to the same. In the eventuality of the fact that there has been any act of omission on the part of the authors, we regret with great deal of compunction, contrition, regret, trepidiation and remorse. As Newton said, it is only because erudite and eminent people allowed one to piggy ride on their backs; probably an attempt has been made to look slightly further. Once again, it is stated that the references are only illustrative and not comprehensive

REFERENCES

1. Dr K N Prasanna Kumar, Prof B S Kiranagi, Prof C S Bagewadi - MEASUREMENT DISTURBS EXPLANATION OF QUANTUM MECHANICAL STATES-A HIDDEN VARIABLE THEORY - published at: "*International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2012 Edition*".

2. DR K N PRASANNA KUMAR, PROF B S KIRANAGI and PROF C S BAGEWADI -CLASSIC 2 FLAVOUR COLOR SUPERCONDUCTIVITY AND ORDINARY NUCLEAR MATTER-A NEW PARADIGM STATEMENT - published at: "*International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2012 Edition*".

3. A HAIMOVICI: "On the growth of a two species ecological system divided on age groups". Tensor, Vol 37 (1982),Commemoration volume dedicated to Professor Akitsugu Kawaguchi on his 80th birthday

4. FRTJOF CAPRA: "The web of life" Flamingo, Harper Collins See "Dissipative structures" pages 172-188

5. HEYLIGHEN F. (2001): "The Science of Self-organization and Adaptivity", in L. D. Kiel, (ed) . Knowledge Management, Organizational Intelligence and Learning, and Complexity, in: The Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems ((EOLSS), (Eolss Publishers, Oxford) [http://www.eolss.net

6. MATSUI, T, H. Masunaga, S. M. Kreidenweis, R. A. Pielke Sr., W.-K. Tao, M. Chin, and Y. J Kaufman (2006), "Satellite-based assessment of marine low cloud variability associated with aerosol, atmospheric stability, and the diurnal cycle", J. Geophys. Res., 111, D17204, doi:10.1029/2005JD006097

7. STEVENS, B, G. Feingold, W.R. Cotton and R.L. Walko, "Elements of the microphysical structure of numerically simulated nonprecipitating stratocumulus" J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 980-1006

8. FEINGOLD, G, Koren, I; Wang, HL; Xue, HW; Brewer, WA (2010), "Precipitation-generated oscillations in open cellular cloud fields" *Nature*, *466* (7308) 849-852, doi: 10.1038/nature09314, Published 12-Aug 2010

(9)^ [a] [b] [c] Einstein, A. (1905), "Ist die Trägheit eines Körpers von seinem Energieinhalt abhängig?", *Annalen der Physik* **18**: 639 Bibcode 1905AnP...323..639E,DOI:10.1002/andp.19053231314. See also the English translation.

(10)^ [a] [b] Paul Allen Tipler, Ralph A. Llewellyn (2003-01), *Modern Physics*, W. H. Freeman and Company, pp. 87–88, ISBN 0-7167-4345-0

(11)^ [a] [b] Rainville, S. et al. World Year of Physics: A direct test of E=mc2. *Nature* 438, 1096-1097 (22 December 2005) | doi: 10.1038/4381096a; Published online 21 December 2005.

(12)^ In F. Fernflores. The Equivalence of Mass and Energy. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy

(13)^ Note that the relativistic mass, in contrast to the rest mass m_0, *is not a relativistic invariant, and that the velocity is not a Minkowski four-vector, in contrast to the quantity , where is the differential of the proper time. However, the energy-momentum four-vector is a genuine Minkowski four-vector, and the intrinsic origin of the square-root in the definition of the relativistic mass is the distinction between* $d\tau$ *and* dt.

(14)^ Relativity DeMystified, D. McMahon, Mc Graw Hill (USA), 2006, ISBN 0-07-145545-0

(15)^ Dynamics and Relativity, J.R. Forshaw, A.G. Smith, Wiley, 2009, ISBN 978-0-470-01460-8

(16)^ Hans, H. S.; Puri, S. P. (2003). *Mechanics* (2 ed.). Tata McGraw-Hill. p. 433. ISBN 0-07-047360-9., Chapter 12 page 433

(17)^ E. F. Taylor and J. A. Wheeler, **Spacetime Physics**, W.H. Freeman and Co., NY. 1992.ISBN 0-7167-2327-1, see pp. 248-9 for discussion of mass remaining constant after detonation of nuclear bombs, until heat is allowed to escape.

(18)^ Mould, Richard A. (2002). *Basic relativity* (2 ed.). Springer. p. 126. ISBN 0-387-95210-1., Chapter 5 page 126

(19)^ Chow, Tail L. (2006). *Introduction to electromagnetic theory: a modern perspective*. Jones & Bartlett Learning. p. 392. ISBN 0-7637-3827-1., Chapter 10 page 392

(20)^ [2] Cockcroft-Walton experiment

(21)^ [a] [b] [c] Conversions used: 1956 International (Steam) Table (IT) values where one calorie ≡ 4.1868 J and one BTU ≡ 1055.05585262 J. Weapons designers' conversion value of one gram TNT ≡ 1000 calories used.

1. .

(22)^ Assuming the dam is generating at its peak capacity of 6,809 MW.

(23)^ Assuming a 90/10 alloy of Pt/Ir by weight, a C_p of 25.9 for Pt and 25.1 for Ir, a Pt-dominated average C_p of 25.8, 5.134 moles of metal, and 132 $J.K^{-1}$ for the prototype. A variation of ±1.5 picograms is of course, much smaller than the actual uncertainty in the mass of the international prototype, which are ±2 micrograms.

(24)^ [3] Article on Earth rotation energy. Divided by c^2.

(25)^ ***a b*** Earth's gravitational self-energy is 4.6×10^{-10} that of Earth's total mass, or 2.7 trillion metric tons. Citation: *The Apache Point Observatory Lunar Laser-Ranging Operation (APOLLO)*, T. W. Murphy, Jr. *et al.* University of Washington, Dept. of Physics (132 kB PDF, here.).

(26)^ There is usually more than one possible way to define a field energy, because any field can be made to couple to gravity in many different ways. By general scaling arguments, the correct answer at everyday distances, which are long compared to the quantum gravity scale, should be *minimal coupling*, which means that no powers of the curvature tensor appear. Any non-minimal couplings, along with other higher order terms, are presumably only determined by a theory of quantum gravity, and within string theory, they only start to contribute to experiments at the string scale.

(27)^ G. 't Hooft, "Computation of the quantum effects due to a four-dimensional pseudoparticle", Physical Review D14:3432–3450 (1976).

(28)^ A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. Schwarz, Yu. Tyupkin, "Pseudoparticle Solutions to Yang Mills Equations", Physics Letters 59B:85 (1975).

(29)^ F. Klinkhammer, N. Manton, "A Saddle Point Solution in the Weinberg Salam Theory", Physical Review D 30:2212.

(30)^ Rubakov V. A. "Monopole Catalysis of Proton Decay", Reports on Progress in Physics 51:189–241 (1988).

(31)^ S.W. Hawking "Black Holes Explosions?" *Nature* 248:30 (1974).

(32)^ Einstein, A. (1905), "Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper." (PDF), *Annalen der Physik* **17**: 891–921, Bibcode 1905AnP...322..891E,DOI:10.1002/andp.19053221004. English translation.

(33)^ See e.g. Lev B.Okun, *The concept of Mass*, Physics Today **42** (6), June 1969, p. 31–36, http://www.physicstoday.org/vol-42/iss-6/vol42no6p31_36.pdf

(34)^ Max Jammer (1999), *Concepts of mass in contemporary physics and philosophy*, Princeton University Press, p. 51, ISBN 0-691-01017-X

(35)^ Eriksen, Erik; Vøyenli, Kjell (1976), "The classical and relativistic concepts of mass",*Foundations of Physics* (Springer) **6**: 115–124, Bibcode 1976FoPh....6..115E,DOI:10.1007/BF00708670

(36)^ [a] [b] Jannsen, M., Mecklenburg, M. (2007), *From classical to relativistic mechanics: Electromagnetic models of the electron.*, in V. F. Hendricks, et al., , *Interactions: Mathematics, Physics and Philosophy* (Dordrecht: Springer): 65–134

(37)^ [a] [b] Whittaker, E.T. (1951–1953), *2. Edition: A History of the theories of aether and electricity, vol. 1: The classical theories / vol. 2: The modern theories 1900–1926*, London: Nelson

(38)^ Miller, Arthur I. (1981), *Albert Einstein's special theory of relativity. Emergence (1905) and early interpretation (1905–1911)*, Reading: Addison–Wesley, ISBN 0-201-04679-2

(39)^ [a] [b] Darrigol, O. (2005), "The Genesis of the theory of relativity." (PDF), *Séminaire Poincaré* **1**: 1–22

(40)^ Philip Ball (Aug 23, 2011). "Did Einstein discover E = mc2?". Physics World.

(41)^ Ives, Herbert E. (1952), "Derivation of the mass-energy relation", *Journal of the Optical Society of America* **42** (8): 540–543, DOI:10.1364/JOSA.42.000540

(42)^ Jammer, Max (1961/1997). *Concepts of Mass in Classical and Modern Physics*. New York: Dover. ISBN 0-486-29998-8.

(43)^ Stachel, John; Torretti, Roberto (1982), "Einstein's first derivation of mass-energy equivalence", *American Journal of Physics* **50** (8): 760–763, Bibcode1982AmJPh..50..760S, DOI:10.1119/1.12764

(44)^ Ohanian, Hans (2008), "Did Einstein prove E=mc2?", *Studies In History and Philosophy of Science Part B* **40** (2): 167–173, arXiv:0805.1400,DOI:10.1016/j.shpsb.2009.03.002

(45)^ Hecht, Eugene (2011), "How Einstein confirmed E0=mc2", *American Journal of Physics* **79** (6): 591–600, Bibcode 2011AmJPh..79..591H, DOI:10.1119/1.3549223

(46)^ Rohrlich, Fritz (1990), "An elementary derivation of E=mc2", *American Journal of Physics* **58** (4):

348–349, Bibcode 1990AmJPh..58..348R, DOI:10.1119/1.16168

(47) (1996). *Lise Meitner: A Life in Physics*. California Studies in the History of Science. **13**. Berkeley: University of California Press. pp. 236–237. ISBN 0-520-20860-

(48)^ "consciousness". Merriam-Webster. Retrieved June 4, 2012.

(49)^ Robert van Gulick (2004). "Consciousness". Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.

(50)^ Farthing G (1992). *The Psychology of Consciousness*. Prentice Hall. ISBN 978-0-13-728668-3.

(51)^ John Searle (2005). "Consciousness". In Honderich T. *The Oxford companion to philosophy*. Oxford University Press. ISBN 978-0-19-926479-7.

(52)^ Susan Schneider and Max Velmans (2008). "Introduction". In Max Velmans, Susan Schneider. *The Blackwell Companion to Consciousness*. Wiley. ISBN 978-0-470-75145-9.

(53)^ Güven Güzeldere (1997). Ned Block, Owen Flanagan, Güven Güzeldere. ed. *The Nature of Consciousness: Philosophical debates*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. pp. 1–67.

(54)^ J. J. Fins, N. D. Schiff, and K. M. Foley (2007). "Late recovery from the minimally conscious state: ethical and policy implications". *Neurology* **68**: 304–307.PMID 17242341.

(55)^ Locke, John. "An Essay Concerning Human Understanding (Chapter XXVII)". Australia: University of Adelaide. Retrieved August 20, 2010.

(56)^ "Science & Technology: consciousness". Encyclopædia Britannica. Retrieved August 20, 2010.

(57)^ Samuel Johnson (1756). *A Dictionary of the English Language*. Knapton.

(58)^ C. S. Lewis (1990). "Ch. 8: Conscience and conscious". *Studies in words*. Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-0-521-39831-2.

(59)^ Thomas Hobbes (1904). *Leviathan: or, the Matter, Forme & Power of a Commonwealth, Ecclesiastical and Civill*. University Press. p. 39.

(60)^ James Ussher, Charles Richard Elrington (1613). *The whole works, Volume 2*. Hodges and Smith. p. 417.

(61)^ James Hastings and John A. Selbie (2003). *Encyclopedia of Religion and Ethics Part 7*. Kessinger Publishing. p. 41. ISBN 0-7661-3677-9.

(62)^ G. Melenaar. *Mnemosyne, Fourth Series*. **22**. Brill. pp. 170–180.

(63)^ Boris Hennig (2007). "Cartesian Conscientia". *British Journal for the History of Philosophy* **15**: 455–

484.

(64)^ Sara Heinämaa (2007). *Consciousness: from perception to reflection in the history of philosophy*. Springer. pp. 205–206. ISBN 978-1-4020-6081-6.

(65)^ ***a b*** Stuart Sutherland (1989). "Consciousness". *Macmillan Dictionary of Psychology*. Macmillan. ISBN 978-0-333-38829-7.

(66)^ Justin Sytsma and Edouard Machery (2010). "Two conceptions of subjective experience". *Philosophical Studies* **151**: 299–327. DOI: 10.1007/s11098-009-9439-x.

(67)^ Gilbert Ryle (1949). *The Concept of Mind*. University of Chicago Press. pp. 156–163.ISBN 978-0-226-73296-1.

(68)^ Michael V. Antony (2001). "Is *consciousness* ambiguous?". *Journal of Consciousness Studies* **8**: 19–44.

(69)^ Max Velmans (2009). "How to define consciousness—and how not to define consciousness". *Journal of Consciousness Studies* **16**: 139–156.

(70)^ Ned Block (1998). "On a confusion about a function of consciousness". In N. Block, O. Flanagan, G. Guzeldere. *The Nature of Consciousness: Philosophical Debates*. MIT Press. pp. 375–415. ISBN 978-0-262-52210-6.

(71)^ Daniel Dennett (2004). *Consciousness Explained*. Penguin. pp. 375.ISBN [[Special: BookSources/09713990376|09713990376]].

First Author: **[1]Mr. K. N.Prasanna Kumar** has three doctorates one each in Mathematics, Economics, Political Science. Thesis was based on Mathematical Modeling. He was recently awarded D.litt. for his work on

'Mathematical Models in Political Science'--- Department of studies in Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India Corresponding **Author:drknpkumar@gmail.com**

Second Author: [2]Prof. B.S Kiranagi is the Former Chairman of the Department of Studies in Mathematics, Manasa Gangotri and present Professor Emeritus of UGC in the Department. Professor Kiranagi has guided over 25 students and he has received many encomiums and laurels for his contribution to Co homology Groups and Mathematical Sciences. Known for his prolific writing, and one of the senior most Professors of the country, he has over 150 publications to his credit. A prolific writer and a prodigious thinker, he has to his credit several books on Lie Groups, Co Homology Groups, and other mathematical application topics, and excellent publication history.-- UGC Emeritus Professor (Department of studies in Mathematics), Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India

Third Author: [3]Prof. C.S. Bagewadi is the present Chairman of Department of Mathematics and Department of Studies in Computer Science and has guided over 25 students. He has published articles in both national and international journals. Professor Bagewadi specializes in Differential Geometry and its wide-ranging ramifications. He has to his credit more than 159 research papers. Several Books on Differential Geometry, Differential Equations are coauthored by him--- Chairman, Department of studies in Mathematics and Computer science, Jnanasahyadri Kuvempu University, Shankarghatta, Shimoga district, Karnataka, India