

The African Human Resource Management Philosophy and Institutional Management Practices: Contextual Realities, Indigenous Values and Contemporary Perspectives

Dr. Paul Olendo Ombanda

Corresponding Author:
Email: pombanda@strathmore.edu
ORCID: **0000-0002-2474-179X**

DOI: 10.29322/IJSRP.16.05.2026.p17324
<https://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.16.05.2026.p17324>

Paper Received Date: 16th April 2026
Paper Acceptance Date: 14th May 2026
Paper Publication Date: 20th May 2026

Abstract

The study examined how African Human Resource Management (HRM) philosophy that is grounded in principles such as ubuntu, communalism and relational accountability could be integrated into institutional management to foster sustainability, social cohesion and legitimacy. It sought to demonstrate the relevance of African HRM perspectives to global HRM debates and highlighted their potential to shape inclusive and ethical management systems. The study adopted a conceptual and integrative review approach, drawing from contemporary HRM literature, African philosophy and institutional management theory. The study found that embedding African HRM philosophy into institutional management enriched organizational legitimacy and sustainability while reinforcing collective responsibility and employee engagement. The study contributed to HRM studies by positioning African human resource management philosophical traditions as a critical lens for rethinking institutional management. It challenged the dominance of Western-centric HRM models and highlighted Africa's potential to influence global HRM discourse through values of inclusivity, cohesion and human dignity.

Managers and policymakers could apply African HRM principles to design HR systems that were ethically grounded, socially responsive and contextually relevant.

Keywords: African HRM philosophy; Ubuntu; institutional management; communalism; legitimacy; sustainability; social cohesion.

Background of the Study

In many African institutions, Human Resource Management (HRM) and institutional management remain hampered by systemic inefficiencies, resource constraints and the lingering effects of colonial administrative legacies, creating a paradox where abundant human and cultural resources coexist with underperformance and misaligned organizational practices (Ogunyemi, 2020). Despite rich indigenous values emphasizing communal responsibility, respect for hierarchy and collective decision-making, contemporary institutional systems often adopt Western management frameworks that overlook these contextual realities, resulting in policies and

practices that fail to resonate with local workforce dynamics (Horwitz & Ronnie, 2021). This misalignment has contributed to high employee disengagement, talent attrition and weak institutional accountability, leaving organizations unable to fully harness the potential of their human capital (Ogunyemi, 2020). This situation calls for an urgent need for a philosophy that integrates African traditional HRM principles with modern management practices to create institutions that are not only efficient but also culturally grounded and socially sustainable.

This study was anchored on the recognition that Africa possesses unique cultural, communal and ethical values such as Ubuntu, Harambee and collective solidarity that can guide organizational governance and leadership in distinct ways (Nyambegeera, 2020; Nkomo, 2017). Rooted in traditions emphasizing human dignity, shared responsibility and respect for hierarchy, the African HRM philosophy provides a framework that contrasts with the dominant Western individualistic HRM paradigms. By embedding HRM practices in an Afrocentric worldview, this philosophy is positioned as a corrective measure for institutional challenges such as weak employee engagement, organizational fragmentation and declining ethical standards (Kasekende et al., 2019; Iwu et al., 2022; Nzelibe, 2021; Boso et al., 2019). The study acknowledges that African HRM Philosophy operates within tensions generated by globalization, hybrid organizational cultures and under-codified indigenous HR practices (Boso et al., 2019). Correctly said, the philosophy is transformative in advancing ethical leadership, inclusivity and harmony within organizations across Africa (Kasekende et al., 2019; Munene et al., 2017; Zoogah & Beugré, 2023). However it has not been adopted as a bridge that integrates Afrocentric principles into modern HRM systems while addressing systemic and cultural barriers to its full adoption (Nzelibe, 2021).

Studies show that African traditional HRM differs markedly from Western HRM in its philosophical orientation, institutional practices and outcomes (Kasekende et al., 2019; Iwu et al., 2022; Nzelibe, 2021; Boso et al., 2019). Rooted in the communal ethos of ubuntu and extended kinship systems, African HRM emphasizes collectivism, social harmony and interdependence, where the individual's identity and responsibilities are defined by their membership in a community (Kamoche et al., 2012; Nkomo, 2015). Recruitment traditionally relied on communal recommendations, kinship ties, and apprenticeships, where character, loyalty and relational trust were prioritized over formal qualifications. Training and development were experiential, guided by elders, mentors and cultural institutions, embedding both technical and moral competencies into professional growth. Performance was judged based on an individual's contribution to collective welfare rather than individual efficiency or profit maximization, making social accountability a cornerstone of organizational life (Mangaliso, 2001; Ncube, 2010). In contrast, Western HRM is deeply influenced by individualism, meritocracy and rational-legal structures rooted in industrial capitalism and bureaucratic systems (Storey, 2014). Recruitment and selection processes rely heavily on standardized testing, formal qualifications and labor market competitiveness. Training is competency-based, formalized through educational institutions and corporate programs, while performance management emphasizes measurable individual outcomes, productivity and financial returns (Boxall & Purcell, 2016).

Another key divergence lies in leadership, conflict resolution and reward systems. In African HRM system, leadership was participatory and consultative, where authority rests on wisdom, moral legitimacy and communal consensus rather than formal hierarchical positions (Osei-Hwedie, 2014; Iwowo, 2015). Dispute resolution practices are restorative, emphasizing reconciliation, fairness and long-term harmony, reflecting an enduring concern with social sustainability. Rewards are often symbolic or social in nature; such as respect, honor and inclusion, and are intended to strengthen group cohesion rather than individual advancement. Contemporary HRM however, is more transactional and formalized, where leadership authority is tied to contractual roles and organizational structures and conflict resolution is adversarial, often involving legalistic or procedural arbitration mechanisms (Brewster et al., 2016). Reward systems are heavily monetary, linked to performance metrics, competition, and career progression, reinforcing individual achievement and extrinsic

motivation. While contemporary HRM emphasizes efficiency, productivity and innovation in competitive markets, African HRM provided a sustainability-oriented model rooted in social justice, cultural continuity and ethical accountability. The comparative analysis highlights a critical opportunity for cross-fertilization thus integrating African communal philosophies with contemporary HRM systems that can balance efficiency with inclusivity and long-term social harmony (Horwitz, 2015; Kamoche & Siebers, 2015).

The African Human Resource Management (HRM) philosophy finds its intellectual grounding in Ubuntu, a socio-ethical worldview that emphasizes interconnectedness, dignity, and collective well-being. The concept of Ubuntu, popularized in academic discourse by Mbiti (1969), frames human identity as being intrinsically tied to community relations rather than individual autonomy. This contrasts with Western HRM models that prioritize individual performance metrics, suggesting that African HRM requires theories that validate communal and relational dimensions of management. Nussbaum (2003) further argues that Ubuntu provides an indigenous ethical lens that complements human development, which is crucial for HR practices emphasizing inclusivity. Similarly, Broodryk (2006) maintains that Ubuntu principles of respect, compassion, and reciprocity are foundational to understanding African HRM as a philosophy distinct from Western traditions. The integration of Ubuntu as a theoretical foundation therefore positions African HRM as a culturally legitimate system of management

African HRM philosophy

The Ubuntu philosophy, rooted in the African worldview of interdependence and collective humanity, embodies the expression *"I am because we are, and since we are, therefore I am."* This philosophy provides a normative and ethical framework that informs African HRM practices by placing dignity, empathy, and solidarity at the center of workplace relations. Within HR contexts, Ubuntu emphasizes inclusivity and fairness in recruitment, where the goal is not only to select candidates with technical competence but also those capable of sustaining communal values and cohesion (Mbigi & Maree, 2005). In conflict resolution, Ubuntu principles encourage reconciliation, restorative dialogue, and consensus-building instead of adversarial procedures (Ramose, 2002). This relational approach directly contrasts with the more individualistic orientation often observed in Western HRM practices, reinforcing the African HR philosophy's emphasis on humanity and community-driven outcomes (Nussbaum, 2003). Furthermore, Ubuntu supports leadership models that prioritize moral obligation, care, and servant-leadership, which reframe the role of leaders as custodians of shared well-being rather than authoritarian figures (Mangaliso, 2001).

Ubuntu also resonates with contemporary HR trends such as diversity management, psychological safety, and employee engagement, which emphasize creating workplaces where individuals feel respected and valued as part of a collective whole. By embedding Ubuntu principles, organizations foster relational trust, teamwork, and shared responsibility, thereby reducing hierarchical barriers and enhancing collaboration (Khoza, 2011). This approach challenges the dominance of Western individualism by emphasizing cooperation and communal achievement, showing that organizational success cannot be detached from community well-being (Nkomo, 2015). In this sense, Ubuntu is not merely a cultural tradition but a theoretical orientation that provides African HRM with a culturally embedded, human-centered model that merges ethical responsibility with organizational effectiveness (Eze, 2010). Through this framework, African HRM philosophy gains a normative compass that guides organizations to balance efficiency with human dignity and the social responsibility of nurturing community potential (Munyaka & Motloug, 2009).

At the center of African HRM philosophy is the Ubuntu worldview, which prioritizes relational ethics and collective well-being. Mangaliso (2001) describes Ubuntu as a cultural ethic that promotes cooperation, trust and shared responsibility within organizations. Metz (2014) expands this by arguing that Ubuntu encourages a moral framework for HRM that values consensus and empathy over competition. Ncube (2010) provides

evidence that Ubuntu-based management approaches foster employee commitment and enhance workplace inclusivity. In addition, Zoogah and Nkomo (2013) note that Ubuntu's communal orientation challenges the transactional nature of conventional HRM by positioning employees as integral members of a broader social fabric. The historical and institutional legacies of colonialism continue to influence African HRM. Dia (1996) observes that colonial administrations imposed bureaucratic systems designed for control and compliance rather than participation. Jackson (2014) explains that these systems created rigid hierarchical structures that conflicted with Africa's communal traditions of governance. Nyambegera (2020) adds that post-independence governments struggled to integrate indigenous management traditions with the inherited systems, resulting in hybrid HRM practices. Walumbwa et al. (2011) suggest that this hybridity has produced a distinctive African approach to HRM that balances external influences with cultural authenticity.

Further, African HRM is also being shaped by multinational corporations and technological change. Adegbite and Nakpodia (2020) argue that multinational firms often import HRM systems into Africa but must adapt them to local cultural expectations. Darley and Akan (2021) highlight that respect for elders, community consultation and kinship obligations continue to shape how HRM is practiced in African organizations. Kamoche and Debrah (2006) show that African enterprises themselves are innovating by blending global best practices with indigenous values. Similarly, Zoogah (2016) positions Africa not as a passive recipient of HRM ideas but as an active contributor to global HRM discourse. A distinguishing feature of African HRM philosophy is its holistic view of human development. Anakwe (2002) explains that African organizations often recognize employees as multidimensional beings with personal, social and spiritual needs. Jackson (2014) stresses that this orientation broadens organizational success to include equity and social justice, not just profit. Kamoche (2019) further illustrates that reward systems and training often emphasize collective well-being over individual competition. Zoogah (2020) notes that while this philosophy aligns HRM with sustainable development goals, it must continuously negotiate tensions with the demands of global competitiveness. Ultimately, African HRM philosophy represents a critical intellectual contribution to global HRM theory. Brewster et al. (2016) emphasize that recognizing Africa's approach challenges the universalism of Western-centric HRM. Horwitz (2017) shows that incorporating African philosophies enhances the inclusivity of management knowledge.

Institutional Management Practices

Institutional management practices is best understood as the systematic process through which institutions plan, organize and govern their resources to achieve legitimacy, efficiency and long-term sustainability. Unlike corporate management that often prioritizes profitability, institutional management emphasizes mission fulfillment, service delivery and accountability to diverse stakeholders (Scott, 2014). This perspective recognizes that institutions operate within structured social environments where norms, cultural expectations and legal frameworks shape managerial practices (North, 1990). As DiMaggio and Powell (1983) argued through the lens of institutional isomorphism, institutions are compelled to adopt practices that ensure legitimacy rather than efficiency alone, often leading to the replication of globally dominant models. Yet, Meyer and Rowan (2020) cautioned that such practices may become decoupled from actual performance, serving symbolic functions rather than delivering tangible outcomes. Therefore, institutional management must balance operational efficiency with institutional legitimacy, ensuring that policies, structures and practices remain both contextually relevant and strategically effective.

The relevance of institutional management in contemporary contexts lies in its ability to foster stability, adaptability, and accountability amidst dynamic political, social and economic changes. In fragile governance environments, effective institutional management becomes the cornerstone of building trust, aligning resources with developmental priorities and safeguarding institutional integrity (Brinkerhoff, 2015). In many African contexts, however, institutional management is undermined by persistent challenges such as political interference, limited resources, weak governance structures and overdependence on donor frameworks

(Kanyinga, 2016). Scholars argue that these challenges can be mitigated by embedding indigenous values such as Ubuntu, communal decision-making and respect for hierarchy into contemporary institutional management systems, thereby enhancing legitimacy and resonance with local realities (Kamoche et al., 2012). Moreover, reforms that focus on leadership capacity building, accountability mechanisms, and contextualized policy innovation are vital to strengthening institutional resilience and global competitiveness (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012). By blending global best practices with indigenous management philosophies, institutional management can transition from rigid bureaucratic systems to dynamic, culturally grounded and socially sustainable organizational models.

Problem Statement

The central problem this study addresses is the inadequate integration of African HRM philosophy into institutional management, which limits the effectiveness, resilience and cultural grounding of institutions in the region. Despite significant advancements in management scholarship, African institutions continue to grapple with a persistent misalignment between indigenous human resource management (HRM) philosophies and contemporary institutional management systems. Most organizations in Africa still adopt Western HRM models that emphasize individualism, rigid hierarchies and profit-driven efficiency, often overlooking indigenous philosophies such as Ubuntu, communalism and collective responsibility that underpin African social and organizational life (Horwitz & Ronnie, 2021; Kamoche et al., 2012). This epistemological mismatch creates structural and cultural tensions that undermine employee engagement, weaken institutional accountability and erode organizational legitimacy in African contexts. As a result, African institutions face a paradox: they possess abundant human and cultural resources, yet they remain constrained by managerial frameworks that inadequately reflect their socio-cultural realities (Mabey & Ramirez, 2020; Scott, 2014).

The consequences of this misalignment are evident in institutional inefficiencies, limited innovation and high employee turnover across public and private sectors. Studies highlight how the transplantation of Western-centric HRM systems often disregards African value systems, leading to disillusionment and disconnection among employees (Kanyinga, 2016; Meyer & Rowan, 2020). Furthermore, institutional management in Africa is frequently undermined by governance weaknesses, resource constraints and overdependence on donor-driven policies that reinforce external models rather than nurturing indigenous solutions (Brinkerhoff, 2015; Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012). This structural dependency has perpetuated organizational fragility and reduced the capacity of institutions to address local challenges effectively. Without integrating African HRM philosophies into institutional frameworks, organizations risk perpetuating alienation among workers and sustaining institutional dysfunction.

Therefore, there is an urgent need to reframe institutional management in Africa through the adoption of indigenous HRM philosophies that promote communal values, collective problem-solving and socially embedded leadership. By blending contextual realities with contemporary management practices, African institutions can develop models that enhance cultural relevance, legitimacy and sustainability (Gichohi, 2016; Horwitz & Budhwar, 2022). Addressing this gap is not only a theoretical imperative but also a practical necessity for improving institutional performance, retaining talent and fostering organizational cultures that resonate with African socio-cultural dynamics.

Objective of the Study

This study seeks to achieve the following objectives:

- i To analyze the influence of African HRM Philosophy on institutional management practices and organizational sustainability.

- ii To investigate the challenges facing the integration of African HRM Philosophy in contemporary institutional management.
- iii To assess the contributions of African HRM Philosophy toward promoting ethical governance, inclusive decision-making, social cohesion and sustainable institutional development.

Literature Review

Theoretical framework

The study draws upon cultural dimension theory, Institutional Theory and Social Capital Theory to conceptualize African HRM Philosophy as a strategic organizational resource (Barney, 1991; Oliver, 1997; Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998; DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). Social Capital Theory highlights the role of communal trust, reciprocity, and collaborative networks in enhancing cohesion and knowledge sharing in institutional environments (Coleman, 1988; Putnam, 2000; Adler & Kwon, 2002; Uzzi, 1997). Together, these theories provide a structured framework for examining how African HRM values can be systematically integrated into institutional management for sustainability and resilience (Kamoche et al., 2012; Zoogah, 2016; Nkomo, 2017; Jackson, 2013).

Cultural Dimension Theory

Complementing Ubuntu is Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Theory (1980), which provides insights into how African HRM is shaped by cultural orientations such as collectivism and high power distance. Hofstede's framework highlights that African workplaces often display strong respect for authority and community values, which directly influences HR practices such as leadership development, reward systems, and performance appraisals. Aycan (2006) asserts that these cultural characteristics explain why imported Western HR models often fail to resonate with African employees. Furthermore, House et al. (2004), through the GLOBE study, confirm that African leadership is often characterized by humane orientation, reinforcing Ubuntu's values of compassion and collective harmony. Thus, the African HRM philosophy, when examined through Hofstede's and GLOBE's theoretical lenses, demonstrates an alignment between cultural norms and management practices.

Social Capital Theory

Social Capital Theory, first formalized by Bourdieu (1986), emphasizes the role of social networks, trust, and shared norms in facilitating cooperation and organizational performance. Coleman (1990) expands on this by showing how social ties enhance organizational outcomes by lowering transaction costs and fostering collaboration. In the African HRM context, social capital is visible in how kinship networks, communal obligations, and trust-based relationships underpin workplace relations. Putnam (2000) similarly identifies social capital as a resource that strengthens organizational cohesion, aligning with African HRM's emphasis on relationality and trust. Nahapiet and Ghoshal (1998) further argue that social capital is critical in knowledge sharing, which resonates with African HRM's informal mentoring and communal learning practices. Together, Ubuntu, Hofstede's cultural framework, and Social Capital Theory form a robust theoretical triad for grounding African HRM philosophy.

Institutional Theory

Institutional theory argues that organizational practices are shaped by external cultural, social, and regulatory contexts, which profoundly influence how HRM is understood and practiced in Africa. The colonial legacy introduced bureaucratic, top-down employment systems that established formalized HR practices, many of which persist in contemporary African organizations (Wood & Brewster, 2016). However, institutional

pressures in Africa also reflect indigenous cultural traditions, such as respect for elders, loyalty to authority, and community orientation, which interact with imported Western HR models (Jackson, 2013). For example, while colonial institutions entrenched rigid hierarchies, African communalism softened these structures by embedding values of reciprocity and loyalty into workplace relations (Dia, 1996). This interplay shows that African HRM systems are socially constructed within unique historical and cultural contexts, making them hybrids of inherited Western frameworks and indigenous practices (Scott, 2014). Moreover, institutional environments—such as state labor laws, trade unions, and professional associations—continue to shape HRM by reinforcing norms of fairness, representation, and cultural sensitivity (Kamoche, 2011).

A key contribution of institutional theory is its explanation of how African organizations navigate dual pressures: on one hand, the pressure to conform to global “best practices” such as performance appraisal systems, and on the other, the need to adapt them to local realities (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). For instance, performance evaluation frameworks imported from the West emphasize individual merit and competitiveness, yet African organizations often adapt these by incorporating collective recognition and group-based incentives to align with communal values (Brewster et al., 2016). Similarly, talent management practices in multinational firms operating in Africa frequently undergo localization to integrate respect for seniority and communal participation in decision-making (Kostova, 1999). These examples show that institutional environments in Africa foster unique HRM practices that are not mere imitations of the West but are embedded within cultural and social structures. Institutional theory therefore supports the African HR philosophy by demonstrating that HRM systems are contingent upon historical legacies, cultural practices, and the adaptive strategies organizations use to balance global legitimacy with local authenticity (Greenwood et al., 2017).

Empirical Evidence

Empirical evidence on African HRM philosophy presents both supporting and critical perspectives. Studies in South Africa and Kenya reveal that Ubuntu-inspired HR practices enhance employee engagement, trust, and organizational citizenship behaviors by fostering a sense of belonging and shared destiny (Nzukuma & Bussin, 2011). In Nigeria, findings suggest that collectivist values encourage loyalty and long-term commitment, even in contexts where formal HR systems remain weak (Okpara & Wynn, 2008). Evidence also demonstrates the utility of social capital in African workplaces, where networks and communal trust often substitute for formal systems of control and monitoring (Nyambegera, 2002). However, other studies challenge the practical application of African HRM principles, noting that in highly globalized sectors, organizations often revert to Western-style performance-driven HR models to remain competitive (Horwitz, 2013). These contradictions reflect the ongoing tension between cultural embeddedness and the pressures of global integration.

Empirical studies illustrated how Ubuntu-inspired HRM practices can contribute to workplace cohesion and employee commitment. Mangaliso (2001) found that organizations embracing Ubuntu principles, such as participatory decision-making and recognition of collective welfare, experienced greater employee loyalty and reduced turnover. Similarly, Muzvidziwa (2014) observed that in Zimbabwean firms, Ubuntu practices such as collective responsibility and respect for elders positively influenced employee morale. Chivaura (2006) documented that Ubuntu-oriented HR policies improved conflict resolution outcomes by emphasizing reconciliation rather than punishment. These findings suggest that embedding Ubuntu in HR frameworks not only aligns with cultural expectations but also enhances organizational effectiveness.

Cross-national research further highlights the limitations of transplanting Western HRM models into African contexts. Kamoche (2011) showed that HR practices designed around individualism often failed to yield expected performance outcomes in Kenyan firms, due to incompatibility with communal work cultures. Nyambegera (2002) similarly demonstrated that in East Africa, performance appraisal systems rooted in Western individualism were viewed as divisive, reducing their effectiveness. Jackson (2012) emphasizes that

African employees often value relational harmony and community recognition over individual meritocratic assessments. Horwitz (2013) adds that multinational corporations in South Africa had to adapt HR systems to include group-based incentives and communal recognition to improve acceptance and outcomes. These studies confirm that African HRM requires culturally embedded practices rather than wholesale adoption of global HR trends.

Further empirical evidence demonstrates that African HRM is evolving through hybridization, combining indigenous and global practices. Kuada (2010) found that Ghanaian firms effectively blended Ubuntu-inspired values with strategic HRM tools, resulting in enhanced competitiveness and employee satisfaction. A study by Bamel et al. (2019) on HRM in emerging economies revealed that African organizations integrating local cultural values with modern HRM practices achieved higher legitimacy and organizational citizenship behavior. Chudzikowski et al. (2011) noted that African managers often reinterpret global HR practices through a cultural lens, ensuring their relevance to employees. This hybrid model demonstrates that while African HRM philosophy is rooted in indigenous values, it remains adaptive and responsive to global organizational demands.

Despite its strengths, African HRM philosophy has faced criticisms for its potential romanticization of tradition. Nkomo (2011) cautions that Ubuntu, while valuable, may sometimes be applied selectively and symbolically in organizations rather than systematically. Metz (2012) similarly argues that the reliance on Ubuntu risks overlooking structural inequalities and power dynamics that persist in African workplaces. Additionally, Bolden and Kirk (2009) point out that communal decision-making can lead to slower processes, reducing efficiency in competitive global markets. These critiques underscore the need for balanced approaches that embrace African HRM values while addressing contemporary organizational challenges. Another tension lies in the challenge of applying African HRM philosophies within multinational corporations. Horwitz and Budhwar (2015) reveal that global firms operating in Africa often struggle to reconcile headquarters' standardized HR practices with local expectations of Ubuntu and communalism. Jackson (2012) emphasizes that the dominance of Western HRM discourse creates barriers for legitimizing indigenous practices within global HR standards. Similarly, Mellahi and Collings (2010) argue that global talent management frameworks often disregard cultural diversity, undermining Ubuntu's communal ethos. This tension illustrates the difficulties in operationalizing African HRM philosophy within highly globalized industries.

Moreover, critiques highlight that African HRM practices may inadvertently reinforce hierarchical power dynamics. For example, Kamoche et al. (2012) found that communal respect for authority can discourage dissent and reduce innovation in some organizations. Debrah and Ofori (2006) note that reliance on extended family networks in HR processes can lead to nepotism and favoritism. Nyambegera (2005) further critiques performance appraisal systems influenced by communalism for lacking objectivity. These concerns reveal that while African HRM offers valuable cultural legitimacy, it also requires careful adaptation to ensure fairness, accountability and innovation.

Critical debates also question whether African HRM philosophy is universally applicable across the continent or overly idealized. While Ubuntu resonates strongly in Southern Africa, its values may not translate seamlessly into all African contexts, where ethnic, linguistic, and religious diversities create different organizational logics (Jackson, 2013). Furthermore, empirical research shows that in multinational corporations operating in Africa, global HRM practices often dominate, marginalizing local philosophies (Budhwar & Mellahi, 2016). This raises questions about whether African HRM philosophy can stand independently or whether it will remain a hybrid system shaped by competing institutional logics (Brewster et al., 2016). At the same time, critics argue that an overemphasis on collectivism could unintentionally suppress individual initiative and innovation, creating potential trade-offs for organizations (Munene, 1997). These debates highlight the need for nuanced approaches that recognize both the strengths and limitations of African HRM philosophy.

Leadership in African HRM philosophy is distinctively relational and community-oriented, viewing leaders as custodians of social trust rather than just organizational managers. Mbigi (2005) emphasizes that African leadership traditions are guided by the principle of collective stewardship, where leaders are expected to mentor and nurture employees within a communal framework. Bolden and Kirk (2009) highlight that African leadership often seeks to harmonize authority with community consensus, reflecting cultural values of inclusivity and respect. Nkomo (2011) argues that this orientation redefines HRM by embedding leadership within a moral responsibility to safeguard employee welfare, beyond economic efficiency. Walumbwa, Avolio, and Zhu (2008) show that such ethical leadership practices enhance employee trust, which in turn fosters higher levels of engagement and loyalty. Furthermore, African leadership philosophies reshape employee relations by prioritizing fairness, respect, and shared responsibility. Mutabazi (2002) notes that leaders in African organizations often act as mediators, ensuring workplace harmony through dialogue and collective agreement. Kiggundu (1989) explains that the relational nature of African leadership creates strong vertical and horizontal bonds, reducing conflict and enhancing organizational commitment. Shwabsky and Jackson (2011) highlight that employee relations in Africa are also informed by extended kinship networks, where workplace responsibilities intersect with family and community obligations. Thus, leadership in African HRM is not only managerial but deeply relational, reflecting cultural norms that tie employment to broader social responsibilities.

The encounter between globalization and African HRM also highlights unique competitive advantages rooted in cultural values. Horwitz (2017) suggests that African HRM practices, with their emphasis on collective loyalty and social cohesion, provide models for building resilient organizations in volatile environments. Budhwar and Mellahi (2016) emphasize that global HRM must increasingly learn from Africa, particularly in terms of adaptability and relational management. Kamoche (2011) adds that Africa's ability to balance formal HRM structures with informal networks gives organizations flexibility in navigating uncertainty. At the same time, Zoogah and Beugré (2012) argue that globalization challenges African HRM to preserve cultural authenticity while remaining competitive in the global economy. This duality underscores Africa's strategic role in shaping inclusive and pluralistic HRM models worldwide.

African HRM philosophy faces the challenge of reconciling traditional communal values with the demands of modern business environments. Anakwe (2002) argues that HRM in Africa must integrate talent management, innovation, and strategic planning without losing its cultural foundations. Jackson (2014) suggests that sustainable HRM in Africa should not only enhance productivity but also contribute to social justice, equity, and community development. Kamoche (2019) emphasizes the tension between collectivist ethics and competitive global imperatives, noting that African organizations must carefully negotiate these contradictions. Zoogah (2020) predicts that African HRM will increasingly serve as a thought leader in global HRM, especially in advancing ethical and human-centered approaches.

Future directions also point toward digitization, youth employment and cross-cultural management. Horwitz and Budhwar (2015) note that the rapid growth of Africa's youthful workforce demands HRM strategies that balance innovation with inclusivity. Adekunle (2021) highlights the need for digital HRM solutions that remain compatible with communal values, ensuring that technology enhances rather than undermines human relationships. Baporikar (2020) stresses that African HRM must engage with issues of diaspora integration and global mobility, as African professionals increasingly participate in transnational labor markets. As organizations grapple with these emerging challenges, African HRM philosophy is poised to contribute fresh insights to global HRM debates, particularly on how to humanize work in complex, multicultural environments.

Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative, conceptual and integrative review design to examine the role of African Human Resource Management (HRM) philosophy in institutional management. A conceptual methodology was appropriate because the study sought to theorize linkages rather than test hypotheses empirically, aligning with the traditions of theory-building research in management studies (Suddaby, 2019). The review synthesized insights from scholarly works on African philosophy, HRM and institutional theory, focusing on materials published within the last ten years to ensure currency and relevance (Saunders et al., 2019). The conceptual synthesis was guided by interpretivist assumptions, recognizing that HRM and management practices are socially constructed phenomena influenced by cultural and philosophical traditions (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

Findings and interpretations

The findings of the study were systematically aligned with the research objectives, ensuring coherence between the intended goals and the emerging results. Each objective provided a clear analytical pathway that guided interpretations and synthesis, enabling the study to generate insights that directly addressed the contextual realities of African Human Resource Management philosophy and institutional management.

African HRM Philosophy and Institutional Management Practices

The findings indicate that African HRM philosophy strongly influences institutional management through values such as collectivism, Ubuntu, shared responsibility and community-oriented leadership. Institutions that integrated African HRM principles demonstrated enhanced employee engagement, participatory leadership, and more sustainable approaches to conflict resolution. For example, employee engagement was enriched by dialogic practices that emphasized consensus-building and inclusivity, which reduced industrial disputes and fostered loyalty (Boso et al., 2019; Kamoche & Siebers, 2015; Opute, 2020; Oruh et al., 2021). Conflict resolution mechanisms grounded in indigenous approaches, such as mediation and reconciliation circles, promoted organizational harmony and improved legitimacy in institutional governance (Onodugo et al., 2019; Osemeke & Adegbite, 2016; Munene, Schwartz, & Smith, 2000; Nyambegeera, 2020). In terms of sustainability, the philosophy encouraged leaders to consider long-term community and stakeholder interests, aligning with sustainable development goals and institutional resilience (Okeke-Uzodike & Subban, 2015; Nkomo, 2015; Ayentimi & Burgess, 2019; Horwitz, 2017).

These results suggest that African HRM philosophy can serve as a vital alternative to Western-centric HRM approaches, particularly in contexts where inclusivity and social cohesion are paramount. Leadership styles rooted in Ubuntu enhanced organizational trust and reduced hierarchical distance, leading to higher morale and productivity (Mangaliso, 2001; Zoogah, Peng, & Woldu, 2015; Kamoche, 2011; Ovadje, 2016). Institutions that embraced these practices experienced increased adaptability, as leadership shifted from individualistic to collective orientations, enhancing organizational legitimacy and aligning with stakeholder values. This supports the argument that African HRM is not only relevant but also critical in bridging modern institutional practices with indigenous knowledge systems (Brewster et al., 2016; Jackson, 2014; Ofori, 2009; Anlesinya & Amponsah-Tawiah, 2020).

Challenges of Integrating African HRM Philosophy in Contemporary Institutional Management Practices

Despite its strengths, integrating African HRM philosophy into modern institutional management faces significant challenges. Globalization pressures and the dominance of conventional HRM models often undermine the adoption of indigenous frameworks, creating a tension between local practices and international institutional benchmarks (Jackson, 2012; Kamoche, Horwitz, & Muuka, 2004; Horwitz, 2017; Osemeke, 2017).

Institutions in Africa frequently confront capacity gaps, including inadequate training systems, lack of codified indigenous HRM policies and insufficient resources to adapt philosophies into formal practices (Kamoche & Siebers, 2015; Ovadje, 2016; Munene et al., 2000; Zoogah et al., 2015). Additionally, cultural diversity within African institutions complicates the uniform adoption of HRM philosophies, as localized customs and values may conflict across ethnic, regional, or national lines (Ayentimi & Burgess, 2019; Nyambegeera, 2020; Opute, 2020; Onodugo et al., 2019).

The empirical evidence further highlights that globalization-induced pressures to standardize HRM systems often privilege efficiency and competitiveness at the expense of inclusivity and cultural alignment (Brewster et al., 2016; Nkomo, 2015; Anlesinya & Amponsah-Tawiah, 2020; Ofori, 2009). This mismatch reduces the perceived legitimacy of HRM practices in African institutions, as they may appear detached from the social realities of employees and communities. Moreover, institutions that attempt to localize HRM often face resistance from policymakers and stakeholders accustomed to international “best practices,” leading to fragmented and inconsistent implementation (Kamoche, 2011; Boso et al., 2019; Osemeke & Adegbite, 2016; Oruh et al., 2021). These findings justify the need for hybrid models that harmonize African HRM philosophy with global HRM trends to ensure both legitimacy and competitiveness in institutional management.

Contributions of African HRM Philosophy to Ethical Governance, Inclusivity and Sustainability

The findings reveals that African HRM philosophy significantly contributes to strengthening ethical governance by embedding communal responsibility, accountability and trust into decision-making structures. Ethical decision-making was reinforced through inclusive governance models where employees, communities and leaders were consulted collectively, thereby reducing corruption and promoting transparency (Onodugo et al., 2019; Nyambegeera, 2020; Kamoche & Siebers, 2015; Osemeke & Adegbite, 2016). Inclusivity, anchored in the Ubuntu philosophy, enhanced workforce diversity management by prioritizing fairness, belonging and mutual respect, thereby reducing workplace discrimination and advancing social cohesion (Mangaliso, 2001; Ayentimi & Burgess, 2019; Horwitz, 2017; Zoogah et al., 2015).

Moreover, African HRM philosophy has proven to be a driver of sustainable institutional development by aligning organizational goals with societal needs. Institutions guided by indigenous values reported stronger stakeholder legitimacy and resilience to crises, as decisions were grounded in collective welfare rather than narrow profit motives (Okeke-Uzodike & Subban, 2015; Anlesinya & Amponsah-Tawiah, 2020; Boso et al., 2019; Nkomo, 2015). This sustainability orientation fosters long-term institutional stability and reduces vulnerabilities to governance breakdowns or employee disengagement. The findings suggest that adopting African HRM philosophies offers a pathway toward embedding corporate social responsibility, ethical practices and resilience in institutions, ultimately contributing to Africa’s broader development and global recognition of indigenous HRM models (Kamoche, 2011; Jackson, 2014; Ofori, 2009; Ovadje, 2016).

Ubuntu remains one of the most significant philosophical foundations of African HRM, shaping how organizations conceptualize work, people and community. Mangaliso (2001) notes that Ubuntu emphasizes that an individual’s identity and achievements are only meaningful in the context of collective well-being, making it a cultural compass for HRM practices. Metz (2014) extends this by arguing that Ubuntu provides an ethical framework that prioritizes inclusivity, consensus and compassion in organizational decision-making. Ncube (2010) observes that when embedded into HRM systems, Ubuntu fosters participatory management and strengthens employees’ sense of belonging, thereby improving morale and trust. Similarly, Zoogah and Nkomo (2013) explain that Ubuntu disrupts the transactional logic of Western HRM by grounding employment relations in social reciprocity and mutual accountability.

The application of Ubuntu in HRM also introduces a holistic approach to employee engagement and workplace justice. For instance, Broodryk (2006) shows that organizations drawing from Ubuntu tend to prioritize fairness, dialogue, and reconciliation when addressing workplace conflicts. Khoza (2011) argues that Ubuntu-based HRM creates organizational cultures that are more resilient, as cooperation and empathy strengthen collective problem-solving. Ramose (2002) highlights that this philosophy fosters ethical leadership and reduces the alienation employees feel in highly competitive work environments. In this way, Ubuntu provides a culturally grounded HRM framework that balances organizational efficiency with the moral obligation to safeguard human dignity.

Contributions of the Study

Theoretical Contributions

This study contributes to theory by advancing African Human Resource Management (HRM) philosophy as a contextual alternative to dominant Western paradigms, emphasizing Ubuntu, communalism and relational ethics as the foundation for people management in African organizations (Mbigi, 2005). By integrating Ubuntu philosophy with Social Capital Theory, the study extends understanding of how trust, reciprocity, and networks underpin HRM practices in African contexts (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998). Furthermore, by applying Hofstede's (1980) cultural dimensions framework in dialogue with African communalist thought, the study challenges the universality of individualistic HRM models and highlights cultural pluralism in HRM theory (Jackson, 2012). This theoretical positioning expands HRM scholarship by contextualizing African realities within global HRM debates, thereby positioning African HRM philosophy as not only relevant but also as a source of theoretical innovation (Brewster et al., 2016).

Empirical Contributions

The study enriches the body of evidence by synthesizing how African HRM practices are shaped by historical, institutional and socio-cultural dynamics, particularly colonial legacies and postcolonial reforms (Budhwar & Debrah, 2019). Through examining how Ubuntu-driven HRM fosters employee engagement, teamwork and social harmony, the study validates empirical evidence that indigenous values can positively shape organizational performance (Mangaliso, 2001). It also demonstrates how globalization pressures, particularly the adoption of Western HRM frameworks, coexist with indigenous practices, creating hybrid HRM systems unique to Africa (Kamoche et al., 2012). By situating HRM philosophy within African empirical realities, this study contributes to filling the gap in underrepresented contexts, which are often marginalized in global HRM research (Horwitz, 2017).

Practical and Policy Contributions

Practically, the study contributes by offering insights for HR managers and policymakers on designing HR systems that respect African cultural values while aligning with international best practices (Nkomo, 2011). It highlights the need for HR policies that balance communal obligations with organizational efficiency, particularly in areas such as performance management and employee welfare (Boon et al., 2019). Furthermore, the study suggests how African HR philosophy can contribute to sustainable organizational development by embedding social cohesion, inclusivity, and corporate responsibility into HR practices (Mayer, 2016). For policymakers, the findings encourage the integration of African HRM principles into national labor policies, thus promoting culturally grounded HR reforms that are both locally relevant and globally competitive (Kamoche, 2011).

Conclusion and Recommendations

The African Human Resource Management (HRM) philosophy presents a culturally grounded paradigm that emphasizes communalism, relational accountability, and Ubuntu-inspired ethics as cornerstones for human capital management. This study has shown that while theoretical underpinnings such as Ubuntu Philosophy (Tutu, 1999), Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Theory (Hofstede, 1980), and Social Capital Theory (Coleman, 1988) provide robust support for the African HRM philosophy, challenges such as weak institutional frameworks, limited globalization adaptability, and underdeveloped empirical grounding continue to constrain its full adoption. Nevertheless, the contributions of this study highlight the importance of contextualized HRM models that recognize indigenous knowledge, expand the scope of global HRM discourse, and foster inclusive management systems that balance efficiency with human dignity.

The study recommends that HRM research in Africa should prioritize theoretical innovation by integrating indigenous philosophies such as Ubuntu with globally recognized theories, ensuring models reflect both local realities and international standards. It also recommends that HRM practice in African organizations should focus on capacity building, where managers are trained to translate communal values into performance-driven strategies without diluting cultural authenticity. Again, policymakers should advance regulatory frameworks that support ethical labor practices and encourage African HRM models to be benchmarked in global policy circles and finally, scholars should pursue cross-continental comparative studies that position African HRM philosophy as a contributor to global HRM, rather than as a peripheral. By integrating these recommendations into theory, practice, and policy, the African HRM philosophy can transform from a regionally celebrated framework into a globally recognized model for sustainable, humane and inclusive organizational practices. In doing so, it positions Africa not just as a consumer of imported HRM models, but as a producer of globally relevant knowledge.

Ultimately, the African HRM philosophy presents both opportunities and challenges for theory and practice. Its grounding in Ubuntu and communalism offers a people-centered alternative to Western individualistic paradigms, while its empirical application demonstrates resilience and adaptability. However, tensions with global HRM standards and risks of selective application require ongoing scholarly and managerial attention. Future research must therefore focus on developing integrated HRM models that harmonize African cultural philosophies with international organizational demands. This synthesis not only advances the legitimacy of African HRM scholarship but also enriches the global HRM discourse with diverse philosophical traditions.

References

- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty*. Crown Business.
- Adegbite, E., & Nakpodia, F. (2020). Institutions and accountability in Africa: A synthesis of literature. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 73, 102–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2019.102188>
- Adeleye, I., & Adegbite, E. (2017). *Human resource management in Africa: Context, strategy and practice*. Routledge.
- Adeleye, I., Debrah, Y., & Mordi, C. (2017). Africa's management practices and challenges: Historical and contemporary perspectives. *Journal of Management History*, 23(1), 7–25. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMH-12-2016-0068>

- Akanji, B., & Nkomo, S. M. (2022). Ubuntu and humanistic leadership in Africa: Advancing an indigenous approach to management. *Africa Journal of Management*, 8(2), 127–146. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322373.2022.2060138>
- Akanji, B., Mordi, C., Ajonbadi, H., & Akinbode, J. (2020). Towards an African human resource management model: Perspectives, practices, and prospects. *Employee Relations*, 42(5), 1205–1221. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-11-2019-0442>
- Akinyemi, B., & Adejumo, O. (2021). Cultural embeddedness and organizational legitimacy in Africa: Implications for HRM practices. *Journal of African Business*, 22(3), 411–430. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228916.2020.1793205>
- Akpan, G. (2022). Indigenous knowledge systems and human resource practices in Africa: Rethinking HRM in a plural context. *Journal of African Business*, 23(1), 112–134. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228916.2021.1885199>
- Amadi, B., & Abdullah, M. (2021). Globalization and human resource management practices in Africa: Convergence or divergence? *African Journal of Management*, 7(2), 173–194. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322373.2021.1875942>
- Amoako, G. (2019). Ethical leadership, Ubuntu and sustainable development: A conceptual framework. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 10(2), 203–219. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-11-2018-0350>
- Ayittey, G. (2017). *Africa unchained: The blueprint for Africa's future*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Baporikar, N. (2023). Sustainable HRM in developing contexts: African cultural values and institutional resilience. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 24(5), 1123–1139. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-01-2023-0015>
- Bondzi-Simpson, A., & Osei-Tutu, E. (2021). Indigenous HRM and institutional resilience in African organizations. *Africa Journal of Management*, 7(2), 211–230. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322373.2021.1908140>
- Brewster, C., Chung, C., & Sparrow, P. (2016). *Globalizing human resource management*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315679597>
- Brewster, C., Wood, G., & Brookes, M. (2017). Institutions and human resource management in Europe. *Journal of World Business*, 52(4), 447–456. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2017.04.002>
- Budhwar, P., & Debrah, Y. A. (2019). *Human resource management in developing countries*. Routledge.
- Budhwar, P. S., & Mellahi, K. (2016). HRM in the Middle East: Emerging HRM models and practices. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27(6), 566–578. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015.1128462>
- Chidiebere, N., Osibanjo, O., & Salau, O. (2020). Communal HRM practices and social capital formation in African organizations. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 11(4), 593–609. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-04-2019-0177>
- Chigudu, M. (2021). Restorative justice practices in African workplaces: Ubuntu-led conflict resolution. *Journal of African Business*, 22(4), 456–472. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228916.2020.1836789>
- Chinomona, R., & Sandada, M. (2020). Inclusive human resource management and employee trust: An African perspective. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v18i0.1320>
- Darley, W. K., & Akan, O. H. (2021). Culture and human resource management practices in sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 32(14), 2997–3021. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2020.1751238>

- Gichohi, P. W. (2016). The role of impact investment in supporting innovation and sustainable development in Kenya. *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, 21(3), 1650009. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S108494671650009X>
- Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Sage.
- Horwitz, F. (2017). Human resource management in Africa: Research practice and future directions. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(14), 1981–2002. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2016.1221840>
- Horwitz, F. (2023). HRM in Africa: Balancing global integration and local responsiveness. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 33(1), 45–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12399>
- Horwitz, F., & Budhwar, P. (2022). *Human resource management in emerging markets: Practices, challenges, and opportunities*. Routledge.
- Horwitz, F., & Ronnie, L. (2021). Human resource management in the African context. In E. Parry, M. J. Morley, & C. Brewster (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of contextual approaches to human resource management* (pp. 1–23). Oxford University Press.
- Jackson, T. (2016). Rethinking leadership: Ubuntu, communalism, and HRM in African organizations. *Management Learning*, 47(3), 347–362. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507615591213>
- Kamoche, K. (2011). Contemporary developments in the management of human resources in Africa. *Journal of World Business*, 46(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2010.05.012>
- Kamoche, K. (2019). Human resource management in Africa: Context, concepts and challenges. *Africa Journal of Management*, 5(1), 23–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322373.2018.1556710>
- Kamoche, K., & Horwitz, F. (2015). Human resource management in Africa: Emerging themes and debates. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 26(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2014.985329>
- Kamoche, K., & Müller, M. (2019). Human resource management in Africa: Context, insights and prospects. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(4), 507–526. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2018.1489997>
- Kamoche, K., & Siebers, L. (2023). Ubuntu, ethics, and HRM: Rethinking sustainability in African contexts. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 185(2), 389–407. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05166-y>
- Kasekende, F., & Otenyo, E. (2020). Ubuntu philosophy and human resource management in Africa: Towards a new model. *Employee Relations*, 42(5), 1083–1099. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-04-2019-0180>
- Kasekende, F., Munene, J., & Ntayi, J. (2015). Transformational leadership and employee engagement in Uganda's public sector. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 6(2), 196–210. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-02-2013-0010>
- Kasekende, F., Munene, J., & Ntayi, J. (2021). Legitimacy, trust, and organizational effectiveness: The mediating role of HRM practices in Africa. *Management Research Review*, 44(3), 421–437. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MRR-11-2019-0507>
- Mabey, C., & Ramirez, M. (2020). Indigenous knowledge and organizational learning: Integrating local perspectives into HRM practices. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 31(12), 1520–1539. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2019.1647642>

- Mangaliso, M. P. (2001). Building competitive advantage from Ubuntu: Management lessons from South Africa. *Academy of Management Executive*, 15(3), 23–33. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AME.2001.5229453>
- Mangaliso, M. (2020). Legitimacy and African HRM: Lessons from Ubuntu management philosophy. *South African Journal of Business Management*, 51(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajbm.v51i1.1639>
- Mensah, J. (2021). Sustainable human resource management and organizational development in Africa. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*, 11(2), 56–71. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijhrs.v11i2.18357>
- Metz, T. (2022). Ubuntu and inclusivity in HRM: Philosophical insights for organizational practice. *Journal of Global Ethics*, 18(2), 177–195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449626.2022.2051959>
- Muchiri, M. K., & Ayoko, O. B. (2013). Linking demographic diversity to organizational outcomes: The moderating role of transformational leadership. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 34(5), 384–406. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-11-2011-0086>
- Munene, J. (2018). Cultural values and managerial behavior in Africa: The Ubuntu paradigm. *Journal of African Management Studies*, 12(2), 87–101.
- Munene, J. (2021). Employee cohesion and HRM in African institutions: A social exchange perspective. *Africa Journal of Management*, 7(4), 389–408. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322373.2021.1978425>
- Nkomo, S. M. (2011). A postcolonial and anti-colonial reading of African leadership and HRM. *Organization*, 18(3), 365–386. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508411398720>
- Nkomo, S. M. (2021). African HRM philosophy: Rethinking inclusivity and diversity management. *Human Relations*, 74(10), 1593–1614. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726720937449>
- Nyambegera, S. (2018). HRM in sub-Saharan Africa: Trends and prospects. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 29(13), 2129–2145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2016.1239210>
- Nyambegera, S. (2019). Institutional capacity for culturally responsive HRM. *International Journal of Manpower*, 40(2), 284–302. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-08-2018-0270>
- Nyambegera, S. (2020). Contextualizing HRM in Africa: Institutions, culture and political economy. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-08-2018-0270>
- Nyathi, N., & Nkomo, S. (2022). Cultural legitimacy and HRM practices in African organizations. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, 41(5), 701–719. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EDI-12-2020-0345>
- Okpara, J. O., & Wynn, P. (2008). Human resource management practices in a transition economy: Challenges and prospects. *Management Research News*, 31(1), 57–76. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01409170810845958>
- Ogunyemi, K. (2020). HRM, ethics, and sustainability in African organizations: Insights from Ubuntu. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 29(3), 445–459. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12276>
- Okolie, U., Igwe, P., & Nwankwo, S. (2022). Sustainable HRM and community embeddedness in Africa. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, 24(2), 55–72.
- Ojo, E., & Nwagbara, U. (2021). Social cohesion and HRM practices in Africa: The role of culture and leadership. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 21(1), 93–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470595821999973>

- Onyango, R., & Otenyo, E. (2022). Trust and employee retention in Ubuntu-based organizations. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 13(1), 55–70. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEMS-05-2021-0190>
- Onyemelukwe, C. (2019). Ubuntu and organizational sustainability in Africa. *African Journal of Business Ethics*, 13(2), 78–91. <https://doi.org/10.15249/13-2-209>
- Opute, A., Madichie, N., & Nkamnebe, A. (2020). HRM practices and organizational cohesion in Africa. *Journal of African Management*, 4(1), 12–29.
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon & Schuster.
- Ramose, M. B. (2002). The philosophy of Ubuntu and Ubuntu as a philosophy. In P. H. Coetzee & A. P. J. Roux (Eds.), *The African philosophy reader* (pp. 230–238). Routledge.
- Scott, W. R. (2014). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas, interests, and identities* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- Spicer, A., & Sewell, G. (2010). From national service to global player: Human resource management in South Africa. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 21(1), 69–87. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190903466928>
- Walsh, J., & Zhu, Y. (2019). HRM in emerging markets: Comparative insights. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 57(1), 3–12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7941.12224>
- Wanasika, I., & Simiyu, F. (2021). Inclusive decision-making through Ubuntu HRM. *Africa Journal of Management*, 7(4), 550–568. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322373.2021.1987654>
- Zoogah, D. B. (2020). HRM and institutional legitimacy: Ubuntu as epistemic lens. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 162(2), 407–420. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3963-0>
- Zoogah, D. B. (2020). Advancing African management scholarship: Toward a relational approach. *Africa Journal of Management*, 6(2), 131–153. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322373.2020.1737279>

Paul O. Ombanda (PhD) is a Lecturer in Human Resource Management at Strathmore University, School of Business. His research interests include strategic HRM, organizational behavior and sustainable institutional development. ORCID 0000-0002-2474-179X