

Global Perspectives on Management: Embracing Cultural Variance

NELSON FAGBEMI OLUWASEUN

DOI: 10.29322/IJSRP.16.05.2026.p17313

<https://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.16.05.2026.p17313>

Paper Received Date: 12th April 2026

Paper Acceptance Date: 15th May 2026

Paper Publication Date: 20th May 2026

Abstract

In the contemporary landscape of interconnected economies and diverse workforces, the significance of global perspectives in management is paramount. This study navigates the realm of global management, specifically focusing on the pivotal role of embracing cultural variance. It delves into the multifaceted dynamics, advantages, challenges, and the imperative of integrating diverse cultural perspectives within management practices. Cultural variance in global management encapsulates the myriad ways in which cultural backgrounds and values influence managerial practices, decision-making, communication, and organizational structures within multinational settings. The literature presents a rich tapestry of perspectives, highlighting the substantial impact of cultural dimensions such as individualism-collectivism, power distance, uncertainty avoidance, and long-term orientation on managerial behaviors and expectations across diverse societies. Scholarly research converges on the advantages of embracing cultural variance in global management. Diverse perspectives foster innovation, enriching problem-solving processes by introducing varied insights and approaches. Teams comprised of individuals from diverse cultural backgrounds exhibit heightened decision-making capabilities, attributed to broader analyses and the consideration of multiple viewpoints. Additionally, organizations adept at embracing cultural diversity showcase enhanced adaptability to rapid changes in market landscapes, showcasing resilience and strategic agility. However, managing cultural differences presents its own set of challenges. Communication barriers stemming from diverse communication styles and language nuances often impede collaboration. Conflicting cultural values and norms may result in misunderstandings, reducing team cohesion and hindering organizational effectiveness. Addressing these challenges requires proactive measures, including fostering a culture of inclusivity, offering cross-cultural training, and establishing strategies to bridge communication gaps. A nuanced perspective emphasizes the need for a balanced approach that acknowledges the benefits and challenges of cultural variance in global management. It advocates for proactive management strategies that leverage the advantages while effectively mitigating the challenges posed by cultural diversity. Effective leadership emerges as a linchpin, instrumental in fostering an inclusive environment and cultivating cultural intelligence within organizations. Organizations that invest in fostering inclusive cultures, providing cross-cultural training, and implementing policies promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) are better positioned to harness the benefits of cultural variance. Such organizations drive innovation, enhance organizational performance, and gain a competitive edge in the complex and dynamic global marketplace. In conclusion, "Global Perspectives on Management: Embracing Cultural Variance" underscores the significance of integrating diverse cultural perspectives into management practices. It advocates for a comprehensive approach that appreciates diversity as a strategic asset while proactively managing its complexities, enabling organizations to thrive in the intricate global landscape.

Keywords: Cultural Diversity, Global Management, Cross-cultural Perspectives, Organizational Adaptability, Diversity Integration, Cultural Intelligence

1. Introduction

In today's rapidly evolving global landscape, the role of global perspectives in management has become indispensable. The interconnectedness of economies, facilitated by technological advancements and the unprecedented expansion of businesses across international boundaries, underscores the imperative of adopting a broader worldview in organizational leadership (Kaitharath, 2021). The burgeoning presence of companies on a global scale necessitates a nuanced understanding and integration of diverse cultural perspectives within management practices (Collins, 2021). No longer confined by geographical constraints, modern workplaces are dynamic ecosystems encompassing multifaceted cultural identities. This evolving scenario emphasizes the pivotal role of embracing cultural diversity in every aspect of organizational functioning (Marques & Dhiman, 2022). The interconnected nature of today's workplaces accentuates the significance of navigating through cultural differences. It's no longer merely an option but an essential

competency for leaders and organizations aiming for sustainable success on a global scale (Marques & Dhiman, 2022). The ability to appreciate, understand, and effectively leverage diverse cultural viewpoints has emerged as a cornerstone of effective management strategies in this interconnected world. Leadership in such a global context demands more than just operational expertise; it necessitates cultural intelligence and an adaptive mindset to navigate through the intricate tapestry of global cultures (Collins, 2021). Embracing cultural variance isn't merely a moral or ethical consideration; it's a strategic imperative for organizations seeking to thrive amidst diverse markets, stakeholders, and workforce compositions. As globalisation continues to dissolve geographical boundaries and technology facilitates instantaneous connections, the importance of incorporating diverse cultural perspectives into management practices is not just an emerging trend but a critical component for organizational longevity and success. This evolving paradigm demands a holistic approach to management—one that values and integrates cultural diversity as a catalyst for innovation, resilience, and sustainable growth in the globalized marketplace.

1.1 Significance of the research

This research endeavors to delve into the intricate realm of cultural diversity within global management practices for several compelling reasons. The primary aim is to unravel the multifaceted influences of diverse management cultures on organizational dynamics, meticulously analyzing the nuanced interplay between these cultural variants. At its core, this research seeks to understand and dissect the subtle yet profound impact that various management cultures exert on the functioning of organizations. It endeavors to scrutinize the benefits that stem from integrating cultural disparities within management frameworks while also acknowledging and addressing the inherent difficulties and challenges embedded within such integration. One of the fundamental motives driving this research is to offer a comprehensive and in-depth study that amalgamates insights from a multitude of scholarly sources. By consolidating and evaluating contemporary academic material from diverse sources, this study aims to present a holistic view of cultural diversity in global management practices. It seeks to synthesize existing knowledge, drawing from varied scholarly perspectives, to offer a nuanced understanding of the subject matter. An essential objective of this research is to critically evaluate the diverse viewpoints and opinions present in the scholarly discourse surrounding cultural diversity in global management. By critically assessing these perspectives, this study endeavors to unearth the complexities, contradictions, and synergies existing within the realm of cultural integration in organizational settings. Moreover, this research strives to contribute a compelling argument in favor of actively leveraging cultural diversity as a pivotal asset in modern global management practices. It aims to underscore the significance of cultural diversity not merely as a social or ethical consideration but as a strategic imperative for organizational success in today's interconnected global landscape. Ultimately, the overarching goal of this research is to offer substantive insights and empirical evidence that substantiates the significance of cultural diversity in contemporary management. By providing a robust analysis backed by scholarly literature, this study endeavors to illuminate the immense potential that lies in embracing and effectively utilizing cultural diversity as a driving force for innovation, adaptability, and sustainable growth in global organizational settings.

1.2 Practical Application of the Research

The imperative for the practical application of knowledge gleaned from research on cultural diversity in global management is deeply rooted in contemporary organizational landscapes (Shaykhian *et al.*, 2019). Organizations today face the tangible repercussions of globalization, heightened interconnectedness, and diverse workforce compositions (Sonawane, 2021). From this need arises the urgency for practical implementation and utilization of insights derived from scholarly investigations into cultural diversity in management. In practice, the insights garnered from research on cultural diversity in global management serve as a guiding beacon for organizational leaders and managers. These insights elucidate the intricate interplay between diverse management cultures and organizational dynamics. They provide a roadmap for navigating the complexities inherent in managing diverse teams, fostering inclusive work environments, and effectively leveraging cultural disparities for organizational advantage. Moreover, the practical application of research findings in this domain holds the potential to revolutionize organizational strategies and practices. By integrating these insights into day-to-day operations, companies can create environments that celebrate diversity, encourage open

communication, and facilitate collaboration among individuals from varied cultural backgrounds. The need for practical implementation also stems from the competitive edge that embracing cultural diversity can confer upon organizations. In today's global marketplace, companies that harness the power of cultural diversity often exhibit greater innovation, enhanced decision-making capabilities, and improved adaptability to dynamic market changes (Kraus *et al.*, 2019). The practical integration of research-derived strategies for managing cultural disparities can position organizations favorably amidst diverse markets, driving sustainable growth and fostering a distinct competitive advantage. Furthermore, the practical implementation of insights garnered from research on cultural diversity serves as a catalyst for fostering a positive organizational culture. When organizations actively apply these insights, they signal a commitment to inclusivity and diversity, thereby attracting and retaining top talent from diverse backgrounds. This, in turn, cultivates a richly diverse and engaged workforce, fostering a culture of creativity, collaboration, and mutual respect within the organizational framework. Ultimately, the need for practical application stems from the transformative potential inherent in leveraging cultural diversity within organizations. From optimizing team performance to driving innovation and fostering a more inclusive work environment, the practical implementation of insights derived from research on cultural diversity stands as a cornerstone for organizational success in the ever-evolving global landscape.

1. Literature Review

1.1 Management Overview

Management is a complex field that involves the organisation, coordination, and monitoring of resources and operations inside a company to accomplish certain goals (Vasile & Croitoru, 2019). It encompasses the coordination of human, financial, material, and informational resources in order to achieve organisational objectives (Karaivanova, 2016). The development of management theories and practices has been shaped by a variety of viewpoints, spanning from classical to current methods, each providing distinct understandings of organisational leadership and decision-making processes.

2.2 Principles of Classical Management Theories

The origins of management theory may be traced back to the early 20th century when classical ideas were introduced by Frederick Taylor, Henri Fayol, and Max Weber. Taylor's scientific management theory prioritised efficiency by implementing structured procedures and specialised tasks (Taylor, & 风才, 马. 2014). Fayol's administrative philosophy emphasised management concepts that included planning, organising, commanding, coordinating, and regulating (Edwards, 2018). Weber proposed the idea of bureaucracy, highlighting the importance of rational-legal authority and the structure of organisations (Dash & Padhi, 2020).

2.3 Behavioural and Human Relations Theories

The behavioural viewpoint redirected attention from mechanical methodologies to the human component inside organisations. Elton Mayo's Hawthorne Studies emphasised the importance of social and psychological elements in both productivity and worker motivation (Tolibovna, 2020). As a result, the human relations movement emerged, highlighting the significance of employee contentment, drive, and group interactions in attaining organisational goals (Safonov *et al.*, 2020).

2.4 Contemporary Management Methods

Contemporary management theories include several perspectives such as contingency theory, systems theory, and strategic management. Contingency theory posits that the effectiveness of management strategies depends on situational conditions (Suharyanto & Lestari, 2020). Organisations, according to systems theory, are regarded as intricate systems consisting of interconnected components that collaborate towards shared objectives (Hespanha, 2018). Strategic management places emphasis on the formulation of long-term plans, the attainment of competitive advantage, and the ability to respond to changes in the environment (Sachynka,

2022). Due to globalisation, technology improvements, and altering labour dynamics, management now encompasses more than just conventional limits in the present scenario. Topics like as sustainability, diversity, digital transformation, and ethical leadership have become more important (Niu *et al.*, 2022). Organisations are responding to disruptive shifts by adopting agile approaches, fostering innovation, and implementing digital strategies (Tshabalala & Marnewick, 2021).

Globalisation has expanded beyond physical borders, leading to a corporate environment where cultural diversity is not just a unique feature but also an essential element of organisational dynamics (Kaitharath, 2021). The emergence of technology, convenience of travel, and global trade have resulted in a workforce consisting of persons from many cultural origins (Chawla, 2017). To effectively lead in a global setting, it is crucial to have a thorough awareness of various management styles and techniques due to the many cultural backgrounds present in workplaces. Cultural variety enhances creativity and invention by providing a wide range of viewpoints and methods for addressing challenges (Corritore *et al.*, 2019). By integrating many perspectives, it improves the decision-making process, resulting in more thorough analyses and solutions. Moreover, research has demonstrated that teams with a variety of backgrounds and perspectives are more capable of adjusting and recovering in rapidly changing situations (Dean, 2021).

Although cultural variety offers several benefits, it can also provide difficulties in terms of management. Language and communication style disparities might hinder productive collaboration (Hwang, 2013). Divergent cultural norms and beliefs might result in misinterpretations, impeding team unity and efficiency (Hofstede, 1980). In addition, effectively overseeing diverse teams involves addressing intricacies associated with power relations and possible conflicts that may arise due to varying cultural expectations (Sajadi, & Vandenberghe, 2022). The integration of varied cultural viewpoints into management strategies presents significant opportunities for organisational expansion and creativity. Nevertheless, it requires skillful management of obstacles related to communication, divergent values, and group dynamics. Effectively harnessing the advantages of cultural diversity while tackling its difficulties is a crucial element of contemporary management in a globalised environment.

2. Embracing Cultural Variance in a Globalized Workplace

Embracing cultural variance is vital to succeed in the multifaceted global marketplace. Cultural differences significantly impact management practices, influencing communication, decision-making, conflict resolution, and organisational structure (Okoro, 2013). The failure to acknowledge and accommodate these differences can lead to misunderstandings, inefficiencies, and conflict within multinational organisations (ABDELAZIM, 2022). By contrast, embracing cultural variance can foster creativity, innovation, and better problem-solving (Hofstede *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, the inclusion of varied viewpoints enhances decision-making processes within organizations, leading to more comprehensive analyses and well-rounded solutions (Bell, 2019). Beyond innovation, diverse teams exhibit higher adaptability and resilience in navigating dynamic work environments (Bui *et al.*, 2019). This adaptability is crucial in a globalized marketplace where rapid changes and uncertainties are common. Effective conflict resolution is another notable benefit, where culturally diverse teams, when managed adeptly, leverage different perspectives to resolve conflicts more effectively (Dogra, & Dixit, 2019).

Furthermore, embracing cultural variance positively impacts employee satisfaction and retention rates (Murtiningsih, 2020). Inclusive workplaces, incorporating diverse cultural elements, enhance employee morale and engagement. Additionally, a culturally diverse workforce tends to relate better to and serve a diverse customer base, strengthening customer relations (Kaur, 2014).

However, challenges emerge when navigating cultural diversity in the workplace. Communication barriers often hinder effective collaboration within culturally diverse teams (Selvadurai, & Dasgupta, 2016). Conflicts stemming from differences in cultural values and norms pose significant challenges to team cohesion and productivity (Hofstede, 1980). Misunderstandings due to diverse cultural

interpretations can impede smooth operations (Paziura & Nychkalo, 2021). Resistance to change is prevalent in organizations when embracing diverse cultures, potentially hindering initiatives aimed at inclusivity (Johansson *et al.*, 2014). Additionally, cultural diversity can lead to stereotyping and biases within teams, affecting team dynamics and interactions (Cherian *et al.*, 2020). Challenges also arise for leaders in managing power differentials rooted in cultural diversity (Arumugam *et al.*, 2021). Ethical dilemmas may emerge from differences in cultural practices, posing challenges in decision-making and organizational practices (Mitchell, 2019). Finally, organizational fragmentation can occur when diverse cultural perspectives lead to divisions within the organization (Larentis *et al.*, 2018).

3. Cultural Variance in Global Management

Cultural variance in global management refers to the diverse ways in which cultural backgrounds and values influence managerial practices, decision-making, communication, and organizational structures within multinational settings (Hofstede, & Minkov, 2010). Cultural dimensions, such as individualism-collectivism, power distance, uncertainty avoidance, and long-term orientation, significantly shape management behaviors and expectations across different societies (Hofstede, 1984).

4.1 Cultural Dimensions Shaping Management Behaviors

Hofstede's cultural dimensions framework remains pivotal in understanding how cultural variances influence management practices. Individualism-collectivism, one of these dimensions, delineates societies where individual interests prevail against those where group cohesion and interdependence are paramount (Hofstede, 1984). Countries scoring high on individualism, like the United States, often adopt management practices that prioritize individual goals, autonomy, and personal achievement (Hofstede, 2001). Conversely, collectivist societies, such as Japan or China, emphasize group harmony, consensus-building, and loyalty (Hofstede, 2001).

Power distance, another dimension, elucidates the acceptance of hierarchical structures and the extent of deference to authority within a culture (Hofstede, 1984). In societies with high power distance, such as many Asian and Latin American countries, management practices often involve centralized decision-making and deference to authority figures (Hofstede, 2001). Contrastingly, low power distance cultures, like Scandinavian nations, emphasize egalitarianism, participative decision-making, and a flatter organizational hierarchy (Hofstede, 2001).

Uncertainty avoidance highlights the tolerance for ambiguity within societies and affects how management handles risks and uncertainties (Hofstede, 1984). Cultures with high uncertainty avoidance, like many European countries, tend to exhibit risk-averse management behaviors, seeking structured procedures and clear rules (Hofstede, 2001). Conversely, low uncertainty avoidance cultures, often found in East Asian countries, might embrace ambiguity and display more flexibility in management approaches (Hofstede, 2001). Long-term orientation delineates societies' time horizon in planning and emphasizes values like persistence and thriftiness (Hofstede & Bond, 1988). Cultures with a long-term orientation, commonly found in East Asian societies, exhibit management practices focusing on long-term goals, strategic planning, and perseverance (Hofstede & Bond, 1988).

4.2 Influence on Managerial Practices

These cultural dimensions significantly influence managerial practices and expectations within multinational settings. For instance, a multinational corporation operating in both individualistic and collectivist societies might need to adapt its leadership styles. In individualistic cultures, managers might emphasize individual recognition and autonomy, while in collectivist cultures, team cohesion and consensus-building could be prioritized (Hofstede & Minkov, 2010). The application of these cultural dimensions in understanding managerial behaviors serves as a critical tool for multinational companies in navigating diverse cultural landscapes and shaping effective management practices to suit varying societal norms and values.

Effective leadership plays a pivotal role in harnessing the benefits of cultural variance. Leaders who embrace diversity, foster an inclusive environment, and exhibit cultural intelligence can create synergies within diverse teams (Adler, 2002). Moreover, organizational practices promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives demonstrate a commitment to embracing cultural variance, attracting diverse talent and enhancing organizational performance (Mor Barak et al., 2016).

4.3 Management Styles Across Different Cultures

Various cultures exhibit distinct management styles rooted in their societal norms and values. For instance, in countries with a high power distance index, such as many Asian and Middle Eastern cultures, hierarchical structures and authoritative leadership are prevalent (Hofstede, 2001). Conversely, cultures with lower power distance, like Scandinavian countries, tend to adopt a more participative and egalitarian leadership approach (Hofstede, 2001). Additionally, individualistic cultures, like those in Western societies, often emphasize individual achievement and autonomy, leading to management styles that prioritize individual goals and recognition (Hofstede, 2001). In contrast, collectivist cultures, prevalent in many Asian countries, prioritize group harmony and consensus-building, shaping management practices towards team-oriented and consensus-driven decision-making (Hofstede, 2001).

4.4 Impact of Cultural Differences on Management Approaches

The influence of cultural differences on management approaches is profound and can significantly impact organizational dynamics. For instance, in a multicultural team, communication styles vary based on cultural norms, affecting how information is conveyed and received (Stojanovska & Velichkovska, 2020). This often results in misunderstandings, misinterpretations, and inefficiencies within multinational organizations (Stetten *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, decision-making processes are influenced by cultural preferences. Some cultures value direct communication, while others may prefer indirect or implicit communication styles (Sternad, 2011). These differences can lead to contrasting approaches in problem-solving and decision-making within diverse teams.

For instance, in a multinational corporation operating in Asia and the Western world, contrasting management styles might surface. In the Western branches, emphasis on individual achievement and open communication might be prominent, while in Asian branches, hierarchical structures and group consensus might prevail (Hofstede & Bond, 1988). Another example could be the differing approaches to leadership exhibited in a multinational team. A team comprising members from hierarchical cultures might expect directive leadership, while those from more egalitarian cultures might prefer participative leadership (Post *et al.*, 2022).

Scholarly literature on cultural variance in global management showcases a spectrum of perspectives, highlighting both its advantages and challenges. Supporting views emphasize that cultural diversity enriches organizations by fostering innovation, enhancing decision-making, and bolstering adaptability to dynamic environments (Thomas *et al.*, 2019). Conversely, opposing perspectives suggest that managing cultural differences leads to communication barriers, conflicts, and challenges in team cohesion.

A nuanced perspective acknowledges both the benefits and challenges of cultural variance in global management. Cultural diversity undoubtedly enriches organizations by introducing varied perspectives, enhancing creativity, and improving decision-making. However, to leverage these advantages, organizations must actively manage the challenges associated with cultural differences. This involves fostering a culture of inclusivity, providing cross-cultural training, and implementing strategies to mitigate communication barriers and conflicts.

Cultural variance in global management is a double-edged sword, offering significant advantages while presenting challenges. Acknowledging and embracing cultural diversity, coupled with effective management strategies, leads to enhanced organizational performance. Organizations must invest in fostering inclusive cultures, providing training, and implementing policies that value and

leverage cultural differences. By doing so, they can reap the benefits of cultural variance and gain a competitive edge in the complex global marketplace.

Reference

- ABDELAZIM, A. (2022). Cross-Cultural Management. *Journal of Economics, Finance And Management Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.47191/jefms/v5-i5-22>.
- Arumugam, A., Shanmugavelu, D., Osman, D., Ariffin, D., Manickam, D., & Singh, J. (2021). LEADERSHIP STRATEGY IN MANAGING WORKPLACE DIVERSITY. *EPRA International Journal of Research & Development (IJRD)*. <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra8988>.
- Bell, A. (2019). Applying Human Viewpoints to Risk-Based Decision-Making. *The Human Viewpoint for System Architectures*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11629-3_12.
- Bui, H., Chau, V., Degl'Innocenti, M., Leone, L., & Vicentini, F. (2019). The Resilient Organisation: A Meta-Analysis of the Effect of Communication on Team Diversity and Team Performance. *Applied Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/APPS.12203>.
- Chawla, S. (2017). Developing Global Workforce across Cultures. , 11, 78-89. <https://doi.org/10.25089/MERI/2017/V11/I1/164015>.
- Cherian, J., Gaikar, V., & P., P. (2020). The Role of Cultural Diversity and How They Impact Work Team Performance. *Corporate Governance Educator: Courses*. <https://doi.org/10.34218/ijmet.11.9.2020.002>.
- Collins, D., (2021). *Rethinking Organizational culture: redeeming culture through stories*. Routledge.
- Corritore, M., Goldberg, A., & Srivastava, S. (2019). Duality in Diversity: How Intrapersonal and Interpersonal Cultural Heterogeneity Relate to Firm Performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 65, 359 - 394. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0001839219844175>.
- Dean, B. (2021). Developing and Leading Ambidextrous Teams: A Team-Centric Framework of Ambidexterity in Volatile Environments. *Journal of Change Management*, 22, 120 - 146. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697017.2021.2013297>.
- Edwards, R. (2018). An Elaboration of the Administrative Theory of the 14 Principles of Management by Henri Fayol., 41-52. <https://doi.org/10.35935/edr/21.5241>.
- Dash, S., & Padhi, M. (2020). Relevance of Max Weber's Rational Bureaucratic Organizations in Modern Society., 31, 81-91. <https://doi.org/10.5771/0935-9915-2020-1-81>.
- Dogra, A., & Dixit, V. (2019). Prismatic Role of Cultural Intelligence in Transforming and Magnifying the Positive Effects of Conflict Leading to Innovation. *Journal of Organization and Human Behaviour*, 8, 1-8.
- Johansson, C., Åström, S., Kauffeldt, A., Helldin, L., & Carlström, E. (2014). Culture as a predictor of resistance to change: a study of competing values in a psychiatric nursing context.. *Health policy*, 114 2-3, 156-62 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2013.07.014>.
- Karaivanova, G. (2016). BASICS OF BUILDING A SYSTEM FOR HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT. *New knowledge Journal of science*, 5, 5-12.

Hwang, K. (2013). Effects of the Language Barrier on Processes and Performance of International Scientific Collaboration, Collaborators' Participation, Organizational Integrity, and Interorganizational Relationships. *Science Communication*, 35, 3 - 31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547012437442>.

Hespanha, J. P. (2018). *Linear systems theory*. Princeton university press.

Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviours, institutions, and organizations across nations*. California: Sage Publications, Inc.

Hofstede, G., & Minkov, M. (2010). Long-versus short-term orientation: new perspectives. *Asia Pacific business review*, 16(4), 493-504.

Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage

Hofstede, G., and M. H. Bond. (1988). "The Confucius Connection: From Cultural Roots to Economic Growth." *Organizational Dynamics* 6 (4): 4-21.

Kaitharath, T. (2021). Managing global systems. *Journal of Management Research and Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.18231/j.jmra.2021.012>.

Kaur, S. (2014). Workforce Diversity: A Source of Competitive Advantage. *Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management*, 4, 197-206.

Kraus, S., Schleich, M., Tröster, A., & Roig-Tierno, N. (2019). Cultural Diversity in Large Enterprises: A Qualitative Analysis from the Alpine Rhine Valley. *Journal of Promotion Management*, 25, 640 - 663. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10496491.2019.1585570>.

Larentis, F., Antonello, C., & Slongo, L. (2018). Inter-organizational Culture and the Cultural Perspectives. *Inter-Organizational Culture*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00392-0_2.

Marques, J., & Dhiman, S. (Eds.). (2022). *Leading with Diversity, Equity and Inclusion: Approaches, Practices and Cases for Integral Leadership Strategy*. Springer Nature.

Mitchell, C. (2019). Ethical dilemmas.. *Critical care nursing clinics of North America*, 2 3, 427-30 . <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781849666558.ch-006>.

Murtiningsih, R. (2020). The Impact of Compensation, Training &Development, and Organizational Culture on Job Satisfaction and employee Retention. *Indonesian Management and Accounting Research*. <https://doi.org/10.25105/IMAR.V19I1.6969>.

Niu, S., Park, B., & Jung, J. (2022). The Effects of Digital Leadership and ESG Management on Organizational Innovation and Sustainability. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142315639>.

Okoro, E. (2013). International Organizations and Operations: An Analysis of Cross-Cultural Communication Effectiveness and Management Orientation. *Ethics eJournal*.

Paziura, N., & Nychkalo, N. (2021). Professional Activity at Multinational Aviation Companies: Impact of Socio Cultural Factors. , 8, 31-36. <https://doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0014.9139>.

Post, C., Smet, H., Uitdewilligen, S., Schreurs, B., & Leysen, J. (2022). Participative or Directive Leadership Behaviors for Decision-Making in Crisis Management Teams?. *Small Group Research*, 53, 692 - 724. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10464964221087952>.

Sachynka, L. (2022). PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS OF DEVELOPMENT OF STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT IN UKRAINE. *Herald of Khmelnytskyi National University. Economic sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.31891/2307-5740-2022-308-4-27>.

Safonov, K., Hashaeva, S., Biniukova, I., & Sologub, O. (2020). Sociotechnical Principles of Humanization of Organizational Relations. *DEStech Transactions on Social Science, Education and Human Science*. <https://doi.org/10.12783/dtssehs/icpcs2020/33870>.

Sajadi, P., & Vandenberghe, C. (2022). Supervisors' social dominance orientation, nation-based exchange relationships, and team-level outcomes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.865429>.

Selvadurai, S., & Dasgupta, A. (2016). Culturally Diverse Teams and Social Interaction Influence on Team Effectiveness in Small and Medium Enterprises in the ICT Sector in Malaysia. *Millennial Asia*, 7, 42 - 62. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0976399615624056>.

Shaykhian, G., Ziade, J., & Khairi, M. (2019). Board 87: Global Marketplace and American Companies in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA). <https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--32449>.

Sonawane, A. (2021). The Change in Nature of an Organization and Its Work. , 206-221. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-3515-8.ch011>.

Sternad, D. (2011). Cultural influences on the adaptation process. , 139-158. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-0455-2_6.

Stetten, A., Beimborn, D., Weitzel, T., & Reiss, Z. (2011). Managing the impact of differences in national culture on social capital in multinational IT project teams - a German perspective. , 73. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7908-2781-1_10.

Stojanovska, S., & Velichkovska, K. (2020). COMMUNICATION DIFFERENCES AND CONFLICT RESOLUTION IN INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT. <https://doi.org/10.31410/eman.s.p.2020.85>.

Suharyanto, A., & Lestari, R. (2020). The Fall and Rise of The Contingency Theory of Leadership. *Iapa Proceedings Conference*. <https://doi.org/10.30589/PROCEEDINGS.2020.423>.

Taylor, F., & 风才, 马. (2014). 科学管理原理=The principles of scientific management. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452206905.n541>.

Tshabalala, M., & Marnewick, C. (2021). Agile as an enabler towards innovation-based organisational transformations. *SA Journal of Information Management*. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajim.v23i1.1309>.

Tolibovna, G. (2020). The Issues of Human Factor and Human Relations in the Concept of "Organizations as Organisms". , 22, 01-08. <https://doi.org/10.52155/IJPSAT.V22.2.2116>.

Vasile, E., & Croitoru, I. (2019). Management Control And Financial Management Within Economic Organizations. *Internal Auditing and Risk Management*, 56, 108-117.