Development and validation of a multidimensional instrument to assess the healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples in the Colombo district Sri Lanka
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Abstract-

Introduction: To achieve patient satisfaction, diverse unmet healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples should be identified and addressed at all levels. However, such an instrument to identify the healthcare needs of the sub-fertile couple is lacking.

Method: Items generation for the Healthcare Need Assessment-Subfertility (HNA-Sf) tool was done by conducting In-depth Interviews (IDI) (n=20) and Focus Group Discussions (FGD) (n=8) with sub-fertile individuals and healthcare workers. The best items were selected through the Delphi technique. The construct validity of the drafted tool was assessed with exploratory factor analysis (EFA) in a cross-sectional study, with 225 sub-fertile couples. The internal consistency of items was measured with Cronbach’s alpha. Test-retest reliability was assessed with the Kappa coefficient of the selected 10 items with thirty participants.

Results: Fifty-eight items were generated through qualitative methods (FGD and IDI). Fifty-one items were selected via the Delphi technique with a panel of experts for EFA. The final drafted HNA-Sf tool after EFA compromised 37 items under five main domains named ‘basic information needs’, ‘information needs on treatments’, ‘communication needs’, ‘psycho-social needs’, and ‘autonomy and respect needs’. The Cronbach’s reliability for the HNA-Sf tool range from 0.856-0.912 in female partners and 0.855-0.904 in male partners. The Kappa coefficient of the selected 10 items varied between 0.773 to 0.908.

Conclusion and recommendation: Newly developed HNA-Sf is a valid and reliable tool to measure the healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples. The tool can be used to identify the healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples.

Index Terms- subfertility, healthcare needs, information needs, psychological needs, communication needs.

I. INTRODUCTION

Subfertility is a disease of the reproductive system. Clinically it is defined as ‘failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after 12 months or more of regular unprotected sexual intercourse (1). Subfertility can affect all aspects of family life causing various psychological and emotional disturbances affecting both male and female partners leading to frustration, depression, hopelessness, guilt, and eventually conflicts (2,3). Therefore, subfertility is a major family issue that needs to be addressed with utmost care and confidentiality. Some sub-fertile couples do not commence or continue subfertility treatments due to various reasons, such as lack of information, myths, social issues, economic impact, and psychological problems (4).

Subfertility prevalence is higher in low and middle-income countries than in high-income countries (5). With available literature, the prevalence of subfertility is approximately 15% among Sri Lankan couples (6). To organize effective services and plan healthcare interventions, diverse unmet healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples should be identified and addressed. A healthcare need assessment of sub-fertile couples is needed for this purpose (7).

Healthcare needs are defined as “needs that can benefit from healthcare interventions such as health education, prevention of diseases, diagnosis, treatments, rehabilitation, etc.” (7). In most research studies, emphasis is given to the assessment of healthcare needs to enable a plan for future health interventions. Healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples can categorized under the following areas: information and communication needs, emotional support, autonomy and respect, continuity of care, and financial support (8). Subfertility is an area with a lot of myths and misconceptions. Therefore, sufficient knowledge on fertility, risk factors, causes of subfertility, and treatment options are needed, and insufficient knowledge affects the treatment-seeking behavior and continuation of the treatments (9,10). Psychological or emotional distress can be a result of various reasons such as social pressure, female age, treatment not resulting in a pregnancy, poor support from healthcare workers, fear, etc. Filling the psychological needs will, in turn, reduce emotional distress and
perhaps lead to higher success in treatment (8,11,12,13). Several research pieces of evidence proved that sub-fertile couples required a wide range of psychological support and counseling interventions (14,15).

The following are the reasons to develop a new tool to assess the healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples. Firstly, none of the tools included all dimensions of healthcare needs. Most of these tools evaluate only one dimension of their needs, e.g., the emotional needs, counseling needs, or the economic burden (16). Secondly, most of the tools developed to assess the needs of clinic patients would miss the needs of couples who are not in treatment. Thirdly, most of the existing evidence is generated with qualitative assessments (17,18). The present tool assesses the gravity of unmet healthcare needs with quantitative measurements. Fourthly, most of the tools are designed to assess the needs of sub-fertile couples who live in high-income countries.

The objective of this study was to develop a valid and reliable instrument to assess the healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples.

II. METHODS

To develop the ‘Healthcare Needs Assessment-Subfertility (HNA-Sf) tool’ the researchers used a stringent process (19), which includes defining the concept, generation of the item pool, selection of the best items, confirmation of the structure of the tool, pre-testing, and testing for psychometric properties.

The concept of ‘healthcare needs’ as agreed upon by the panel of experts: Needs that can be provided by the healthcare system which can be beneficial to sub-fertile couples, such as health education including information provision, disease prevention, the fulfillment of the communication gap, addressing psycho-social needs, addressing the autonomy and respect needs and addressing the needs in diagnosis and treatments.

The item pool was generated with qualitative studies (Focus Group Discussions and In-depth Interviews) and the tools available in the literature to measure the same constructs. Generated item pool consisted of 58 items. The best items were selected through Delphi technique reviews by a panel of experts. The panel was requested to review the relevance of each item to the construct under study and the appropriateness and acceptability of the wording in the local context on a 10-point Likert Scale separately. Seven items were removed as they had a mean rating score of less than 3 for relevance. Other items were modified with the suggestions of the experts. Fifty-one best items were selected and were then subjected to assessment of their construct validity via Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) and reduced to 37 items.

Exploratory factor analysis was carried out from data collected by a cross-sectional study in randomly selected five Public Health Midwives (PHM) areas in one Medical Officer of Health (MOH) area. The study population was male and female partners of sub-fertile couples registered in the updated eligible family register, which is a register of couples used by grass root level healthcare workers. Exploratory factor analysis was carried out for male and female partners separately. Reliability was assessed through test-retest reliability by selecting 10 items and reassessing these items with 30 participants 2 weeks following the first assessment. The whole country is divided into 352 MOH areas for health administration purposes and the PHM area is a subdivision of a MOH area catered by a Public Health Midwife for domiciliary care in Sri Lanka (20).

The sample size for the EFA study was determined with the recommendations of the previous literature and five participants for each item were recruited (21). Therefore, for the EFA 255 participants were recruited. Informed written consent was obtained from each participant before data collection.

All the interviews and discussions for item generation (FGD and IDI) were audio recorded and transcribed by the principal investigator (PI). The PI read the interview responses in IDI and SGDs and looked for a pattern among the information given by the informants. After getting familiarized with the data, initial codes were developed. Codes developed were converted to items that assess the needs of sub-fertile couples without changing the original meaning of the code.

The EFA study data entry and the statistical analysis were conducted by the PI using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21. Before EFA, data were initially screened for suitability for factor analysis. The reliability of the instrument was ensured by assessing the internal consistency. A Cronbach’s alpha score of more than 0.7 was considered adequate. The selected 10 items from the HNA-Sf tool were administered to 30 participants, two weeks apart to assess the test-retest reliability.

III. RESULTS

Two hundred and twenty-five sub-fertile couples responded to the newly developed tool with 51 items. The mean age of the female partner was 35.1 years (SD= 5.9) and the male partner was 37.9 years (SD= 5.4). Most of the couples were Sinhala Buddhists. The basic socio-demographic characteristics of the couples were given in Table 1.

Exploratory Factor Analyses for male and female partners were conducted separately. Before conducting EFA data were screened for their suitability. For both male and female partners, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KOM) test was well above 0.5 (female partners = 0.938 and male partners= 0.949) and highly significant. The correlation matrix revealed that there were some variables with low correlation below 0.3 in female partners (Question numbers:18,31,32,33,36,44) and male partners (Question numbers:7,18,8,32,36,37). Those items were removed. Eigenvalues above 1 were retained and for female partners, they ranged between 1.15-21.44, and for male partners, they ranged between 1.12-21.9. After removing the above six variables from the male and female partner’s datasets separately, 45 variables were considered in Principal Component Analysis. The rotation of the variables was carried out using various oblique rotational methods. Finally, with varimax rotation, a good model fit with the data was obtained in both partners. Then the process of removing and adding variables to obtain a sound factor matrix was performed. Out of the 45 items, 37 items were loaded into five factors logically in both partners. Eight items in female partners (Q6, Q7, Q8, Q28, Q29, Q30, Q37, Q46) and male partners (Q6, Q8, Q29, Q30, Q31, Q33, Q44, Q46) showed cross-loading and not load to a factor with more than 0.4 (22) coefficients were removed. In female partners, five factors explained 75.42% of the variability, and in male partners, five factors explained 75.3% of the variability in the data set. The variables were assigned to the...
factor with the highest loading. Though the removal of items was done in different stages with male and female partners finally the same set of items was retained. The final factor loading for each domain and the items that remain are presented in Table 2. The internal consistency of items in the domain was measured with Cronbach’s alpha. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficients exceeded the criterion of 0.7 (Nunnally’s criteria) in all domains (Table 3). Ten selected items from the newly developed HNA-Sf tool were re-administered to thirty participants two weeks apart by the principal investigator. The Kappa coefficient of items varied between 0.773 to 0.908 (Table 4). Therefore, the Healthcare Needs Assessment tool items showed substantial and almost perfect agreement on the above two different occasions over two weeks (23).

Abbreviations- IDI: In-depth Interviews; FGD: Focus Group Discussions; EFA: Exploratory Factor Analysis; PHM: Public Health Midwife; MOH: Medical Officer of Health; SPSS:

**Table 1. Distribution of the study participants by socio-demographic and subfertility-related characteristics, in the cross-sectional study, performed to assess the Exploratory Factor Analysis of the drafted ‘Healthcare Need Assessment-Subfertility’ tool.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question*</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic information need on subfertility</strong></td>
<td>Q1 To know about how the baby is conceived</td>
<td>.727</td>
<td>.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q2 To know about the ‘fertile period’ of the menstrual cycle.</td>
<td>.774</td>
<td>.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q3 To know about the causes of male subfertility.</td>
<td>.803</td>
<td>.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q4 To know about the causes of female subfertility.</td>
<td>.797</td>
<td>.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information needs on treatment</strong></td>
<td>Q9 To know about methods to avoid unhealthy behaviors causing subfertility</td>
<td>.583</td>
<td>.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q10 To know when it is necessary to meet a doctor for the first time for the management of subfertility.</td>
<td>.551</td>
<td>.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q11 To know about the places, where we should go first, to start treatment</td>
<td>.511</td>
<td>.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q12 To get to know about the details of the treatment process and condition while being treated for subfertility</td>
<td>.660</td>
<td>.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q13 To get to know about the availability of treatment options in the government sector free of charge.</td>
<td>.594</td>
<td>.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q14 To know about the treatment procedures/ facilities for subfertility management in the private sector.</td>
<td>.716</td>
<td>.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q15 To know about the adverse effects arising from the treatments for subfertility.</td>
<td>.687</td>
<td>.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q16 To get to know about what issue would be addressed by the treatment that I and my partner are undergoing</td>
<td>.645</td>
<td>.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q17 To know about the reasons for treatment failures up to now.</td>
<td>.669</td>
<td>.699</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. Competing interests—The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. Ethics approval—Ethics clearance was granted by the Ethics Review Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka (Ref No: P/282/12/2017). The research was carried out following the guidelines of the ethics committee listed in the ethics statement.
Q19 To know about the trustworthy people/institutions treating subfertility .482 .529
Q20 To know about the help, can get for the psychological problems arising due to subfertility .594 .523

**Communication needs**

Q21 To get the opportunity to question the health staff regarding solving the problems that arise due to subfertility. .618 .538
Q22 To feel that my issue is properly understood by the health staff .679 .569
Q23 To explain my condition simply and understandably by the health staff to me and my partner .706 .655
Q24 When breaking ‘bad news’ be more mindful of my feelings and emotions by the health staff. .757 .690
Q25 To minimize the use of English technical words by health staff when explaining the situation and the treatments. .718 .638
Q26 The availability of a sincere person to discuss my problem freely in a difficult situation .642 .666
Q27 To discuss my problems regarding subfertility with the Public Health Midwife in the field .762 .695

**Psycho-social needs**

Q34 To receive emotional support from my partner in stressful situations caused by subfertility. .624 .635
Q35 To receive emotional support from relatives and friends in stressful situations caused by subfertility. .666 .744
Q38 To receive emotional support from relatives/friends during the treatment failure. .634 .781
Q39 To receive emotional support through counseling during treatment failure situations. .705 .704
Q40 To receive attention from the healthcare staff, for psychological depression due to treatment failures. .657 .666
Q41 The willingness of the partner to actively participate in the treatment of subfertility. .534 .619
Q42 To avoid unnecessary interference by others on my subfertility issue. .748 .678
Q43 Availability of counseling services for marital problems caused by subfertility .675 .671
Q45 The availability of a program to focus on positive thinking for subfertile couples .643 .575

**Autonomy and respect needs**

Q47 To select a doctor according to my will. .907 .634
Q48 Maintenance of privacy at the consultation with the doctor. .494 .790
Q49 Maintenance of privacy at the examination, investigations, and treatments. .724 .674
Q50 To consider my decision for the treatment process. .912 .652
Q51 Receiving and treating me warmly and friendly manner by the healthcare staff at the clinics .912 .800

*Question numbers mentioned were original numbers in the questionnaire used for data collection for EFA.

Table 3: Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of each domain of the ‘Healthcare Need Assessment-Subfertility’ tool by gender in the cross-sectional Study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain (factors)</th>
<th>Cronbach’s alpha co-efficient-female</th>
<th>Cronbach’s alpha co-efficient-male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic information on subfertility</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information needs on treatment</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0.904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication needs</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial needs</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomy &amp; respect needs</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: The Kappa Coefficient of each selected 10 items in the developed ‘Healthcare Need Assessment-Subfertility’ Tool.
IV. DISCUSSION

The newly developed ‘Healthcare Need Assessment- Subfertility’ (HNA-Sf) tool is a valid and reliable tool to measure the healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples. It comprised 37 items in five main domains named basic information needs, information needs on treatments, communication needs, psycho-social needs, and autonomy and respect needs. To the best of the author’s knowledge, this is the first validated instrument to assess the healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples.

The tool development process was planned meticulously to minimize potential biases and ensure the quality of the outcomes. First, with a comprehensive literature search and with expert opinion, a construct for healthcare needs was developed that includes all the domains representing healthcare needs. A systematic stepwise procedure was used to identify items. Obtaining expert opinion for the selection of items, forward and backward translation of the draft tool, pretesting of it, and assessing psychometric properties, contributed to the improvement of the quality of the tool (24).

Item generation was completed through different methods that included a thorough literature search, Focus Group Discussions (FGD), and In-depth Interviews (IDI) with relevant stakeholders. A similar approach has been followed by a study done by Gail et al, 2012 in the development of the ‘Supportive Care Need Survey-Short form 34 (SCNS-SF 34) tools to assess the supportive care needs of cancer patients. As the Principal Investigator (PI) conducted all the qualitative interviews, inter-observer bias was eliminated, although intra-observer or researcher bias may have remained. To minimize this bias and to ensure the uniformity of all interviews, an interviewer guide was used by the Principal Investigator (PI) during interviews.

Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) was performed on the newly developed HNA-Sf tool for the male and female partners separately to explore the underline factor structure with a cross-sectional study. It created a similar five-factor (domain) model in Health Need Assessment Subfertility (HNA-Sf) tool for both male and female partners. Therefore, the same tool (i.e., the HNA-Sf tool) was used to assess the healthcare needs of both partners. This is comparable with global literature, where the same tool had been used to assess the different aspects of the needs of both male and female partners. Examples include Cardiff Fertility Knowledge Scale (16) and the Patient-centered Care Questionnaire (8).

In the absence of a gold standard to assess the criterion validity, which is the best form of validation, the HNA-Sf tool was validated through the below-mentioned methods. The expert panel evaluated the relevance of each item to the construct to assess the healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples and the cultural appropriateness of the wording in the developed HNA-Sf tool with a score that confirmed the content validity (24). Exploratory Factor Analysis was carried out for the data collected through a cross-sectional study to explore the underlying factor structure of the newly developed HNA-Sf tool. Exploratory Factor Analysis established the construct validity of the tool, and it was used for the selection of the best items for the tool and to test the psychometric properties of the tool (24, 25).

Several measures were adopted to minimize sampling errors in EFA. Sampling adequacy was checked using the Kaiser Meyer Olkin (KMO) measurement. The KMO for female partners was 0.938 and for male partners, it was 0.949. Those are well above the acceptance level of 0.5 (26). Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity in both male and female participants was significant, which showed that the population correlation matrix is significantly different from the identified matrix (p<0.01.). These confirmed the sampling adequacy and factorability of the data set. The following measures were taken to minimize information bias in validation studies. Only two data collectors were used to collect data to minimize inter-observer variation. Before data collection, two-day training sessions for data collectors were arranged to ensure uniform administering of the tool, and the PI made random checks on the data collection procedure.

The reliability assessment ensures the stability of the instrument. The internal consistency of each domain of the male and female sub-fertile partners was assessed with Cronbach’s alpha and for each domain, it was well above 0.7 indicating good internal consistency.

The good temporal stability of the instrument was assessed with test-retest reliability. Values of Kappa lower than 0.4 are considered poor. A Kappa coefficient between 0.6-0.8 is considered a substantial agreement and above 0.8 are considered an almost perfect agreement (23). The kappa coefficient of items varied between 0.773 to 0.908 indicating a substantial to almost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Kappa Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To know about how the baby is conceived</td>
<td>0.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To know about the places, where we should go first, to start treatment</td>
<td>0.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To get to know about the availability of treatment options in the government sector free of charge.</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To know about the adverse effects arising from the treatments for subfertility.</td>
<td>0.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To get to know about what issue would be addressed by the treatment that I and my partner are undergoing</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To receive emotional support from my partner in stressful situations caused by subfertility</td>
<td>0.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To minimize the use of English technical words by health staff when explaining the situation and the treatments</td>
<td>0.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The willingness of the partner to actively participate in the treatment of subfertility</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To discuss my problems regarding subfertility with the Public Health Midwife in the field Maintenance of my/my partner’s privacy at the examination, investigations, and treatments</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
perfect agreement indicating good temporal stability of the HNA-Sf tool.

V. CONCLUSION

The newly developed HNA-Sf tool for assessing multidimensional aspects of the healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples reported adequate validity and reliability. Therefore, this tool can be used to measure the healthcare needs of sub-fertile couples and improve the provision of facilities for the management of subfertility. The tool would be useful for the process of service evaluation and policymaking.
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