Prevalence of Helicobacter Pylori Among Clients Attending Private Medical Laboratory Diagnostic Center In Karsh, Abuja, Nigeria
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Abstract- Helicobacter pylori infection is the most common chronic bacterial infection around the world. It is a major aetiological factor in chronic gastric carcinoma and gastric mucosal associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma. A study on the prevalence of Helicobacter pylori was carried out among 204 clients comprised 118 males and 86 females aged 11–50 years between September, 2019 to March, 2020. Blood samples were collected from clients and analyzed to determine the presence of Helicobacter pylori using Global Device H.pylori Antibody Rapid test cassette. Out of 204 clients screened 120 (58.8%) were positive for Helicobacter pylori. The result shows that males were more infected than females with 64(31.4%) and 56(27.4%) positive cases respectively. The infection varied according to age group where 31–40 years age had the highest infection rate of 80(27.9%), followed by 21–30 years 57(20.1%), 41–50 years 12(5.9%) and age group 11–20 years had the least prevalence 10(4.9%). Distribution according to marital status shows that single had higher prevalence of 77(37.7%) and married had the least 43(21.1%). The distribution according to sources of drinking water shows that sachet water had the highest prevalent 81(38.2%), followed by bore hole 27(14.7%), well 10(4.9%) and pipe-borne water had the least 2(1.0%) stream/rivers had zero prevalence. There was no statistical significance relationship different between age, sex, marital status and sources of drinking water and prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection among the clients examined. This study recorded a higher prevalence rate of Helicobacter pylori infection among clients studied strongly suggest public health enlightenment campaign on Helicobacter pylori causes and prevention should be promoted and strengthened. Good personal and environmental hygiene, provision of clean portable drinking water will significantly reduce the burden of the infection. Authors recommend the inclusion of private medical laboratory into government health policies on Helicobacter pylori.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Helicobacter pylori (H.pylori) previously named Campylobacter pylori is a spiral, flagellated gram negative, microaerophilic bacterium found in the stomach with a capability for abundant urease production which has been implicated in several upper gastrointestinal diseases that present dyspepsia. It is a major aetiological factor in chronic gastritis, peptic ulcer disease, gastric carcinoma and gastric mucosal associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma ([37] [44]).

Helicobacter pylori infection is the most common chronic bacterial infection around the world [23]. It causes frequently recurring epigastric pain or discomfort which is believed to originate in the gastric duodenal region. This may be associated with other gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms such as nausea, belching, vomiting, post prandial fullness, and early satiety [5]. Burning abdominal pain that extends from the naval to the chest, which ranges from mild to severe. Also, changes in appetite, blood or dark stool, indigestion, weight loss. Chronic dyspeptic symptoms can be continuous, intermittent (episodic) or recurrent ([20] [40]).

It has been shown at 50% of adults in developed countries and 90% of adults in developing countries were positive of serum antibodies against H.pylori [17]. The critical period at which H.pylori is acquired is during childhood, especially in the developing countries and areas of overcrowding and socioeconomic deprivation [30].

Helicobacter pylori is found down the middle number of inhabitants on the planet. Its prevalence indicates enormous topographical varieties. In different creating nations over 80% of the populace in Helicobacter pylori positive even at a youthful age [39]. The prevalence in industrialized nations by and large stages under 40% and is significantly lower in young sters and teenagers than in grown up. The predominance of H.pylori relates with financial status, specifically in connection to living conditions at young age [25].

H.pylori infection is common worldwide with prevalence rates ranging from 30 to 40% in the united states, 80 to 90% in South Africa and 70 to 90% in Africa. It is more common in developing countries and its prevalence increases with age from 20% among teenagers to 50 to 60% of subjects in the 6th and 7th decades of life [3]. In a hyperdemic area like Nigeria, studies by [7] in Kano reported an H.pylori prevalence of 81%, [28] in Jos, found a prevalence of 87% while [2] reported 73% in Southwest. [22] reported 12.7% in Warri. [1] reported 64% in Ibadan. [21]
reported 54% in Nassarawa State, North Central. [38] reported 89.7% in Delta State South South Nigeria.

Studies from many African Countries reported similar prevalence rates of 91.7% in Egypt [14], 97% in Gambia [41], 75.5% in Morocco [10] and 65.7% in Ethiopia [27]. Similarly, in Asia prevalence rates of 92% have been reported in Bangladesh [4] and 62% prevalence was found in Chinese [42].

The prevalence of H.pylori infection varies between and within Countries in relation to age, race, ethnicity and geographical area of the population [31].

Human is the main reservoir of this infection. Infected mother and older siblings are important factors for H.pylori transmission to children ([11] [17] [24]). The identified factors of increased infection risk including source of drinking water, use of pit latrine and wealth index driving transmission. These factors compiled with re-crudeescence or re-infection from multiple sources accounts for the continous high prevalence of H.pylori infection in Africa [6]. Though the route of transmission of this infection is not well established, possible routes of transmission such as person-person, oral-oral and faecal-oral have been suggested.

The ability of the pathogen to survive for some days in water buttressed the fact of possible water transmission ([12] [13]).

Various diagnostic tests for H.pylori have been developed and they can be broadly classified into invasive and non-invasive tests. Invasive tests utilize endoscopic biopsy samples for histology culture, rapid urease test (RUT) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR). All these tests have been found to have sensitivity and specificity that are well above 90%. The non-invasive tests do not require endoscopy. These include urea breath test (UBT), immunoglobulin G and M serology, stool antigen test, saliva antibody test and urinary antibody test. Antibody test using either enzyme linked immune-sorbert Assay (ELISA) technique or immunochromatography test (ICT) technique ([11][26] [29]).

The structured questionnaires were administered to consenting clients to obtained information on the age, gender, sources of drinking water and marital status prior to sample collection.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval informed consent was obtained from all the recruited clients. We obtained permission to carry out the study from the management of the Private Medical Laboratory.

SAMPLE COLLECTION

2 milliliters (2ml) of blood sample was drawn from each client aseptically by venipuncture and dispensed into sterile labeled anticoagulant free containers.

LABORATORY ANALYSIS

The Blood samples were centrifuged at 3000rpm for 5 minutes and the serum was collected for H.pylori test. Serum Anti-Helicobacter pylori Antibody was detected using a one–step H.pylori anti-body Rapid test cassette supplied by Global Device Biotech Co Ltd, China, according to the manufacturer instructions. The cassette were labeled with sample code for easy identification. Pastures pipette was used to drop about 2 drop of serum on the cassette chamber and allowed for about 3-5 minute. The result is interpreted as the presence of two color bands (Test-T band and Control C band) within the result window regardless of which band appeared first indicated a positive result. The presence of only one pink color band within the result window indicated a negative result. The test was invalid if control line fail to appear or no distinct color line visible in both the test and control region.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Chi square test was used to determine the level of significant between age, gender, educational background and marital status. P value <0.05 was considered significant at 95% confidence interval.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

STUDY AREA

The study was carried out at Decency Amana Medical Laboratory Karshi, Abuja, Nigeria. Karshi is a satellite town situated in Abuja Municipal Area Council in Federal Capital Territory Abuja, Nigeria. Karshi geographical coordinates are 8° 49’ 40” North, 7° 33’ 0” East. Karshi is about 38 km to Federal capital city of Abuja and 41 km from Karshi to Apo. Karshi has a population of about 30,000 people. The predominant tribe in Karshi is Gwandaras who constitute about 85% of the total population. Other minority tribes in Karshi are Gade,Gbagyi,Hausa,Fulani,Igbo,Idoma,and Tiv ([32] [48] [49]).

STUDY POPULATION

The study was carried out among 204 clients between the aged 11 and 50 years attending Decency Amana Medical Laboratory Karshi Abuja. The study was carried out between September, 2019 and March, 2020.
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sachet water had the highest prevalence rate 78(38.2%), followed by borehole water 30(14.7%), well water 10(4.9%), pipe borne water had the least prevalence 2(1.0%) and rivers/stream recorded zero prevalence of infection Table.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>No. Examined</th>
<th>No. Positive</th>
<th>Positive (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>54.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value>0.05 was considered as significant. P-value<0.05 was considered as significant. P-value=0.732

Table.2. Distribution of Helicobacter pylori Prevalence based on Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No. Examined</th>
<th>No. Positive</th>
<th>Positive (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value<0.05 was considered as significant. P-value=0.307

Table.3. Distribution of Helicobacter pylori in relation to marital status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>No. Examined</th>
<th>No. Positive</th>
<th>Positive (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value<0.05 was considered as significant. P-value=0.997

Table.4. Distribution of Prevalence according to Source of drinking water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Source of Drinking Water</th>
<th>No. Examined</th>
<th>No. Positive</th>
<th>Positive (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tap(Pipe borne)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Borehole</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sachet water</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rivers/Stream</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value<0.05 was considered as significant. P-value=0.000

IV. DISCUSSION

This findings revealed that out of the 204 clients tested 120(58.8%) were positive for Helicobacter pylori infection. The prevalence of 58.8% in this study was lower than the 73.6% reported by [46] among patient in Zaria Metropolis, 93.3% reported by [47] among peptic ulcerative patients in Kano.80.4% reported by [34] in Kaduna, 93.6% reported by [36] among dyspeptic patients that underwent gastroscopy in Maiduiguri North west, Nigeria.84.2% by [8], 71.7% by [5], Portugal and China. This report is also lower 73.9% in Pakistan [16] and reports of findings from other African Countries which have 74.8% Ghana, Kenya (71%) and Ethiopia 72% ([6] [43] [45]).

This is similar to the 58% obtained by [35] in Orlu, Imo State among duodenal and gastric ulcer patients, 51.4% reported by [11], 51.96% by [18].

This report is higher than 12.7% reported by [22] in Warri, Nigeria, 28.0% reported by [15] among undergraduate in Ilishan-Remo Nigeria. The difference could be explained as a result of difference in environmental factors that can, in turn lead to differences in transmission.

The prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection with respect to gender was found high in male 64(31.4%) than the female 5(27.4%). There was no statistical significant between the sexes. This finding is in consistent with the finding of [18].

This disagreed with [22], and [11]; [15] and [46] who observed that H.pylori infection was higher in the females than males. This shows that sex is not a risk factor.

In relation to age high prevalence of 57(27.9%) was recorded among age group of 31-40years,followed by 21-30years 41(20.1%) and while those between 11-20years had the least prevalence rate of 10(4.9%). These findings disagreed with the report of [15] who reported that between the ages 21-25years had a greater prevalence and [18] who reported that higher among 50-59years. There is no significant statistical different age group and prevalence.

Prevalence according to marital status, there was high prevalence of Helicobacter pylori among single (37.7%) than the married (21.1%). There is no statistically difference between marital status and prevalence. This agreed with [15] who indicates prevalence in the single than the married. This may implies that marital status is not really a risk factor for Helicobacter pylori infection.

The distribution of Helicobacter pylori in relation to sources of drinking water shows that high infection rate recorded on sachet water (38.2%), followed by borehole water (14.7%) and pipe borne water had the least prevalence (1.0%). Stream/Rivers had zero prevalence. There is no statistical significant relationship.

This agreed with the findings of [11] that majority of the participants that are H.pylori positive consume sachet water and borehole water which could be contaminated as a result of improper processing of the sachets water, contamination by water vendors or inadequate drinking of the boreholes also more likelihood of fecal contamination of well, contrary to [46] who reported borehole with the highest prevalence.

Contrary to report of [9] who reported higher prevalence of H.pylori infection among subjects that sourced their drinking water from wells, stream and ponds when compared to those who use pipe-borne water.

Also, a similar study in Nigeria showed that sourcing water from well and borehole confers a higher risk of H.pylori infection the pipe borne water [22]. Another study by [33] from Kazakhstan found high prevalence of H.pylori infection among subjects who use the river and well water for drinking compared to...
to those who use tap water. In another study [19] found anti-H. pylori antibody in surface and shallow ground water samples tested in the USA and concluded that the route of transmission for H. pylori is also waterborne.

V. CONCLUSION

This study recorded a higher prevalence rate of Helicobacter pylori infection among clients attending Private Medical Laboratory in Karshi Abuja, Nigeria. It recommended the reduction in Helicobacter pylori infection could be achieved by public health enlightenment campaign on Helicobacter pylori causes and prevention should be promoted and strengthened. Provision of clean portable drinking water will significantly reduce the burden of the infection. An integrated approach involving all stakeholders on health should be adapted with inclusion of private medical laboratory into government health policies on Helicobacter pylori.
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