A Study on Efficiency of Water Use for Paddy and Banana and the Relationship between the Characteristics of the Respondents

Darling.B.suji^{*} and J.Vasanthakumar^{**}

* Assistant professor, Dept. of. Agrl. Extension, Annamalai University ** Professor and Head, Dept. of. Agrl. Extension, Annamalai University

Abstract- A Study was conducted in Kanayakumari district to find out the efficiency of water use for paddy and banana and the relationship between the characteristics of the respondents and the efficiency of water use. The study reveals that 60.67 per cent of the respondents had low water use efficiency and 25.33 per cent of them had medium water use efficiency in paddy. Only 14.00 per cent of them had high water use efficiency in paddy. This study also shows that 61.33 per cent of them had low water use efficiency (21.33 per cent) in banana. Only 17.34 per cent had high water use efficiency in banana.

I. INTRODUCTION

Water and land are the two important assets of any country and proper utilization of them can bring prosperity to living society. Future gain in irrigation depends on increasing water use efficiency, rather than increasing water supply. This means using more efficient, low-cost and locally-adapted technologies to reduce water loss. Small-scale irrigation can help farmers to increase yields. The present study was undertaken to study the efficiency of water use for paddy and banana and the relationship between the characteristics of the respondents and the efficiency of water use.

II. METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out in Kanyakumari district. There are four taluks in Kanyakumari district viz; Agastheeshwaram, Thovalai, Kalkulam and Villavancode. All the four taluks were identified for collection of data. One block from each taluk was selected randomly. From each block, one village was randomly selected. Thus a total of four villages viz; Theroor, Vellamadam, Arumanai and Karungal were selected for data collection. The lists of farmers in the selected villages were obtained from Village Extension Workers concerned. A sample of 300 respondents was selected from the four villages by identifying equal number of respondents (75) randomly from each of the villages. The data were collected from 300 farmers. A well structured interview schedule was used for the data collection. The data were collected from the selected farmers through personal interview method. To assess the efficiency of water use it is the ratio of yield and amount of water used. It may be expressed as the ratio of dry weight of produce i.e., the yield to

the volume of water used by the crop as evapotranspiration, or the total amount of water used in the field.

III. EFFICIENCY OF WATER USE FOR PADDY

The results on distribution of respondents according to their efficiency of water use for paddy are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of respondents according to their efficiency of water use for paddy

			(n=300
S.No	Category	Number of respondents	Per cent
1	Low	182	60.67
2	Medium	76	25.33
3	High	42	14.00
	Total	300	100.00

It may be observed from the Table 1 that majority of the respondents (60.67 per cent) had low water use efficiency followed by medium water use efficiency (25.33 per cent). Only 14.00 per cent had high water use efficiency.

IV. EFFICIENCY OF WATER USE FOR BANANA

The results on distribution of respondents according to their efficiency of water use for banana are given in Table 2.

 Table 2 Distribution of respondents according to their efficiency of water use for Banana

	· · · ·		(n=300
S.No	Category	Number of respondents	Per cent
1	Low	184	61.33
2	Medium	64	21.33
3	High	52	17.34
	Total	300	100.00

It may be observed from the Table 2 that majority of the respondents (61.33 per cent) had low water use efficiency followed by medium water use efficiency (21.33 per cent). Only 17.34 per cent had high water use efficiency.

(n-300)

V. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PROFILE OF THE RESPONDENTS AND EFFICIENCY OF WATER USE

The zero-order correlation was computed to know the relationship of the socio-economic and psychological characteristics of respondents with their efficiency of water use for paddy. The results are given in Table 3.

Table 3 Zero-order correlation of characteristics of respondents with their efficiency of water use for paddy

Variable NoIndependent variables coefficientCorrelation coefficient X_1 Age 0.106^* X_2 Education 0.231^{**} X_3 Occupation $0.046NS$ X_4 Farm size $-0.008NS$ X_5 Farming experience 0.115^* X_6 Annual income $-0.011NS$ X_7 Cropping intensity $0.037NS$ X_8 Irrigation intensity 0.137^* X_9 Productivity $0.042NS$ X_{10} Source of irrigation $-0.004NS$ X_{11} Method of irrigation $0.056NS$ X_{12} Social participation $-0.062NS$ X_{13} Extensionagency
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} X_1 & Age & 0.106^* \\ X_2 & Education & 0.231^{**} \\ X_3 & Occupation & 0.046NS \\ X_4 & Farm size & -0.008NS \\ X_5 & Farming experience & 0.115^* \\ X_6 & Annual income & -0.011NS \\ X_7 & Cropping intensity & 0.037NS \\ X_8 & Irrigation intensity & 0.137^* \\ X_9 & Productivity & 0.042NS \\ X_{10} & Source of irrigation & -0.004NS \\ X_{11} & Method of irrigation & 0.056NS \\ X_{12} & Social participation & -0.062NS \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} X_2 & Education & 0.231^{**} \\ X_3 & Occupation & 0.046NS \\ X_4 & Farm size & -0.008NS \\ X_5 & Farming experience & 0.115^* \\ X_6 & Annual income & -0.011NS \\ X_7 & Cropping intensity & 0.037NS \\ X_8 & Irrigation intensity & 0.137^* \\ X_9 & Productivity & 0.042NS \\ X_{10} & Source of irrigation & -0.004NS \\ X_{11} & Method of irrigation & 0.056NS \\ X_{12} & Social participation & -0.062NS \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c cccc} X_3 & Occupation & 0.046NS \\ X_4 & Farm size & -0.008NS \\ X_5 & Farming experience & 0.115* \\ X_6 & Annual income & -0.011NS \\ X_7 & Cropping intensity & 0.037NS \\ X_8 & Irrigation intensity & 0.137* \\ X_9 & Productivity & 0.042NS \\ X_{10} & Source of irrigation & -0.004NS \\ X_{11} & Method of irrigation & 0.056NS \\ X_{12} & Social participation & -0.062NS \\ \end{array}$
X_4 Farm size-0.008NS X_5 Farming experience 0.115^* X_6 Annual income-0.011NS X_7 Cropping intensity $0.037NS$ X_8 Irrigation intensity 0.137^* X_9 Productivity $0.042NS$ X_{10} Source of irrigation-0.004NS X_{11} Method of irrigation $0.056NS$ X_{12} Social participation-0.062NS
$\begin{array}{c cccc} X_5 & Farming experience & 0.115^* \\ \hline X_6 & Annual income & -0.011NS \\ \hline X_7 & Cropping intensity & 0.037NS \\ \hline X_8 & Irrigation intensity & 0.137^* \\ \hline X_9 & Productivity & 0.042NS \\ \hline X_{10} & Source of irrigation & -0.004NS \\ \hline X_{11} & Method of irrigation & 0.056NS \\ \hline X_{12} & Social participation & -0.062NS \\ \end{array}$
X_6 Annual income-0.011NS X_7 Cropping intensity $0.037NS$ X_8 Irrigation intensity 0.137^* X_9 Productivity $0.042NS$ X_{10} Source of irrigation-0.004NS X_{11} Method of irrigation $0.056NS$ X_{12} Social participation-0.062NS
$\begin{array}{c ccc} X_7 & Cropping intensity & 0.037NS \\ X_8 & Irrigation intensity & 0.137* \\ X_9 & Productivity & 0.042NS \\ X_{10} & Source of irrigation & -0.004NS \\ X_{11} & Method of irrigation & 0.056NS \\ X_{12} & Social participation & -0.062NS \\ \end{array}$
X_8 Irrigation intensity 0.137^* X_9 Productivity $0.042NS$ X_{10} Source of irrigation $-0.004NS$ X_{11} Method of irrigation $0.056NS$ X_{12} Social participation $-0.062NS$
X_9 Productivity0.042NS X_{10} Source of irrigation-0.004NS X_{11} Method of irrigation0.056NS X_{12} Social participation-0.062NS
$\begin{array}{c c} X_{10} & \text{Source of irrigation} & -0.004\text{NS} \\ \hline X_{11} & \text{Method of irrigation} & 0.056\text{NS} \\ \hline X_{12} & \text{Social participation} & -0.062\text{NS} \\ \end{array}$
X11Method of irrigation0.056NSX12Social participation-0.062NS
X11Method of irrigation0.056NSX12Social participation-0.062NS
X ₁₂ Social participation -0.062NS
contact
X ₁₄ Innovativeness 0.131*
X ₁₅ Risk orientation 0.047*
X ₁₆ Scientific orientation 0.045*
X ₁₇ Economic motivation 0.047NS
X ₁₈ Mass media exposure -0.009NS

** Significant at 1 per cent

* Significant at 5 per cent

NS Non-significant

Out of the eighteen independent variables taken for analysis, age, education, farming experience, irrigation intensity, innovativeness, risk orientation, and scientific orientation were found to have positive significant relationship with the efficiency of water use.

Age showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy crop. As the age increases their experience made them efficient to use the available water. This is in line with the findings of Flora (2007). Educational status was found to have positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy crop. Obviously, educated respondents develop a positive attitude towards efficiency of water use.

Farming experience showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy crop. Majority of the respondents were having high to medium level of experience. This might have influenced them to greater water use efficiency in paddy farming. As the farming experience increases their experience made them efficient to use the available water. This is in line with the findings of Vignesh (2006).

Irrigation intensity showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy crop. As the irrigation intensity increases the efficiency of water use also increases because they get water from different sources.

Innovativeness showed a positive and significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy crop. This shows more the innovativeness more will be the efficiency of water use. It is obvious that innovativeness could contribute to the efficiency of water use, for more the innovativeness more the farmers would try to acquire information about the new technologies to increase the efficiency of water use.

Risk orientation showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy crop. Risk orientation develops the respondent's ability to face the odds in farming. Thus, increase in risk orientation increases the efficiency of water use of respondents. This finding is in line with the findings of Saravanan (2005).

Scientific orientation was found to be significantly correlated with the efficiency of water use of the respondents. Respondents with more scientific orientation will definitely gather information on new technologies and they use the water efficiently.

The zero-order correlation was computed to know the relationship of the socio-economic and psychological characteristics of respondents with their efficiency of water use for paddy. The results are given in Table 4.

Table 4 Zero-order correlation of characteristics of respondents with their efficiency of water use for Banana.

		(n=300)
Variable	Independent variables	Correlation
No		coefficient
X_1	Age	-0.012
X_2	Education	0.211**
X_3	Occupation	-0.022NS
X_4	Farm size	-0.018NS
X_5	Farming experience	-0.228*
X_6	Annual income	-0.012NS
X_7	Cropping intensity	0.153**
X_8	Irrigation intensity	0.227*
X9	Productivity	0.001NS
X ₁₀	Source of irrigation	0.019NS
X ₁₁	Method of irrigation	0.035NS
X ₁₂	Social participation	-0.073NS
X ₁₃	Extension agency	0.233*
	contact	
X_{14}	Innovativeness	0.233*
X ₁₅	Risk orientation	0.007NS
X ₁₆	Scientific orientation	0.116**
X ₁₇	Economic motivation	-0.013NS
X ₁₈	Mass media exposure	-0.033NS

** Significant at 1 per cent

* Significant at 5 per cent

NS Non-significant

Out of the eighteen independent variables taken for analysis, education, farming experience, cropping intensity, irrigation

intensity, extension agency contact, innovativeness, and scientific orientation were found to have positive significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana.

Educational status was found to have positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana. Obviously, educated respondents develop a positive attitude towards efficiency of water use.

Farming experience showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana. As the farming experience increases their experience made them efficient to use the available water.

Cropping intensity showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana. As the cropping intensity increases the efficiency of water use also increases because more crops use the water efficiently.

Irrigation intensity showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana. As the irrigation intensity increases the efficiency of water use also increases because they get water from different sources.

Extension agency contact was found to have positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana. More contact with extension agencies leads to increase in the efficiency of water use.

Innovativeness showed a positive and significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana. This shows more the innovativeness more will be the efficiency of water use. It is obvious that innovativeness could contribute to the efficiency of water use, for more the innovativeness more the farmers would try to acquire information about the new technologies to increase the efficiency of water use. This finding is in line with the findings of Vengatachalam (2005).

Scientific orientation was found to be significantly correlated with the efficiency of water use of the respondents. Respondents with more scientific orientation will definitely gather information on new technologies and they use the water efficiently. This finding is in line with the findings of Flora (2007).

VI. REGRESSION OF CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS WITH THEIR EFFICIENCY OF WATER USE

Regression was computed to know the relationship of characteristics of the respondents with their efficiency of water use. The results are given in Table 5 and 6.

Table 5 Regression of characteristics of respondents with their efficiency of water use for paddy

				(n=300)
Variable	Variables	Regression	Standard	't'
No		coefficient	Error	Value
X ₁	Age	0.279	0.124	2.254*
X ₂	Education	0.020	0.289	2.690**
X ₃	Occupation	0.062	0.067	0.923NS
X_4	Farm size	-0.026	0.137	-
				0.191NS
X ₅	Farming	0.023	0.014	1.615NS

	experience			
X ₆	Annual income	0.009	0.001	0.196NS
X ₇	Cropping intensity	0.039	0.086	1.980*
X ₈	Irrigation intensity	-0.105	0.035	- 0.994NS
X9	Productivity	0.057	0.138	2.118*
X ₁₀	Source of irrigation	0.008	0.040	0.202NS
X ₁₁	Method of irrigation	0.072	0.040	1.825*
X ₁₂	Social participation	-0.065	0.052	-1.241
X ₁₃	Extension agency contact	0.028	0.015	1.828*
X ₁₄	Innovativeness	0.114	0.049	2.353**
X ₁₅	Risk orientation	0.032	0.145	2.489**
X ₁₆	Scientific orientation	0.054	0.378	1.693*
X ₁₇	Economic motivation	0.024	0.044	0.538NS
X ₁₈	Mass media exposure	-0.001	0.015	- 0.058NS

 $R^{2=}0.614$

** Significant at 1 per cent

* Significant at 5 per cent

NS Non-significant

It could be observed from the Table 5 that all the eighteen variables together explained 0.614 per cent of variation in efficiency of water use. The 'F' value 4.894 was found to be significant. It could be concluded that a linear functional relationship between independent variables and dependent variables could be established.

Of the eighteen variables taken for analysis, education, innovativeness, and risk orientation were positively significant at 0.01 per cent level of probability. Age, cropping intensity, productivity and method of irrigation, extension agency contact and scientific orientation were positively significant at 0.05 per cent level of probability towards efficiency of water use for paddy.

The analysis revealed that a unit increase in age, education, cropping intensity, productivity, method of irrigation, extension agency contact, innovativeness, risk orientation and scientific orientation would increase efficiency of water use for paddy by 0.279, 0.020, 0.039,0.057, 0.072, 0.028,0.114,0.032,and 0.054 units respectively.

Age showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy crop. As the age increases their experience made them efficient to use the available water. This is in line with the findings of Flora (2007). Educational status was found to have positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy. Obviously, educated respondents develop knowledge towards efficiency of water use.

F=4.894

Cropping intensity showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy. As the cropping intensity increases the efficiency of water use also increases because more crops use the water efficiently.

Productivity showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy. As the productivity increases the efficiency of water use also increases because the water use efficiency is expressed by the ratio of yield and water used. This finding is in line with the findings of Flora (2007).

Method of irrigation showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy. Controlled irrigation increases the efficiency of water use, because the wastage of water is very less. This finding is in line with the findings of Vignesh (2006).

Extension agency contact was found to have positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy. More contact with extension agencies leads to increase in the efficiency of water use. Innovativeness showed a positive and significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy. This shows more the innovativeness more will be the efficiency of water use. It is obvious that innovativeness could contribute to the efficiency of water use, for more the innovativeness more the farmers would try to acquire information about the new technologies to increase the efficiency of water use.

Risk orientation showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for paddy. Risk orientation develops the respondent's ability to face the odds in farming. Thus, increase in risk orientation increases the efficiency of water use of respondents. This finding is in line with the findings of Vengatachalam (2005).

Scientific orientation was found to be significantly correlated with the efficiency of water use of the respondents. Respondents with more scientific orientation will definitely gather information on new technologies and they use the water efficiently. This finding is in line with the findings of Flora (2007).

			(n=300)
Variables	Regressioncoeffic	Standard Error	't' Value
	ient		
Age	0.164	0.267	2.148*
Education	0.049	0.062	2.616**
Occupation	-0.122	0.144	-0.810NS
Farm size	0.193	0.295	0.851NS
Farming experience	-0.023	0.031	-0.657NS
Annual income	0.001	0.002	0.601NS
Cropping intensity	0.109	0.087	2.262*
Irrigation intensity	0.037	0.076	0.591NS
Productivity	0.049	0.110	0.494NS
Source of irrigation	0.006	0.086	0.524NS
Method of irrigation	0.077	0.089	0.073NS
	AgeEducationOccupationFarm sizeFarming experienceAnnual incomeCropping intensityIrrigation intensityProductivitySource of irrigation	ientAge0.164Education0.049Occupation-0.122Farm size0.193Farming experience-0.023Annual income0.001Cropping intensity0.109Irrigation intensity0.037Productivity0.049Source of irrigation0.006	ient Age 0.164 0.267 Education 0.049 0.062 Occupation -0.122 0.144 Farm size 0.193 0.295 Farming experience -0.023 0.031 Annual income 0.001 0.002 Cropping intensity 0.109 0.087 Irrigation intensity 0.037 0.076 Productivity 0.049 0.110 Source of irrigation 0.006 0.086

0.001

0.031

0.183

0.212

0.337

0.131

0.025

F=5.162

Table 6 Regression of characteristics of respondents with their efficiency of water use for Banana

 $R^{2=}0.512$

 X_{12}

X₁₃

 X_{14}

X15

X16

X17

 X_{18}

**Significant at 1 per cent

* Significant at 5 per cent

NS Non-significant

It could be observed from the Table 6 that all the eighteen variables together explained 0.512 per cent of variation in efficiency of water use. The 'F' value 5.162 was found to be significant. It could be concluded that a linear functional relationship between independent variables and dependent variables could be established.

Social participation

Scientific orientation

Economic motivation

Mass media exposure

Innovativeness

Risk orientation

Extension agency contact

Of the eighteen variables taken for analysis, education was positively significant at 0.01 per cent level of probability. Age,

cropping intensity, innovativeness and risk orientation were positively significant at 0.05 per cent level of probability.

0.899NS

0.001NS

1.961*

1.752*

1.490NS

2.015NS

1.377NS

0.113

0.033

0.105

0.142

0.167

0.095

0.033

Of the eighteen variables taken for analysis, age, education, cropping intensity, innovativeness and risk orientation would increase efficiency of water use for banana by 0.164, 0.049, 0.109, 0.183 and 0.212 units respectively.

Age showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana. As the age increases their experience made them efficient to use the available water. Educational status was found to have positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana. Obviously, educated respondents develop a positive attitude towards efficiency of water use.

Cropping intensity showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana. As the cropping intensity increases the efficiency of water use also increases because more crops use the water efficiently.

Innovativeness showed a positive and significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana. This shows more the innovativeness more will be the efficiency of water use. It is obvious that innovativeness could contribute to the efficiency of water use, for more the innovativeness more the farmers would try to acquire information about the new technologies to increase the efficiency of water use.

Risk orientation showed a positive and highly significant relationship with the efficiency of water use for banana. Risk orientation develops the respondent's ability to face the odds in farming. Thus, increase in risk orientation increases the efficiency of water use of respondents. This finding is in line with the findings of Vengatachalam (2005).

VII. CONCLUSION

This study clearly shows that majority of the farmers possess low water use efficiency. Hence, it is suggested to

conduct farmers field school to create awareness and motivate the farmers to adopt the irrigation management technologies to increase the efficiency of water use.

REFERENCES

- Flora, L.V. 2007. Sustainable water management through drip irrigation in Madurai District-an explorative study, Unpublished M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, TNAU, Madurai.
- [2] Saravanan, R. 2005. Role of farm women in water management technologies, Unpublished M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore.
- [3] Vengatachalam, G. 2005. Extension strategies to popularize water management practices in delta areas. Unpublished M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore.
- [4] Vignesh, N. 2006. A comparative study on participation of people in watershed development programmes, Unpublished M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore.

AUTHORS

First Author – Darling.B.suji, Assistant professor, Dept. of. Agrl. Extension, Annamalai University **Second Author** – J.Vasanthakumar, Professor and Head, Dept.of. Agrl. Extension, Annamalai University