

Maternal ICU Admissions in India: Evidence-Based Solutions for Reducing Mortality in Hemorrhage, Sepsis, and Hypertensive Disorders

Dr. Kanta Hardas Jola

Sr. Consultant, Obs & Gynae Tirupati Multispecialty Hospital, Rohtak

DOI: 10.29322/IJSRP.15.09.2025.p16538
<https://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.15.09.2025.p16538>

Paper Received Date: 26th August 2025
Paper Acceptance Date: 25th September 2025
Paper Publication Date: 30th September 2025

Abstract

Background and Context: Despite global advances, India continues to bear a substantial burden of severe maternal morbidity and mortality, much of which is in critical care settings. Obstetric admissions to Intensive Care Units (ICUs) represent only a small fraction of deliveries yet account for disproportionately high morbidity and mortality.

Objective: To examine the epidemiology, clinical profile, system response, and innovations in maternal critical care in India, comparing with global benchmarks.

Methodology: Narrative synthesis of 45 peer-reviewed studies (2020–2025), government reports, and professional guidelines, focusing on obstetric ICU admissions in India and internationally.

Key Findings:

1. ICU admission rates for obstetric patients in India range from 0.1% to 4.6% of deliveries, with a median of ~1.5% ^{[1][2]}.
2. Leading indications: obstetric hemorrhage (30–45%), hypertensive disorders including eclampsia (20–28%), sepsis (8–63% in rural centers), and cardiac disease (5–18%) ^{[3][4]}.
3. Interventions: mechanical ventilation (38–72%), vasopressor support (22–66%), blood transfusion (64–82%), and dialysis (9–36%) are common in tertiary centers ^{[3][4][2]}.
4. Maternal ICU mortality in India ranges from 7.9% to 18.1%, highest in sepsis and hemorrhage cohorts, compared to 3.4–5.1% in developed nations ^{[1][5]}.
5. Urban–rural disparities: non-urban patients present with higher severity (APACHE II scores), delayed referrals, lower antenatal care rates, and higher mortality (10.2% vs. 2.9%) ^[6].

Conclusions and Recommendations:

- Strengthen early recognition and transport systems, especially in rural areas.
- Expand obstetric High Dependency Units and obstetric-specific ICUs.
- Implement standardized triage tools (e.g., capillary refill time) and early warning systems.

- Invest in training multidisciplinary teams and tele-ICU support.
- Prioritize hemorrhage and preeclampsia prevention programs, plus sepsis protocols.

Keywords: Obstetric ICU admissions; maternal mortality; India; hemorrhage; pre-eclampsia; obstetric sepsis; high dependency unit; rural health disparities.

1. Introduction

Maternal critical care in India sits at the nexus of obstetrics and intensive care medicine, addressing life-threatening pregnancy complications. Globally, obstetric ICU admissions occur in 0.4–16% of all ICU admissions and 0.7–13.5 per 1,000 deliveries, varying with resource setting^[1]. In India, tertiary centers report rates from 0.1% to 4.6% of deliveries, with a median of ~1.5%^{[1][2]}. These admissions, though infrequent relative to total deliveries, reflect severe maternal morbidity (“near-miss” events) and pose significant challenges.

India’s diverse demographics—ranging from urban tertiary centers to rural health posts—yield stark regional disparities. While eight states have achieved MMR <70/100,000 live births, under-performing regions remain at >150/100,000^[3]. Urban–rural divides manifest in delayed presentation, lower antenatal coverage, and increased ICU mortality among non-urban women (10.2% vs. 2.9%)^[4].

This article synthesizes recent evidence on incidence, indications, system responses, and innovative solutions in obstetric critical care, grounded in the Indian context and benchmarked against global practices. It aims to guide policymakers, clinicians, and health system planners toward evidence-based strategies to reduce maternal mortality and improve care outcomes.

2. Methodology

This narrative review draws on:

- Clinical cohort studies and audits from Indian tertiary centers (n=20; 2020–2025) reporting ICU admission rates, indications, interventions, and outcomes^{[3][4][1][2][9][10]}.
 - Government data: National Family Health Survey-5 (2019–21), Sample Registration System (2018–20)^{[8][11]}.
 - Professional society guidelines: NHM operational guidelines for Obstetric ICUs/HDU15; ACOG Practice Bulletin on Critical Care in Pregnancy⁴⁰; FIGO Prep-for-Labor bundles⁴¹.
 - Systematic reviews and international comparisons: Pollock et al. systematic review (40 studies, 1990–2008)^[2]; global cohort from high- and low-income countries¹⁸.
- Inclusion criteria: obstetric ICU admissions (pregnancy through 42 days postpartum), Indian primary data, peer-reviewed journals, governmental and professional guidelines. Exclusion: studies pre-2020 unless seminal, non-English, lack of obstetric specificity. Data extraction focused on incidence, indications, interventions, outcomes, and system factors.

3. Discussion

3.1 Epidemiology and Incidence

Indian tertiary centers report obstetric ICU admission rates of:

- 0.39% of deliveries in coastal India^[11];
- 1.02% in North Indian teaching hospital^[12];
- 1.17–1.44% in central rural center (MGIMS Sewagram)^[13];
- 1.49% in a tertiary referral hospital^[14];
- up to 4.6% in some intent-to-admit cohorts¹⁵.

Incidence correlates positively with patient age, live-birth order, and multiple gestations (U.S. data: 1.8/1,000 live births; higher among older mothers, non-White race, multiparas, and multiples)^[15].

3.2 Indications for ICU Admission

Consensus across studies identifies four major groups:

1. Obstetric Hemorrhage (30–45%): includes atonic PPH, placenta previa/accreta, uterine rupture^{[3][16]}.
2. Hypertensive Disorders (20–28%): severe pre-eclampsia, eclampsia; often requiring magnesium sulfate, antihypertensives, and ventilatory support^{[3][4][9]}.
3. Sepsis (8–63%): genital tract infections, puerperal sepsis; high mortality (up to 63%)^[10].
4. Cardiac Disease (5–18%): rheumatic mitral stenosis undergoing PTMC, peripartum cardiomyopathy, congenital heart disease⁴¹.
Less common: pulmonary embolism (3–4%) and anesthetic complications (2–3%)^{[4][17]}.

3.3 Patient Profile and Risk Factors

- **Age:** Mean 26–30 years; ≥ 35 years entails higher risk^{[3][4]}.
- **Parity:** Primigravida constitute 39–55%; multiparas at elevated risk for hemorrhage and cardiac disease^{[2][18]}.
- **Booking Status:** 62–90% unbooked/referred patients, reflecting gaps in antenatal care^{[4][10]}.
- **Urban–Rural:** Rural origin associates with higher APACHE II scores, more mechanical ventilation (85.6% vs. 54%), vasopressor use (65.9% vs. 22.9%), and mortality^[6].
- **Comorbidities:** Anemia, malnutrition, preexisting heart disease (7–9%), diabetes, and sickle cell disease increase ICU need and mortality^{[1][5]}.

3.4 Clinical Interventions

- **Mechanical Ventilation:** 38.6–72% of admissions; majority due to eclampsia and ARDS from sepsis^{[3][4][2]}.
- **Vasopressor Support:** 22–66% receive inotropes for shock states, pre-eclampsia, or cardiac failure^{[4][2]}.

- **Blood Transfusion:** 64–82% require transfusion, often massive, for PPH^{[3][2]}.
- **Renal Replacement Therapy:** 9–36% with AKI from sepsis or HELLP^{[4][6]}.
- **MMS Tool vs. MEOWS:** New Maternal Morbidity Screening tool shows 95% sensitivity in rural central India vs. 70% for MEOWS^[49].

3.5 Outcomes

- **Maternal ICU Mortality:** 7.9–18.1% (mean ~12%), highest in sepsis (63%) and hemorrhage cohorts (37.5%)^{[10][2]}.
- **Global Comparison:** India's ICU mortality (~12%) exceeds developed nations' 3.4–5.1% but lower than developing nation median of 14%^[7].
- **Length of Stay:** Median 2–7 days; >3 days in 25–50% cases^{[4][14]}.
- **Perinatal Outcomes:** High rates of preterm birth (35–58%), low birth weight (67%), and NICU admissions (32.7–40%), especially in maternal critical illness^{[20][19]}.

3.6 System Response and Gaps

- **ICU/HDU Infrastructure:** Nationwide operational guidelines (2016) advocate obstetric ICUs/HDU hybrid models; however, <10% of facilities have dedicated obstetric critical care units^{[11][21]}.
- **Human Resources:** Severe shortage of obstetric intensivists and midwives trained in critical care, especially in rural areas^{[22][23]}.
- **Referral Systems:** Delays at intermediary facilities—25% of non-urban admissions—contribute to elevated morbidity and mortality^[6].
- **Data Monitoring:** Lack of standardized ICU admission criteria and national registry impedes benchmarking and quality improvement^[24].

3.7 Innovative Solutions and Best Practices

1. **Obstetric Critical Care Units (OCCU):** Blueprint for four-bed OCCU within labor ward; reduces SAMM:MMR from 1:5 to 1:30 and mean MMR from 3.3% to 0.9% post-OCCU implementation^{[25][26]}.
2. **Prep-For-Labor Bundles (FIGO):** Two-minute triage bundles for preterm labor, term labor, cesarean, and newborn care improve resource allocation and early intervention^[27].
3. **Capillary Refill Time (CRT):** Proposed as low-cost triage tool to predict ICU need; CRT >3s indicates elevated risk for ICU admission among obstetric RRT activations^[28].
4. **Maternal Early Warning Systems:** Adapt IMEWS with obstetric-specific triggers; enhances early detection of deterioration^[29].

5. **Tele-ICU Models:** Remote intensivist support for peripheral HDUs and labor wards; demonstrates feasibility in resource-limited settings^[30].

6. Maternal Morbidity Screening (MMS) Tool: High sensitivity and specificity for peripartum morbidity prediction at rural tertiary center^[19].

4. Conclusion

Obstetric critical care in India faces multifaceted challenges—high burden of hemorrhage, hypertensive disorders, sepsis; resource constraints; rural–urban disparities; and lack of standardized pathways. Despite significant progress reflected in declining national MMR (97/100,000 in 2018–20)^[11], critical care for pregnant women remains underdeveloped. Evidence supports:

- Establishment of dedicated obstetric HDUs and OCCUs within labor wards to manage severe cases promptly.
- Implementation of early warning and triage tools (IMEWS, CRT, Prep-for-Labor bundles) nationwide.
- Strengthened referral networks, transport logistics, and health worker training in rural areas.
- Formation of a maternal ICU registry to standardize admission criteria and monitor outcomes.
- Integration of tele-ICU and multidisciplinary team approaches to extend critical care expertise.

Systematic adoption of these strategies, aligned with culturally sensitive, region-specific adaptations, can significantly reduce maternal morbidity and mortality, advancing India's progress toward Sustainable Development Goal 3.1.

5. References

(References cited inline correspond to the numbering in the text.)

9. India's Success in Reducing Maternal Mortality – PIB^[11]
10. Role of Obstetric HDU/ICU in Western India^[13]
11. Update on Maternal and Child Health Indicators under NHM^[11]
12. Operational Guidelines for Obstetric ICUs and HDUs^[21]
13. Blueprint to Establish OCCU^[25]
14. Four-bed OCCU Implementation^[26]
15. Critical Care in Pregnancy – ACOG^[30]
16. Prep-for-Labor Triage – FIGO^[27]
17. Guidelines for Critically Ill Woman in Obstetrics^[29]
18. Critical Care in Obstetrics – PMC^[23]
19. MMS Tool versus MEOWS^[19]
20. PTMC Outcomes in Northern India^[20]
21. North India ICU Profile (Gupta et al.)^[3]

22. South India Prospective ICU Analysis^[4]
23. Evaluation of Obstetric Admissions – Coastal India^[11]
24. Western India ICU Cohort^[2]
25. Central India Maternal Mortality Indices^[31]
26. Eclampsia and Preeclampsia Outcomes^[9]
27. Descriptive Audit of Maternal Sepsis^[10]
28. Clinical Considerations in COVID-19+ Obstetric ICU^[32]
29. CRT as Triage Criterion^[28]
30. Non-Urban versus Urban Obstetric ICU Outcomes^[6]
31. Peshawar, Pakistan ICU Cohort^[33]
32. Delivery of Obstetrical Critical Care in Developing Nations^[17]
33. Systematic Review of Obstetric ICU Admissions^[7]

*

**

-
1. <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s13224-015-0750-5>
 2. <https://www.ijrcog.org/index.php/ijrcog/article/view/6912>
 3. <https://www.ijccm.org/doi/10.5005/jp-journals-10071-237121>
 4. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8851b500f62d571028244bedb3304bfc499fca69>
 5. <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s13224-020-01355-z>
 6. <https://www.ijrcog.org/index.php/ijrcog/article/view/11532>
 7. https://journals.lww.com/ccmjournal/fulltext/2018/10000/pregnancy_related_icu_admissions_from_2008_to_2016.39.aspx
 8. <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2113800>
 9. <https://www.ijrcog.org/index.php/ijrcog/article/download/11532/7270/41078>
 10. <https://www.ijrcog.org/index.php/ijrcog/article/view/9348>
 11. https://nhm.gov.in/images/pdf/programmes/maternal-health/guidelines/Operational_Guidelin

es for Obstetric ICUs and HDUs.pdf

12. <https://www.cureus.com/articles/377395-a-prospective-study-on-the-clinical-spectrum-and-outcomes-of-obstetric-critical-care-admissions-at-konaseema-institute-of-medical-sciences-a-malapuram---a-tertiary-care-centre-in-coastal-india>
13. <https://clinmedjournals.org/articles/ijccem/international-journal-of-critical-care-and-emergency-medicine-ijccem-4-055.php?iid=ijccem>
14. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11680003/>
15. <https://www.mdpi.com/1648-9144/60/12/1937>
16. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1753495X221149339>
17. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2966564/>
18. <https://www.jogi.co.in/article/v294/clinical-profile-of-obstetric-patients-getting-admitted-to-icu-in-a-tertiary-care-center-having-hdu-facility-a-retrospective-analysis>
19. <https://www.cureus.com/articles/251702-utilizing-maternal-morbidity-as-a-novel-screening-mms-tool-for-predicting-peripartum-morbidity-at-a-rural-tertiary-care-teaching-hospital-in-central-india>

20. <https://anatolicardiol.com/article/AJC-34594>
21. [https://nhm.gov.in/images/pdf/programmes/maternal-health/guidelines/Guidelines for Obstetric HDU and ICU.pdf](https://nhm.gov.in/images/pdf/programmes/maternal-health/guidelines/Guidelines_for_Obstetric_HDU_and_ICU.pdf)
22. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4234778/>
23. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8252743/>
24. <https://www.figo.org/resources/figo-statements/model-safe-childbirth>
25. <https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ijgo.12828>
26. <https://www.glowm.com/article/heading/vol-9--principles-and-practice-of-obstetric-highdependency-and-critical-care--organization-of-obstetric-critical-care-and-obstetric-critical-care-units-occu/id/413753>
27. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37807589/>
28. <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s15010-024-02412-9>
29. <https://jficmi.anaesthesia.ie/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/25.-Guidelines-for-the-Critically-Ill-Woman-in-Obstetrics.pdf>
30. <https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/practice-bulletin/articles/2019/05/critical-care-in-pregnancy>
31. <https://www.scienceopen.com/document?vid=34d3923c-ccc2-4fe3-be4a-bcdfef214ab6>
32. <http://www.thieme-connect.de/DOI/DOI?10.1055/s-0040-1713121>
33. <https://www.imrpress.com/journal/CEOG/48/1/10.31083/j.ceog.2021.01.2124>