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Abstract- This research aims to: (1) analyze validity instruments consist of Syllabus, Learning Implementation Plan (RPP), Student Worksheet (LKS), handout, and critical thinking skills instrument. (2) Practicality analysis consist of implementation of Learning Implementation Plan (RPP), student’s responses, and obstacles were encountered during teaching and learning process carried out with this learning instruments. (3) Effectiveness analysis consist of critical thinking skills analysis and the item of the geometrical optics test sensitivities. The material used in this study is geometrical optics. The type of this research using 4D design (design, define, develop, and disseminate). Therefore, the research samples are the students of Class VIII students at Alam Insan Mulia Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia, academic year 2018/2019. The validity of the Contextual Teaching And Learning (CTL) learning instruments with Predict, Observe, Explain (POE) strategy developed is valid. The practicality of learning devices as follows: (1) Implementation of the Implementation of Learning Implementation Plan (RPP) developed by 3.9 and the percentage of reliability amounted to 98.23%. The results of observations of the implementation of this RPP indicate that learning that has been implemented can be stated very well and practical. (2) Obstacles found in implementing learning instruments developed as follows: (a) The atmosphere of KBM is quite conducive, but there are still some students do other activities outside the context of learning. (b) Some student’s answers are not by the results of observations and experiment. (c) The unequal ability of students to think critically. (d) The predictions produced by students are not by that the researcher wants. (e) The results of student’s responses with Contextual Teaching And Learning (CTL) learning instruments with the Predict, Observe, Explain (POE) strategy developed for practice student’s critical thinking skills: (a) Critical thinking skills analysis indicators used: Meeting I (coins in a glass): hypothesis of the shape of an object’s shadow if it is associated with several liquid to observe the effect of light refraction, analyze the effect of light refraction on the coin, explain the effect of the refraction of light on coins, and make inferences or conclusions based on observations the right concept. and provide other alternatives. Meeting II (concave-convex mirror on the spoon): the shadow shape hypothesis if the concave mirror distance is changed, analyze observations of the shape of the shadow on the spoon, explain the experimental shape of the shadow on the spoon, make inferences or inferences from shadow forms. There is an increase in TKBK results with an average gain-score the high category that is equal to 0.74. (b) The item of the geometrical optics test sensitivities: The results of the calculation of the sensitivity of items from 4 items in a row are 0.54, 0.70; 0.48; and 0.75 with an average sensitivity value of the questions 0.62. The sensitivity value indicates that the item is sensitive used to measure student’s critical thinking skills with grades the sensitivity index ranges from 0.00 to 1.00 and the items are declared sensitive to the effects of learning if it has a sensitivity ≥ 0.30.

Index Terms- Development of Learning Instruments, Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL), POE (Predict, Observe, Explain), Critical Thinking Skills

I. INTRODUCTION

Three things need to be highlighted in the context of education quality improvement namely the problem of curriculum, improvement of the quality of learning, and improving learning methods [1]. The curriculum must be comprehensive and responsive to social dynamics, relevant, not excessive, and capable accommodate the diversity of needs and technological advancements. The quality of education must be improved to improve the quality of educational achievement. In micro, the method must be discovered and developed or found effectiveness learning in the classroom, which further empowers student’s potential and make them more excited and active in the classroom.

The XXI century demanded that every human being have the competitive skills so that they can compete as capital in achieving achievement. To create students by the demands of the XXI century, the government is making a lot of effort, one of which is by sparking the curriculum of 2013. The current curriculum focuses on the process reflected in the scientific approach. A scientific approach is a basic
concept that embodies, inspires, strengthen, and underlying thinking about how the learning method applied based on certain theories. The expected achievement of implementation this curriculum is students who have the creativity and ability to support their careers going forward [2].

A glimpse of the scientific approach can be seen focusing on student's thinking skills. Students are asked to ask questions, observing objects to prove the results of their thinking, analyzing results their observations, and communicate the results of their discussion. This matter shows that these steps are relevant in learning student’s critical thinking skills. Critical thinking is an ideal goal in education because prepare students for maturity [3]. Prepare learners for adult life does not mean giving to they are something that is ready but includes the students inside the fulfillment of his own development and the direction of his development alone or self-direction. In the process of critical thinking, it takes time enough is available in the analysis to reach conclusions as well the decision to act, this is what will train students to continue develop into someone with better competence. In-Law No.20 of 2003 concerning SISDIKNAS, explained that education is away planned to realize active learning and developing the potential of students to have religious-spiritual power, self control, personality, intelligence, noble character and necessary skills himself, society, nation, and state.

Physics is a systematic study of physical symptoms universe. The study and practice of science involves three main elements namely process, attitude, and product [4]. These three elements expected to be reflected in the learning process of science. Science learning process one of which can be implemented through the experimental method. Through a questionnaire has been distributed to 10 students randomly, it is known that students are still experiencing difficulty in conducting meaningful and systematic experiments, this questionnaire distributed at the Alam Insan Mulia Surabaya School that 3 of 10 students were declared difficulty in determining the experimental hypothesis while 4 students states do not yet understand what a hypothesis is and how systematic the experiment is and another student expressed understanding.

The unevenness of students' understanding of critical thinking skills contained in the distributed questionnaire, encourage researchers to find effective solutions so students can think critically and can build their knowledge to get results more meaningful learning. Critical thinking is capable of giving reasons, thinking reflectively and focused on what to decide what to do or what to believe [5]. To build student knowledge required the teacher's role in following up on the lack of critical thinking skills especially in physics. Students need to be encouraged to construct knowledge in his mind [6]. In line with the statement Schwarz and Gwekwerere, the theory of constructivism also revealed that: "Basically every individual since childhood can construct his knowledge. Knowledge which is constructed by students as subjects, it will become meaningful knowledge [7]. In the learning process with experimental methods, students are allowed to experience themselves or do itself, following a process, observing an object, analyzing, prove and draw your conclusions about an object, state or something process [8]. Students can be taught to construct their thoughts through experimental activities [9]. The same things, POE activities students are faced with the situation and asked to predict what will happen if changes are made towards the situation. When changes take place students are asked to observe carefully the process and results of the change. Furthermore, students are asked to mention and explain the differences between the results they expect it to happen with what happened. Therefore, this research use Contextual Teaching And Learning (CTL) Learning Instruments with Predict, Observe, Explain (POE) Strategy to training critical thinking skills.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

2.1 General Background of Research
The main purpose of this research to development of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) learning instruments with Predict, Observe, and Explain (POE). This research was conducted in students at Alam Insan Mulia Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia, academic year 2018/2019. Development consist of validity instruments analysis, practicality analysis, and effectiveness analysis.

2.2 Sample of Research
The sample in this research was 10 students at Alam Insan Mulia Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia, academic year 2018/2019.

2.3 Instrument and Procedures
The development of Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) learning instruments with Predict, Observe, and Explain (POE) using 4D design (design, define, develop, and disseminate). Validity instruments analysis consist of Syllabus, Learning Implementation Plan (RPP), Student Worksheet (LKS), handout, and critical thinking skills instrument. Practicality analysis consist of implementation of Learning Implementation Plan (RPP), student’s responses, and obstacles were encountered during teaching and learning process carried out with this learning instruments. Effectiveness analysis consist of critical thinking skills analysis and the item of the geometrical optics test sensitivities The material used in this study is geometrical optics.

2.4 Data Analysis
a. Validity Instruments Analysis
Data or information about the validity of learning instruments which includes Syllabus, Learning implementation plan (RPP), Student Worksheet (LKS), handout, and critical thinking skills instrument. The assessment data were analyzed descriptively with qualitative. The data is obtained using a instrument validation sheet learning and based on validator score, then analyzed with calculates the average value given by the validator. In this research, passing grade is the average score (X) of the results of the assessment expert. Based on the average value of the validator used to determine the quality of learning instruments. These results can then be categorized as in the table below [10].

### Table 1. Categories of Learning Instruments from Validator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>1.00 - 1.80</td>
<td>Sangat tidak valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>1.90 - 2.70</td>
<td>Tidak valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>2.80 - 3.6</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>3.70 - 4.00</td>
<td>Sangat Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Implementation of Learning Implementation Plan (RPP)

The observational data of learning implementation obtained in the form scores in the range 1-4, with category 1 = tidak baik, 2 = kurang baik, 3 = baik, and 4 = sangat baik. Scores for each of the same aspects obtained from all the meetings that have been held, are averaged, then interpreted in the form of scores as follows:

### Table 2. Interval Scores and Criteria for Average Implementation Learning Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>1.00 - 1.80</td>
<td>Sangat tidak valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>1.90 - 2.70</td>
<td>Tidak valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>2.80 - 3.6</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>3.70 - 4.00</td>
<td>Sangat Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reliability of the observations sheet of the lesson plan is calculated by the formula following [11].

\[
R = \left(1 - \frac{A - B}{A + B}\right) \times 100\%
\]

Information:
- **R**: instrument reliability (percentage of agreement)
- **A**: higher score from validator
- **B**: lower score from validator

c. Student’s Responses Analysis

Data or information about student’s responses to learning obtained by distributing questionnaires to students. Student gives the response to learning by choosing an appropriate statement consists of two categories namely yes and no or called the Guttman scale. Students answer Yes is worth (1) and students answer Not worth (0). Data were analyzed based on the group of respondents who answered “Yes” and the group of respondents who answered “No”. Accordingly mathematically can be written as follows:

\[
P = \frac{\sum K}{\sum N} \times 100\%
\]

Information:
- **P**: percentage of student’s responses
- **ΣK**: Number of students who chose the answer Yes or No
- **ΣN**: Number of students who filled out the questionnaire

The percentage of student responses converted by criteria [12] as following:

- Figures 0 % - 20 % = Sangat lemah
- Figures 21 % - 40 % = Lemah
- Figures 41 % - 60 % = Cukup
- Figures 61 % - 80 % = Kuat
- Figures 81 % - 100 % = Sangat kuat
d. Critical Thinking Skills Analysis

The data of student’s critical thinking skills were analyzed through the rubric of critical thinking. The rubric of critical thinking skills used in this study multilevel scale, statement followed by columns which shows the scoring levels with the appropriate scaling scale with predetermined criteria, where a score of 4 if the student’s answers are “sangat benar”; score 3 if the student answers are “benar”; a score of 2 if the student answers are “cukup benar”, score 1 if the student answers are “kurang benar”. Data obtained in this study is quantitative data that is data about critical thinking skills test scores content based on optical topics. Level of student’s critical thinking skills analyzed descriptively quantitative with percentages to describe level of achievement of each indicator of critical thinking skills.

\[
critical \text{ thinking skills} = \frac{\text{scores obtained by students}}{\text{total scores}}
\]

After obtaining the results of the percentage of student’s critical thinking skills, the researcher determines the category of student’s critical thinking skills. Gift the category aims to find out the percentage qualifications of thinking ability critical of students. Critical thinking skills can be divided into 4 categories, namely:
Table 3. Categories of Critical Thinking Skills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>≤ 25.00 - ≤ 43.75</td>
<td>Sangat kurang kritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>≤ 43.75 - ≤ 62.50</td>
<td>Kurang kritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>≤ 62.50 - ≤ 81.25</td>
<td>Kritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>≤ 81.25 - ≤ 100.00</td>
<td>Sangat kritis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meanwhile, to find out the change in critical thinking skills score analyzed using the N-Gain equation [13].

\[ N \text{Gain} = \frac{score_{posttest} - score_{pretest}}{score_{maximal} - score_{pretest}} \]

Table 4. Criteria of Score (N-gain) of Students Before and After Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>&gt; 0.70</td>
<td>Tinggi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>0.30 – 0.70</td>
<td>Sedang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>&lt; 0.30</td>
<td>Rendah</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e. Obstacles in learning process

Constraints during the implementation of teaching and learning activities were analyzed with descriptive qualitative that the observer and researcher give note the obstacles that occur in the implementation of learning as many as two meetings were accompanied by advice from observers.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

a. Validity Instruments Analysis

1. Syllabus

The syllabus developed in this study is in the form of a plan on a subject uses KTSP because of the curriculum in force in the place of this research is the KTSP curriculum which includes KD, subject matter, indicators, learning objectives, learning activities, assessment, time allocation, and learning resources. The average value of the results of the syllabus validation has been done based on the assessment of 2 validators. It can be seen that overall, syllabus developed has an average of 3.5 and the percentage reliability of 98.9%. The results of this validation indicate that the syllabus is developed valid so that it can be used in stages implementation.

2. Learning Implementation Plan (RPP)

Validation of Learning Implementation Plan (RPP) is carried out by two experts to examine the feasibility of the lesson plan before being piloted in the activity learning. This study aims to provide responses and input on the lesson plans that have been developed by researchers to be obtained instruments that meet the good category and are subsequently valid for use in learning. The RPP can be used in the data collection process at school because the results of the study by experts can be said to be valid. The overall validator's rating the RPP developed is 3.2 and is reliable with value by 94.57%. The results of this validation indicate that the RPP is developed valid so that it can be used in stages implementation.

3. Student Worksheet (LKS)

The following are the evaluations given by the validator towards the worksheet that has been produced (developed). The overall validator's evaluation of the worksheet developed is of 3.2 and reliable with a value of 96.24%. The results of this validation show that the worksheet developed is valid so that it can be used at implementation.

4. Handout

This study uses the material "Geometry Optics Material" on students class VIII at School of Nature Insan Mulia Surabaya academic year 2019/2020. Material which has been selected is displayed in the form of a handout developed by researchers. The overall validator's rating handout developed is 3.1 and reliable with a value of 96.18%. The results of this validation indicate that the LKS are developed valid so that it can be used in stages implementation.

5. Critical Thinking Skills Instrument

TKBK in this study aims to measure critical thinking skills is based on indicators of critical thinking according developed by researchers. The number of TKBK questions in this study was 4 question item. The 4 items (attachment) include 1 question with indicators analysis, 1 question with evaluation indicators, 1 question with indicators hypothesis/prediction, and 1 problem with the indicator concluded. The average values of the two validators against TKBK instruments are 3.4 and the results of the calculation of reliability TKBK equipment is 96.30%. Based on the review and assessment results the validator, the TKBK device can be said to be valid and can be used in this study

b. Practicality Analysis

1. Implementation of Learning Implementation Plan (RPP)

The process of learning activities was observed by two observers aiming to find out the level of implementation of the Learning Implementation Plan (RPP) that has been developed. Observations made using an instrument for observing the implementation of the lesson plan. RPP used using POE-based contextual learning (predict, observe, explain) which was developed
in 8 phases, namely:
- Phase 1: Deliver the objectives and contextualization of learning material leftover (problem orientation)
- Phase 2: Predict
- Phase 3: Observing
- Phase 4: Formulate the problem and propose a hypothesis
- Phase 5: Perform experiments and collect data
- Phase 6: Analyze data and formulate conclusions
- Phase 7: Communicate the results of the experiment
- Phase 8: Evaluation

In each phase above an explanation of the learning activities is described in the RPP. A whole observing the implementation of learning lesson plans amounting to 3.9 and the percentage of reliability of 98.23%. Observation results of the implementation of this RPP shows that learning that has been implemented can be stated very good and practical.

2. Student’s Responses

The implementation of learning in this study also took data about student responses to the learning process that has been followed. Students are asked to respond this learning by filling out the student response sheet. The average of student’s responses are 82% with strong categories.

3. Obstacles

During the process of learning activities in this study, of course not regardless of obstacles or obstacles that affect the success to achieve the expected learning goals.

Table 5. Obstacles In Conducting Research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Obstacles</th>
<th>Alternative Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>The atmosphere of the KBM is quite conducive but some students are still</td>
<td>The teacher should give more interesting learning so all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>where do other activities outside learning context</td>
<td>students are more pay attention to learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Some student’s answers are lacking in accordance with the results of</td>
<td>Special assistance is given to students who are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>observations and experiment</td>
<td>constructivist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Uneven critical thinking skills</td>
<td>Development of instruments that are both as well as the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>teacher's role as the facilitator will support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>student’s critical thinking skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Predictions produced by students less in accordance with which the</td>
<td>Researchers should provide more authentic example better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>researcher wants</td>
<td>understood by students</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. Effectiveness analysis

1. Critical Thinking Skills Analysis

Learning instruments developed by researchers must also meet effective element when used in learning activities. Effectiveness of the device this learning is known from TKBK result data. TKBK result data is used to measure student's critical thinking skills. This treatment is carried out twice namely at the beginning before (pretest) and after learning (posttest) using Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) learning with the Predict, Observe, Explain (POE) strategy. The result of this analysis are 0.74 with high N-Gain.

2. The Item of The Geometrical Optics Test sensitivities

The TKBK also determines the sensitivity of the items used. This sensitivity is used to state that the question is appropriate to use in practicing critical thinking skills. Average the item of the geometrical optics test sensitivities have 0.62 and sensitive categories.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the description of the research findings, it can be concluded that Contextual Teaching And Learning (CTL) learning instruments with Predict, Observe, Explain (POE) strategy at junior high school, academic year 2018-2019 with Geometry Optics material developed by researchers is feasible used to practice student’s critical thinking skills. The validity of the Contextual Teaching And Learning (CTL) learning instruments with Predict, Observe, Explain (POE) strategy developed is valid. The practicality of learning devices as follows: (1) Implementation of the Implementation of Learning Implementation Plan (RPP) developed by 3.9 and the percentage of reliability amounted to 98.23%. The results of observations of the implementation of this RPP indicate that learning that has been implemented can be stated very well and practical. (2) Obstacles found in implementing learning instruments developed as follows: (a) The atmosphere of KBM is quite conducive, but there are still some students do other activities outside the context of learning. (b) Some student’s answers are not by the results of observations and experiment. (c) The unequal ability of students to think critically. (d) The predictions produced by students are not by that the researcher wants. (e) The results of student’s responses with Contextual Teaching And Learning (CTL) with Predict, Observe, Explain (POE) strategies 82% with very strong criteria. (3) The effectiveness of the Contextual Teaching And Learning (CTL) learning instruments with the Predict, Observe, Explain (POE) strategy developed for practice student’s critical thinking skills: (a) Critical thinking skills analysis indicators used: First meeting (coins in a glass): hypothesis of the shape of an object's shadow if it is associated
with several liquid to observe the effect of light refraction, analyze the effect of light refraction on the coin, explain the effect of the refraction of light on coins, and make inferences or conclusions based on observations the right concept. and provide other alternatives. Second meeting (concave-convex mirror on the spoon): the shadow shape hypothesis if the concave mirror distance is changed, analyze observations of the shape of the shadow on the spoon, explain the experimental shape of the shadow on the spoon, make inferences or inferences from shadow forms. There is an increase in TKBK results with an average gain-score the high category that is equal to 0.74. (b) The item of the geometrical optics test sensitivities: The results of the calculation of the sensitivity of items from 4 items in a row are 0.54, 0.70; 0.48; and 0.75 with an average sensitivity value of the questions 0.62. The sensitivity value indicates that the item is sensitive used to measure student’s critical thinking skills with grades the sensitivity index ranges from 0.00 to 1.00 and the items are declared sensitive to the effects of learning if it has a sensitivity ≥ 0.30 [14].
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