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Abstract- Content based image retrieval is a technique which uses visual contents like shape, color and texture to retrieve images from large scale image databases. In content based image retrieval shape is one of the primitive feature for image retrieval. Many images are classified and detected based on shape description. In this paper we present the methods for retrieving images from the large database which consist of engineering objects or models. The proposed work uses the shape information from an image along with 3D information. The 3D information can be obtained by obtaining depth map, for this a linear approximation procedure that can capture the depth information using the idea of shape from shading has been used. Retrieval of objects is done using a similarity measure that combines shape and the depth information.

Index Terms- CBIR, shape, Depth, contour.

I. INTRODUCTION

Content-based image retrieval (CBIR) is used to describe the experiments into automatic retrieval of images from a database. This term has been widely used to describe the process of retrieving desired images from a large collection on the basis of features such as color, texture and shape that can be extracted automatically from the image itself. The advantages to find a desired image from a large databases has wide applications, such as, in crime prevention by automatic face detection, fingerprint, medical diagnosis and so on. Visual contents to search images from large scale image databases has been an active research area for the last decade. Advances in the internet and digital imaging have resulted in an exponential increase in the volume of digital images.

The earliest use of different image retrieval technique were based on the manual textual annotation of images, which is intensively large and also often it depends on that persons imagination. Texts alone are not sufficient because of the fact that interpretation of what we see is hard to characterize by them. Hence, contents in an image, color, shape, and texture, started gaining prominence.

Even though different combinations of contents that is shape and color or shape and texture or color and texture and their possible descriptions have been tried, it is increasingly evident that a system cannot cater to the needs of a general database which consists of 3D engineering objects. Hence, it is more relevant to build image retrieval systems that are specialized to domains.

In this paper, we propose an approach in which retrieving images from an engineering database which contains 3D objects has been presented. As the 3D objects are geometrically well-defined as compared to natural objects and also they rarely contain texture information, the appropriate feature to be used is shape. For every object the contour is captured which gives its two dimensional content along with its 3D embedding information, its depth profile at each pixel on the contour. Shape from an image is quite a powerful representation as it characterizes the geometry of the object. However, it is normally a planar profile, and is insufficient by itself to recognize objects that are typically 3D in nature. To take into account the third dimension, other parameters such as color and/or texture have been used. However, in our paper, we propose an approach that combines shape with the depth-map of the shape. The basic idea of our paper is illustrated in Fig. 1.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND RELATED METHODS

A. Shape extracting method

In the contour tracing algorithm shape contour can be obtained by using three steps as specified below the result of each of the step is given in fig.2.
1. Firstly convert the given image into gray scale image (Fig. 2(a)).
2. Then the converted gray scale image is binarized (Fig. 2(b)).
3. Contour from the binary image can be obtained by separating the object information from its background details.

Applying the contour tracing algorithm generates the boundary shape (contours) of the object (Fig. 2(c)).

![Fig. 2. Processing an input image (a) Grayscale image (b) Binarized image (c) Contour extraction](image)

Shape signature, a one dimensional representation of the shape, is obtained by applying the 8-point connectivity technique on the 2D closed contour. As engineering/CAD objects have well defined centroid \((x_c, y_c)\) and also retrieval has shown to be better with central distance [16], we use it as our shape representation. The feature vector representing the central distance between point on the contour \((x, y)\) and the centroid \((x_c, y_c)\) is given by:

\[
V_Z = (x - x_c, y - y_c, 0) \tag{1}
\]

\[
x_c = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x_i, \quad y_c = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} y_i \text{ and } N \text{ is the total number of pixels.}
\]

**B. Extraction of Depth Map from contour**

Once the contour from the given shape (by using contour tracing algorithm) is obtained (as described in Section 2), its 3D information is then computed. The 3D information can be computed in terms of depth \(Z\), the surface normal \((p, q)\), or surface gradient \((\partial x, \partial y)\). However, in this paper, we use only a single image as query and not a set of images. Hence, a principle of shape from shading has been used to obtain the 3D embedding information. Lambertian model [17], is a reasonable approximation for engineering objects, where Lambertian is assumed that equal amount of light is reflected in every direction. The parameters which are used in Lambertian reflectance are albedo, which is assumed to be constant and illuminant direction, which can be computed, in general.

To compute the depth-map of an image, we use the approach proposed by [17]. The linearity of the reflectance map in the depth \(Z\) has been used instead of in \(p\) and \(q\), discrete approximations for \(p\) and \(q\) are employed and linearize the reflectance in \(Z(x, y)\). The reflectance function for the Lambertian surface is as follows:

\[
E(x, y) = R(p, q) = \frac{1 + PP + QQ + 1}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2} \sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} \tag{2}
\]

where \(E(x, y)\) is the gray level at pixel \((x, y)\),

\[
p = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x}, \quad q = \frac{\partial y}{\partial y}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\sin \theta \sin \phi}{\cos \theta}
\]

\(\theta\) is the tilt of the illuminant and \(\phi\) is the slant of the illuminant. Discrete approximations of \(p\) and \(q\) are given by the following:

\[
p = \frac{Z(x, y) - Z(x - 1, y)}{Z(x, y)}, \quad q = \frac{Z(x, y) - Z(x, y - 1)}{Z(x, y - 1)} \tag{3}
\]

The reflectance equation can be then rewritten as:

\[
0 = f(E(x, y), Z(x, y), Z(x-1, y), Z(x, y-1)) = E(x, y) - R(Z(x, y) - Z(x - 1, y), Z(x, y) - Z(x, y - 1)) \tag{4}
\]

For a fixed point \((x, y)\) and a given image \(E\), linear approximation (Taylor series expansion up through the first order terms) of the function \(f\) about a given depth map \(Z^{n-1}\) and solving using iterative Jacobi method results in the following reduced form:

\[
0 = f(Z(x, y)) = Z^{n-1}(x, y) + (Z(x, y) - Z^{n-1}(x, y)) \frac{df(Z^{n-1}(x, y))}{dz(x, y)} \tag{5}
\]

For \(Z(x, y) = Z^n(x, y)\), the depth map at \(n\)-th iteration can be solved using the following:

\[
Z^n(x, y) = Z^{n-1}(x, y) + \frac{df(Z^{n-1}(x, y))}{dz(x, y)} \tag{6}
\]

Where

\[
\frac{df(Z^{n-1}(x, y))}{dz(x, y)} = -1 \left( \frac{pp + qq}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2} \sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} - \frac{(p+q)(pp + qq + 1)}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2} \sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} \right)
\]

The depth map of the image is shown in Fig. 3. It is to be noted that in this paper only the depth values at the contour are used.
The depth map is then represented in a way similar to the shape (Equation (1)). The feature vector representing depth is given by:

\[ V_d = (0, 0, Z - Z_c) \]  

where \( Z \) is the depth obtained from Equation (6) of the contour, and \( Z_c \) denotes the third dimension of the centroid.

III. REPRESENTATION, INDEXING AND RETRIEVAL

In this section, shape-depth representation is described, followed by Indexing using Fourier Descriptors and then a similarity measurement to describe the retrieval.

A. Representation of Shape-Depth

As we know that, shape alone is not sufficient to get a good retrieval. As we are dealing with well-defined geometric objects, our strategy is based on a 3D embedding has been adopted. Shape, in this paper, is combined with the corresponding estimated depth profile. Shape-Depth can be defined as \( \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \). At each point on the contour, a vector is defined as follows:

\[ V = (x - x_c, y - y_c, Z - Z_c) \]  

This can be decomposed into \( V_c \) (Equation (1)) representing the shape/contour and \( V_d \) (Equation (7)) representing depth.

A weighted combination of the magnitude of the vectors \( V_c \) and \( V_d \) is used for retrieving images. Shape-Depth representation is defined as follows:

\[ SD = \frac{w_c \cdot ||V_c|| + w_d \cdot ||V_d||}{w_c + w_d} \]  

where \( w_c \) and \( w_d \) are the weights assigned to the shape-based similarity and the depth-based similarity, respectively and \( w_c + w_d = 1 \). \( w_c > 0 \) and \( w_d > 0 \). It can be observed that ||\( V_c ||\) captures the central distance measure in the 2D domain and ||\( V_d ||\) is a similar measure on the third dimension, the depth hence it could prove to be a very useful one for retrieving objects/images.

B. Fourier Transform of Shape-Depth and Indexing

The important requirement of any representation for retrieval is that it is invariant to transformations such as translation, scaling and rotation. Fourier transform is widely used for achieving the invariance. For any 1-D signature function, its discrete Fourier transform is given by:

\[ a_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} SD \exp(-j2\pi nk/N) \]  

where, \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) and \( SD \) is given by Equation (9). The coefficients \( a_n \) are usually called Fourier Descriptors (FD), denoted as \( FD_n \). Since the shape and depth representations described in this paper are translation invariant, the corresponding FDs are also translation invariant. Rotation invariance is achieved by using only the magnitude information and ignoring the phase information. Scale normalization is achieved by dividing the magnitude values of the FDs with \( FD_1 \). The invariant feature vector used to index \( SD \) is then given by:

\[ f = [FD_1, |FD_2|, \ldots, |FD_{N-1}|, |FD_N|] \]  

C. Similarity Measurement

In this paper as we are using only single image as query and not a set of images and retrieval result is not a single image but a list of images ranked by their similarities with the query image. Since CBIR is not based on exact matching. For a model shape which is query image’s shape indexed by FD feature \( \hat{f}_m = [f_1^m, f_2^m, \ldots, f_N^m] \) and a database indexed by FD feature \( \hat{f}_d = [f_1^d, f_2^d, \ldots, f_N^d] \), the Euclidean distance between two feature vectors can then be used as the similarity measurement:

\[ d = \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (\hat{f}_m^i - \hat{f}_d^i)^2} \]  

where \( N \) is the total number of sampled points on the shape contour.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

For testing the above approach, we have used a total of 1400 engineering database image. The database has multiple copies of an image and also it has same image in arbitrary position and rotation. The query image is also one of the image from the database. Test results for some objects are shown in Figs. 4(a) to 4(c), where only first six retrieved images are shown for the query image on the left.

The different parameters that could affect the retrieval results in our approach are, number of sampling points to compute the FDs, weights \( w_c \) and \( w_d \) in Equation (8), and the factors such as light direction and number of iterations when computing the depth. There is always a tradeoff when the number of sampling points is chosen. The more number of sampling points is chosen will give a very good result at the cost of computation. On the other hand, using lesser number is computationally inexpensive but may not give accurate information.
In all the test results, it is to be noted that the query image is also retrieved, which indicates that the shape-depth representation is robust.

The important advantage in using the depth content of the image is that we can represent objects close to how it is in its three dimensional space. As we are using only a single image to compute the depth map, it will be close to its real depth only if the image is in its most informative position. However, in this approach, as we are not only dependent on the shape information (that is its contour) but also its depth, we can also retrieve objects that are in different orientation.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input image</th>
<th>Matches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a)

Fig 5(a), 5(b) shows the values and corresponding graph for total number of images matched.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input images</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a)

Fig. 4. Retrieval Results for some Engineering objects
The above graph is drawn by using the values from table 2. For each query image how much accurately it matches with database image is shown.

**Fig 6(a),6(b)** shows the accuracy for the input images.

**Fig 7** the precision-recall graph of total matches and accuracy is drawn.

By using the above figures we plot the precision-recall graph which shows that as number of matches increases the accuracy also increases.

### V. CONCLUSION

The work carried out in this paper is very useful in retrieving the objects from an engineering database. The basic idea used is to combine the shape information which is extracted from the contour tracing algorithm. Depth information is extracted from this contour. The extracted feature vector are stored for all the database images. After applying the query image same features are extracted and given to the matching stage which uses the Euclidian distance between the two feature vectors and top matched six images are displayed. This approach may be used in other application domain such as meteorology, medicine, space exploration, manufacturing, entertainment, education, law enforcement, defense, chemistry, forensics, mechanical CAD, paleontology, computer graphics and computer vision and protein search in molecular biology.
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