Home Medication Review in Geriatric population in Gandhinagar
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Abstract- With advanced age there is an increase in multiple disease states, with a consequent increase in the number of medicines taken. The elderly therefore need regular review. Home Medication Review (HMR) Program was pioneered by Australian Government in the year 2001. HMR is a collaborative service involving the general practitioner, pharmacist and the patient. This study was carried out to assess medication taken, adherence. The main objectives of this study are to collect demography and check disease prevalence, study medicine use and to assess medication adherence in geriatric population.

Results: 102 patients of age greater than 60 years participated in the study. 48 were females (47.05%) and 54 were males (52.95%). The most prevalent chronic disease in geriatric population is Hypertension (50%) followed by Diabetes mellitus (28%). The average number of disease per patient is 1.42 ± 0.6. A total of 144 medicines of different doses and combinations are identified as being taken, an average of 3.18 medications per patients. The average Medication Adherence Rating Scale (MARS) score at baseline is 9.74 ± 0.64 and in follow up is 9.93 ± 0.25, while average pill count percentage at first follow up is 99.35 ± 19.5 and in last follow up is 99.92 ± 17.053, indicating that all patients are adherent. Conclusions: This study gathered demographic and medication-usage data. All patients are adherent to their medications; and their caretakers also play a vital role in maintaining their medication adherence. Further studies can be carried out on a larger subject population and using patient education as an intervention so as to give an overview of the health related issues & to adopt suitable measures to uplift the health of the masses.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Globally, the population is ageing and the World Health Organisation (WHO) predicts that, by 2050, the population aged 60 years or more will double, whilst those aged 80 years or more will number 400 million persons.[1] This extension of the lifespan is looked upon as a triumph of medical advances, access to better treatments as well as a focus on preventive therapies; the use of pharmacotherapy is the key contributor to this.[2]

With advanced age there is an increase in multiple disease states, with a consequent increase in the number of medicines taken.[3] Chronic diseases are defined as diseases which have one or more of the following characteristics: they are permanent, leave residual disability, are caused by non-reversible pathological alteration, require special training of the patient for rehabilitation, or may be expected to require a long period of supervision, observation or care.[4] The elderly suffers from a variety of chronic conditions and their changes demands a different set of medication regimen. Elderly patients are particularly vulnerable and they therefore need regular review. A pharmacist led medication review is found having the capacity to identify and resolve pharmaceutical care issues, use of health and social service.[3]

Home Medication Review (HMR) Program was pioneered by Australian Government in the year 2001. The HMR program is designed to help those people living at home to maximize the benefits of their medication regimen and prevent the harmful consequences of medication misuse.[5] HMR is a collaborative service involving the general practitioner, pharmacist and the patient.[3]

Adherence to medication is a crucial part of patient care and indispensable for reaching clinical goals. The WHO, in its 2003 report on medication adherence, states that “increasing the effectiveness of adherence interventions may have a far greater impact on the health of the population than any improvement in specific medical treatment”. By opposition, non-adherence leads to poor clinical outcomes, increase in morbidity and death rates, and unnecessary healthcare expenditure.[6] The WHO defines adherence as “the extent to which the persons’ behavior (including medication-taking) corresponds with agreed recommendations from a healthcare provider”. [4] Currently none of the available methods can be considered as a gold standard and the combination of methods is recommended.[6]

Chronic diseases are of great health concern in India and about a few million individuals are influenced by different chronic diseases. Trained pharmacist conducting HMR will be helpful in
implementing prescription audit in India, similar to the situation in Australia to help the Indian clinical pharmacist to contribute further to the health care needs of their communities.[7]

Statistics reveals that in Gujarat, 8.3% of population is over 60 years old.[8] In 2018, the Gujarat Government started HMR program under the name “Senior citizen home visit scheme” in GMERS Civil Hospital, Gandhinagar.[9]

Conducting a review of medicine in patients’ own home is likely to be the most convenient for the patient and provides the opportunity to understand their medicine-taking in the context of the home environment.[10] Patients are interviewed in their own homes by a clinical pharmacist, to recover relevant information from their homes that are of value.[11]

The aim of this study is to visit patient’s home, collect demography and medication details and check their medication adherence.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Objectives
The main objectives of this study are:
- To collect demography and check disease prevalence.
- To study medicines used during home medication review.
- Assess medication adherence.

Inclusion criteria
- Patients of 60 years of age and above.
- Patients of either gender (male and female).
- Patients with any chronic diseases.
- Patients who have consented to participate in the study.

Exclusion criteria
- Non-permanent residents.
- Critically ill and Psychiatric patients.

SAMPLE SIZE:
102 patients who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were enrolled in the study.

STUDY PROCEDURE
In this study, 4 visits were conducted at every patient’s home who enrolled in the study at an interval of 15 days.

VISIT 1 (BASELINE – DAY 1)
- Patients are recruited after agreement of consent.
- Patients or their caretakers are interviewed for demographic details, clinical details and medicines using data collection form and medication chart.
- If they are not willing to do so, patient’s file was used as primary source of information.
- Patients were assessed for medication adherence using MARS form. The questions in MARS scale were asked to the patients in Gujarati. Pill count was not performed in the first visit and the patients were instructed to preserve the strips for next follow up.

VISIT 2 (1ST FOLLOW UP – DAY 16)
- Medication adherence was assessed using MARS form and pill count form.

VISIT 3 (2ND FOLLOW UP – DAY 31)
- Medication adherence was assessed using MARS form and pill count form.

VISIT 4 (3RD FOLLOW UP – DAY 46)
- Medication adherence was assessed using MARS form and pill count form.

Table 1: Activity chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Activity during visit</th>
<th>Visit 1 (Baseline -Day1)</th>
<th>Visit 2 (Day 16)</th>
<th>Visit 3 (Day 31)</th>
<th>Visit 4 (Day 46)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Data collection</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Medication Chart</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MARS</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pill count</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Analysis
MARS score calculation: If the score is > 5, then the patient is adherent and if the score is <5, then the patient is non-adherent.[12]
Pill counts measure compliance by comparing the number of doses remaining in a container with the number of doses that should remain, if the patient’s compliance were perfect.

% adherence = (Total no. of doses the patient consumed since last appointment)/(Total no. of doses to be consumed since last appointment)* 100

If % adherence >80%, the patient is adherent.
If % adherence is between 70% and 80%, the patient is partially adherent.
If % adherence <70%, the patient is non-adherent.[13]

III. RESULTS

Demographics
102 patients met the inclusion criteria and participated in the study. Out of 102 patients, 48 were females (47.05%) and 54 were males (52.95%) and the mean age of the patients is 72.22 years. The average BMI is 25.07.

Table 2: Patient characteristics at baseline
Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females (in percentage)</td>
<td>47.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males (in percentage)</td>
<td>52.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>72.22 ± 8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>25.07 ± 4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of diseases per patient (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of medicines per patient (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>3.18 ± 2.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disease Prevalence

The most prevalent chronic disease in geriatric population is Hypertension (50%) followed by Diabetes mellitus (28.47%). The prevalence of Cardiac diseases, Hypothyroidism and Hyperlipidemia is 9.72%, 3.47% and 3.47% respectively. Other diseases such as COPD, Hyperthyroidism, Parkinson’s disease, Depression, Epilepsy and Rheumatoid arthritis had a prevalence of 0.69%.

Medication use

A total of 144 medicines of different doses and combinations are used by the patients. Out of 144 medicines, 92 medications are mono therapy while 52 medications are in combination.

Table 3: Class wise drug distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug class</th>
<th>Number of drugs</th>
<th>Percentage (in %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidiabetic</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivitamins</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgesics</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti Parkinson’s</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antianginal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid products</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid lowering agents</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppressants</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiasthmatics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigout</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Antihypertensive drugs are most prescribed (21.73%). The other commonly used drugs are Antidiabetic drugs (10.86%), Multivitamins (8.69%), Antianginals (7.6%) and Analgesics (4.34%). Out of 102 patients, 6 patients (5.88%) also consumed Ayurvedic medicines.
Medication Adherence

Out of 102 patients, all of them are adherent. The mean MARS score at baseline is 9.74 ± 0.64 and at last follow up is 9.93 ± 0.25.

Table 4: MARS score during all visits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MARS score at baseline</th>
<th>MARS score at 1st follow up</th>
<th>MARS score at 2nd follow up</th>
<th>MARS score at 3rd follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>9.74 ± 0.64</td>
<td>9.55 ± 0.63</td>
<td>9.94 ± 0.23</td>
<td>9.93 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean pill count at first follow up was found to be (99.35 ± 19.5) and at last follow up was found to be (99.92 ± 17.053). No cases of non-adherence are seen.

Table 5: Pill count score during all visits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pill count at 1st follow up</th>
<th>Pill count at 2nd follow up</th>
<th>Pill count at 3rd follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>99.35 ± 19.5</td>
<td>99.98 ± 17.05</td>
<td>99.92 ± 17.053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, the average age of geriatric patients involved is 72.2 years. Hypertension (50%) is the most prevalent chronic disease, followed by diabetes mellitus (28.47%). A similar prevalence pattern was observed in a study conducted by R. Anchala et al. with 33.8% hypertension prevalence. In a study conducted by R. Anjana et al., the prevalence of diabetes was found to be 7.3%.

The most common diseases found prevailing in geriatrics were Hypertension (50%) and Diabetes Mellitus (28.47%). The most commonly used drugs were Antihypertensive, Antidiabetic drugs, Multivitamins, Antianginals and Analgesics. Few patients also preferred to take alternative therapy like Ayurvedic medicines and home remedies. All patients are adherent to their medications; and their caretakers also play a vital role in maintaining their medication adherence. The elderly patients are most at risk of developing drug related problems. HMR can hence be a boon to them. So people should be made aware about this concept and further studies can be carried out on a larger subject population.

VI. LIMITATIONS

- Patient unavailable at the time of follow up visit (7 patients were unavailable at the time of follow up but the follow up was conducted at some other day).
- Throwing away of medicine strips posed a difficulty in pill counting (4 patients out of 102).
- Patients inflated their compliance or they were reluctant to admit their non-compliance.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are thankful to K. B. Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research for financially supporting us.

VIII. ETHICAL APPROVAL

This study was approved by KBIEC- K. B. Institute Ethics Committee.

REFERENCES

5. Campbell Research & Consulting. Home medicines review program qualitative research project final report.


AUTHORS

First Author – Saloni Patel, Pharm D Intern, Department of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, K. B. Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.

Second Author – Shrushti Patel, Pharm D Intern, Department of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, K. B. Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.

Third Author – Srushti Patel, Pharm D Intern, Department of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.

Fourth Author – Viren Patel, Pharm D Intern, Department of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, K. B. Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.

Fifth Author – Dr. Priyanshee N. Rathod, Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.

Sixth Author – Dr. Shrikalp S. Deshpande, Principle in-charge, Department of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, K. B. Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.