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Abstract- Food self-sufficiency, food security and nutrition security are the essential components of food and nutrition security. Therefore, food security should ensure both adequate food availability and desired nutrition. Food security is a major problem of the state like Assam, because of shortage of food grain production, poverty and lack of nutritional knowledge. In this study, an attempt has been made to assess the status of food security among the ST community of Lakhimpur district of Assam. For finding out the level of food security Per Capita per day Calorie Intake (PCCI) has been employed. Calculated calorie intake of sample households have been compared with 2730 kilocalories, which is recommended by Indian Council of Medical Research for an adult man doing moderate activity (NIN, 2010). For obtaining final sample, multistage mix-sampling technique has been used. It has been found that out of 152 sample households only 50.66 percent household has been found as food secure and another 49.34 percent is found as food insecure.

Index Terms- Food Security, ST Community, Per Capita per day Calorie Intake, Lakhimpur.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ensuring the food security continues to be a challenging issue of vital importance for the developing countries like India. The Millennium development goals provide us with the starting point to assess the level of food security and prioritize our efforts to achieve it. Removal of malnutrition and hunger from the country is not only socially desirable but also necessary for improving overall economic development, as healthy people contribute more to the economy with their relatively higher level of productivity and efficiency. Hunger and malnutrition put enormous cost burden on the society. A World Bank Report states that malnutrition brings down three percent of countries GDP annually. The Indian planners, right from the beginning, realized the need to attain self-sufficiency in food grains as one of the impotent goals of planning (Singh, 2013).

Food security refers to a household’s physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food that fulfils the dietary needs and food preferences of that household. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights in 1948 recognized right to food as a core element of an adequate standard of living. Following this, and more especially from world food crisis of 1972-74, food security became an important “organizing principle” in development. Following are the some important definitions of food security:

World Development Report (1986) defined food security as “access by all people at all times to enough food for an active, healthy life.”

The 1996 World Food Summit redefined food security as “Food security exists when all people, at all times, have physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food to meet their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life.”

In 2001, the FAO Expert Consultation on Food Security gives a working definition of food security: Food security exists when all people, at all times have physical, social and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food which meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life.

Food insecurity exists when people do not have adequate physical, social or economic access to food as defined above. Food insecurity, thus, is just an opposite situation of food security.
Worldwide around 852 million people out of 6.35 billion are chronically hungry due to extreme poverty, while up to 2 billion people lack food security intermittently due to varying degrees of poverty (FAO, 2003). At the global level, the South Asian region is home to more chronically food insecure people than any other region in the world and Global Hunger Index (2008) placed India in 94th rank among 119 countries.

As like national scenario, the situation of Assam is almost similar. Hence the level of food security among different section of the society of Lakhimpur district is found not satisfactory. Most of the ST population of the district inhabits on river banks and their educational level is also very poor. Agriculture is the main livelihood source, but due to heavy flood frequently coming to the district, the agricultural productivity is not sufficient. It is a major supply side challenge of food security of the community. According to BPL census 2002, the percentage of poverty in Assam is very high (Handique, et. al 2016). On the other hand, food grain production of the state is not self-sufficient. Although, the Public Distribution System of the country is a large food security mechanism and it covers a huge portion of the population. But its performance is still to be questioned, especially the level of food security of the BPL families are not satisfactory.

II. OBJECTIVES

The present study has its general objective is to assess the level of food security of the ST population of Lakhimpur district of Assam and to identify and assess the factors effecting the level of food security and its challenges. The specific objectives of the study are-

1. To assess level of household food security among ST households of the Lakhimpur district.
2. To recommend suitable policy measures for improvement of the level of food security on the basis of findings of the study.

III. AREA OF THE STUDY

Lakhimpur District is located in the north-east corner of Assam and lies between 26°45' and 27°53' northern latitude and 93°42' and 94°20' east longitude (approx). The district lies on north bank of the mighty river Brahmaputra. It is bounded by Siang and Papumpare district of Arunachal Pradesh on the north and on the east by Dhemaji district and Subansiri river, Majuli district, the largest river-island is on the southern side and Gahpur subdivision of Sonitpur district is on the west. As per 2011 census, the district covers an area of 2277 sqkm out of which 2257 sqkm is rural and 20 sqkm is urban.

According to the Population Census in 2011, the total population of Lakhimpur district is 1,042,137; out of which 529,674 are male 512,463 are female population. The population of the district constituted 3.34 percent of total population of Assam. Sex ratio of the district is 968. Child population in the age group of (0-6) years is 1,56,739. Again, in terms of rural-urban division, 950,804 are rural and 91,333 are urban population. Percentage of urban population of the district is 8.76 and it is almost half of the all Assam average (14.1 percent). Density of population of the district is 458 persons per sq/km. Decadal growth rate of population is 17.22 percent. Out of total population ST and SC population of the district are 23.93 percent and 7.85 percent respectively. The literacy rate of the district is 77.20 percent, among this male literacy rate is 83.52 percent and female literacy rate is 70.67 percent. The literacy rate of females are found to be lower that the male counterpart.

IV. DATABASE AND METHODOLOGY

The information regarding the present study has been collected from both primary and secondary sources of data. The main sources of secondary data are the publications of government agencies such as National Sample Survey Organization; Office of the Census of India, Directorate of Food and Civil Supplies; Agriculture and the Economic and Statistics; Government of Assam and Government of India; District Census Handbook of Lakhimpur. Beside the information collecting from above secondary source, unpublished statistics has been gathered from office of agriculture; Lakhimpur district, office of the three development blocks of Lakhimpur, which has been selected purposively from 9 blocks of the district, office of co-operative societies located in these three blocks.

Since the study area is Lakhimpur district, the micro level analysis has been made mainly based on primary data collected by carrying out field survey from the district. The sample has been selected through a process of multistage mix-sampling. As per 2011 census, there are 9 development block in the district. Among these 9 blocks, 3 have been selected purposively on the basis of BPL households and one ST village from each block has been taken for household survey. Lastly, a number of representative families, 15 to 20 percent (depending upon the population of the villages) of total households has been selected randomly from
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each village and finally 152 households have been surveyed. A structured schedule has been used to collect the necessary information on household food security level. For finding out the level of food security from primary information the Per Capita per day Calorie Intake (PCCI) has been employed. The survey has been conducted as per the guideline prepared by Smith and Subandoro (2007) of International Food Policy Research Institute. For analyzing the level of food security per consumer per day calorie intake has been calculated for each household, based on average nutritive value of Indian food (Gopalan, et al, 2000). Calculated per capita calorie intake of sample household has been compared with the 2730 kilocalories, which is recommended by Indian Council of Medical Research for an adult man doing moderate activity (NIN, 2010). The households’ calorie intake above the recommended level has been considered as food secure and food insecure otherwise.

V. DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

5.1 Level of Food Security among Schedule Tribe (ST) Households:

By using Per Capita per day Calorie Intake (PCCI), the detail analysis of level of food security among ST households has been shown in Table 5.1. It is clear from the table that across the area 50.66 percent households are found with intake above the recommended level, i.e. they are food secure and another 49.34 percent households are found with intake below the recommended level, hence they are food insecure. Per Capita per day Calorie Intake across the area has been found 2443 kcal, where the same is found as 3012 kcal for the food secure households and 1855 kcal for the food insecure households. Among the three blocks, highest (2455 kcal) per capita per day calorie intake has been found in Narayanpur block and lowest (2408 kcal) per capita per day calorie intake has been found in Dhakuakhana block. Again, highest percentage (56.90 percent) of food secure households has been found in Dhakuakhana block and lowest, i.e., 44.64 percent food secure households have been found in Ghilamara block.

Table 5.1 Level of Food Security among Schedule Tribe (ST) Households.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>Food Secure Households</th>
<th>Food Insecure Households</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dhakuakhana</td>
<td>Per capita per day calorie intake</td>
<td>2993</td>
<td>1822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number &amp; Percentage</td>
<td>33(56.90)</td>
<td>25(43.10)</td>
<td>58(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narayanpur</td>
<td>Per capita per day calorie intake</td>
<td>3014</td>
<td>1896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number &amp; Percentage</td>
<td>19(50.00)</td>
<td>19(50.00)</td>
<td>38(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghilamara</td>
<td>Per capita per day calorie intake</td>
<td>3028</td>
<td>1846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number &amp; Percentage</td>
<td>25(44.64)</td>
<td>31(55.36)</td>
<td>56(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>Per capita per day calorie intake</td>
<td>3012</td>
<td>1855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number &amp; Percentage</td>
<td>77(50.66)</td>
<td>75(49.34)</td>
<td>152(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Calculated from primary data, (Figures in the bracket indicates percentage to total).

5.2 Level of Food Security among different Occupational Categories of ST Households: Table 5.2 reveals that the level of food security is different among the sample household with different occupation of the household head. From the table it is clear that the level of food security among daily wage labour is lowest, i.e. 29.17 percent, with compared to it, the level of food security is slight better among the agricultural labour (36.36 percent). The highest level of food security has been found among government service holders, i.e. 88.89 percent followed by Trading and self-employment (77.78 percent). Although, more than 60 percent of the sample households have been involve with cultivation as their primary occupation, but among them only 52.75 percent households are food secure, another 47.25 percent households are food insecure. It is a severe problem for economic efficiency and productivity.
Table 5.2: Level of food security among sample ST households of different occupational categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>No. &amp; percentage of food secure households</th>
<th>No.&amp; percentage of food insecure households</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultivator</td>
<td>48(52.75)</td>
<td>43(47.25)</td>
<td>91(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural labour</td>
<td>4(36.36)</td>
<td>7(63.64)</td>
<td>11(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Husbandry</td>
<td>2(66.67)</td>
<td>1(33.33)</td>
<td>3(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other daily wage labour</td>
<td>7(29.17)</td>
<td>17(70.83)</td>
<td>24(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>8(88.89)</td>
<td>1(11.11)</td>
<td>9(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading and self-employment</td>
<td>7(77.78)</td>
<td>5(41.67)</td>
<td>12(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>1(50.00)</td>
<td>1(50.00)</td>
<td>2(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>77(50.66)</td>
<td>75(49.34)</td>
<td>152(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Calculated from primary data, (Figures in the bracket indicates percentage to total).

5.3 Level of Food Security among Households with Different Level of Education of ST Households:

The following Table 5.3 shows that incidence of food security is different among household with different educational level of household head. From this Table 5.3, it is clear that there is a positive relationship between level of food security and level of education. In all the three blocks household head with below primary and primary to high school level education shows low level of food security. On the other hand, household head having graduate, post graduate or professional degree has shown high level of food security. But some of the households having higher degree also have found to be food insecure because of underemployment. In all the three blocks, some post graduates and most of the graduates are involved with agriculture for their livelihood.

Table 3: Level of food security among sample household’s with different level of education of the household’s head.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocks</th>
<th>Education level</th>
<th>No. &amp; percentage of food secure households</th>
<th>No. &amp; percentage of food insecure households</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dhakuakhana</td>
<td>Below Primary</td>
<td>1(20.00)</td>
<td>4(80.00)</td>
<td>5(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary to High School</td>
<td>11(55.00)</td>
<td>9(45.00)</td>
<td>20(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matriculates and undergraduates</td>
<td>14(58.33)</td>
<td>10(41.67)</td>
<td>24(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>4(66.67)</td>
<td>2(33.33)</td>
<td>6(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post graduate</td>
<td>2(100.00)</td>
<td>0(00.00)</td>
<td>2(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professional degree</td>
<td>1(100.00)</td>
<td>0(00.00)</td>
<td>1(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narayapur</td>
<td>Below Primary</td>
<td>1(25.00)</td>
<td>3(75.00)</td>
<td>4(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary to High School</td>
<td>4(40.00)</td>
<td>6(60.00)</td>
<td>10(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Matriculates and undergraduates | 9(56.25) | 7(43.75) | 16(100)
Graduate | 4(57.14) | 3(42.86) | 7(100)
Post graduate | 1(100.00) | 0(00.00) | 1(100)

Below Primary | 0(00.00) | 4(100.00) | 4(100)
Primary to High School | 9(39.13) | 14(60.87) | 23(100)
Matriculates and undergraduates | 9(47.37) | 10(52.63) | 19(100)
Graduate | 6(75.00) | 2(25.00) | 8(100)
Post graduate | 1(50.00) | 1(50.00) | 2(100)

Source: Calculated from primary data, (Figures in the bracket indicates percentage to total).

SOME SUGGESTIVE MEASURES
For improvement of the level of food security, following recommendations can be considered-

- For increasing food-grain production in the rural areas of the district, the farmers should try to modernize the agriculture sector by adopting modern implements, using high yielding variety of seed, applying adequate quantity of organic fertilizers, by adopting scientific rotation of crops and careful crop planning and finally through intensifying agricultural research and percolating the fruits of research to the farmers.

- Government should provide better storage facilities to the farmers, and thereby prevent from selling grains just because of loss during storage. It has been found that due to lack of storage facilities made the marginal poor farmers to sell their grains immediately after harvest. It will ensure the availability of food- grains at affordable price to the general consumer.

- Agricultural extension services are needed to disseminate knowledge on the income generating potential of rural people. They need to be advised on low labour requirement crops, and crops need minimum land preparation, weeding techniques and sources of irrigation.

- For increasing economic accessibility of food, employment guarantee schemes should be implemented successfully.

- Micro-credit facilities should be examined as an effective and sustainable strategy for supporting livelihood, which would have direct bearing on the nutritional status of the family.

- Community afforestation programmes could be an important policy measure to increase the availability of traditional food as well as firewoods.

- Edible oil and more amount of sugar should be made available under PDS like other states of the country.

- Moreover, problem of irregular supply, supplying bad quality PDS items in remote areas should be addressed properly.

VI. CONCLUSION
Food security is described as the state when people have physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food to meet their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life. Hence, a healthy and well-nourished population is imperative for building a strong nation. In the present study, it has been found that the food security status of the ST households of the study area is not good, i.e. only 50.66 percent ST households are food secure. The level of food security among agricultural labour and other daily wage labour has been found very poor. Although government of India as well as state government initiated
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the special scheme for the ST community by providing livelihood security and for enhancing agricultural productivity, still due to wrong implementation of the schemes the household food security is far away from the satisfactory level.
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