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Abstract: Pressure injury (PI) is such a common complication that impair quality of life and become the source of suffering for the patients and care-givers in spinal cord injury (SCI) patients. Management of PI in SCI population is also expensive specially in developing nations. Autologous Platelet-Rich Fibrin (PRF) is simpler, cost-effective, safe new approach towards pressure injury managements. As second-generation Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP), PRF contains more growth factors than PRP. There are no studies on PRF membrane use in PI management in SCI population. Following PRF use there was improved granulation tissue formation in this patient. Here for the first time PRF membrane use in PI management in SCI population has been reported in this case.
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I. INTRODUCTION
“Healing is a matter of time, but it is sometimes also a matter of opportunity.” - Hippocrates
Pressure injuries (PI) are the second most frequent secondary complication in people with spinal cord injury (SCI) from acute stage to chronic stage.¹ It affects quality of life, length of stay during hospitalization and also increases mortality and morbidity. The prevalence of PI during the first year after SCI is 8%, and it even rises to 33% in resident-community cases.² It is estimated that 50% to 80% of subjects with SCI can develop PI at least once in their lives.²,³ PI are expensive and at the same time difficult to heal as SCI causes physiological deficits that delay wound healing. Autologous PRP has been considered as a cost-effective approach for wound therapy.⁴ Growth factors from platelets here has the capabilities to accelerate wound healing. But there are no studies on Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) membrane in PI in SCI populations. PRF has recently been considered as a new generation platelet concentrate.⁵

II. CASE REPORT
Case: A case of SCI with paraplegia, neurogenic bowel and bladder and PI in ischial tuberosity came for rehabilitation care. This PI was a chronic non-healing one with full thickness skin loss which did not show improvement on normal saline dressing for past 1 year.

Intervention: A 10 ml blood sample was taken under aseptic precautions from patient’s antecubital vein without anticoagulant which was immediately (within 2 minutes) centrifuged at 3000 rpm (approximately 400g) for 10 minutes using REMI (R-8C Plus) centrifuge. After centrifugation a gel-like natural fibrin matrix was obtained at mid-portion of the tube with a separator and RBC’s below and a platelet-poor plasma at the top. Gel was converted to membrane by compressing it, then it was kept over the ulcer base and it was covered with a dressing under sterile precautions (Figure 1) and PRF dressing was left in for 7 days. PRF dressing was done at 0 week and at 1 week under all aseptic precautions. Routine rehabilitation care simultaneously was also followed. There were no any adverse events or complications during and after intervention.

Outcome measures: Granulation tissue formation. Assessments were done at baseline (0 week), at 1st week, 2nd week.

Results: Improvement was seen after 1 week of PFR membrane dressing. Granulation tissue formation was noticed and was also noticed at 2nd week as well (Figure 2). There were very little exudates formation after 1 week, but there were no changes in size of PI. There was a change in volume (from baseline, 1.3 cm³ to at 2nd week, 0.7 cm³). There were no adverse reaction after of PRF membrane application.
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III. DISCUSSION

Risk for developing pressure injury is relatively more in people with SCI. The most common areas like sacral area and ischial tuberosities are prone to develop pressure injury in these patients. Delayed healing of a pressure ulcer causes deconditioning that is difficult to restore and can lead to serious, and even life-threatening, medical conditions. Management of Pressure injury is always challenging in elderly, neurological impairment, chronic hospitalization, or in chronic spinal cord injury (SCI). Cost is often high due to need of multiple hospitalizations, surgeries etc. Chronic condition and decreased sensation makes SCI patients more susceptible to develop recurrent pressure ulcers.

PRF is known as second-generation platelet concentrate in a fibrin matrix gel which polymerized to form a tetra molecular architecture. It incorporates platelets, leucocytes, cytokines, and many circulating stem cells. PRF has many advantages over PRP. PRF preparation is simple and it does not require anticoagulant or a thrombin activator. Furthermore, PRF gel is easy to apply on a wound compared to a liquid PRP. Studies have also shown that growth factors concentration is significantly more in PRF compared to PRP and whole blood. Along with growth factors there are many factors of healing that all act in a complex synergy, such as leukocytes, fibrin matrix structure, and circulating progenitor cells. The growth factors along with stiffness of fibrin mesh formation show a different architecture that has specific biomechanical and physiological advantage to the healing process.

This case showed improvement in the condition of the ulcer. Previous study on PRF membrane was in leprosy neuropathic ulcer, but there were no such studies of PRF membrane use in SCI populations.

IV. CONCLUSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case report of PRF membrane use in PI of SCI patient and results are promising. Pressure injury management in developing nations is very expensive many a times hence autologous PRF membrane graft may be a safe and effective promising agent in PI management SCI population. Future research on randomized controlled trials
are needed on these PRF membrane graft in Pressure injury management in SCI population to give more conclusive results.
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