

Split-Deflection Method of Classical Rectangular Plate Analysis

¹Ibearugbulem, Owus M., ²Ibearugbulem, C. N., ³Habib Momoh and ³Asomugha, U.C

^{1,2}Civil Engineering Department, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria

³Civil Engineering Department, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria

Abstract- This paper presents an split-deflection method of classical rectangular plate analysis. In this method, the deflection was split into x and y components of deflection. It was assumed that the deflection of the rectangular plate is the product of these two components. With this assumption, total potential energy functional was derived from principles of theory of elasticity. By direct variation of the potential energy functional, direct governing equation was obtained. Polynomial deflection and trigonometric deflection were respectively used for the x and y components of the deflection for ssss plate. This deflection components were substituted into this direct governing equation and the coefficient of the deflection was obtained to be 0.0132629qa⁴/D. With this coefficient, the maximum central deflection of the plate was obtained to be 0.0041446qa⁴/D. This was compared with the maximum central deflection of Navier's (0.00416qa⁴/D) and Levi's(0.00406qa⁴/D) plates. It was observed that the value from the present study makes lower bound and upper bound differences of 0.37% and 2.08% with the values from Navier and Levi respectively. This shows that this present method is reliable.

Index Terms- Direct variation, split-deflection, total potential energy functional, polynomial function, trigonometric function, deflection

I. INTRODUCTION

The common energy methods in classical plate theory analysis include Galerkin's, Raleigh, Raleigh-Ritz, Ritz methods etc. (Njoku et al., 2013, Ibearugbulem et al., 2014, Ibeabuchi, 2014). These methods use orthogonal functions in form double Furrier series (that product of two mutually perpendicular trigonometric functions) or orthogonal polynomial. The energy equations of the methods used earlier than now are based on the orthogonal deflection functions (Hutchinson, 1992, Jianqiao, 1994, Ugural, 1999, Ventsel and Krauthammer, 2001, Wang et al., 2002, Taylor and Govindjee, 2004, Szilard, 2004, Jiu et al., 2007, Erdem et al., 2007, Ezeh et al., 2013, Ibearugbulem, 2014). However, none of the previous energy methods have used spited deflection functions - that is a deflections function that is typically separated into two independent distinct functions (w = w_x * w_y). In this case w_x may be polynomial and w_y may be trigonometry. In similar way stress and strain terms are also based on individual components of w (deflection) for example using:

$$\epsilon_x = \frac{du}{dx} = -z \frac{d^2w_x}{dx^2} w_y \text{ instead of } \epsilon_x = -z \frac{d^2w}{dx^2}$$

$$\gamma_{xy} = -2z \frac{dw_x}{dx} \frac{dw_y}{dy} \text{ instead of } \gamma_{xy} = -2z \frac{d^2w}{dxdy}$$

The main reason for this modification is to help the analysis who may have difficulty in obtaining orthogonal function for a plate of a particular boundary condition. In this case, the analyst who may have easy access to deflection equations for beams of any boundary condition can find the proposed method quite useful and handy.

SPLIT-DEFLECTION

The assumption here is that the general deflection, w is split into w_x and w_y. That is:

$$w = w_x \cdot w_y \tag{1}$$

Where the x and y components of the deflection are defined as:

$$w_x = \sqrt{A} \cdot h_1 \tag{2}$$

$$w_y = \sqrt{A} \cdot h_2 \tag{3}$$

Substituting equations (2) and (3) into equation (1) gives:

$$w = A h_1 h_2 \tag{4}$$

IN-PLANE DISPLACEMENTS

From the assumption that vertical shear strains are zero for classical plate, we obtain:

$$u = -z \frac{dw}{dx} = -z \frac{dw_x}{dx} w_y \tag{5}$$

$$v = -z \frac{dw}{dy} = -z \frac{dw_y}{dy} w_x \tag{6}$$

STRAIN DEFLECTION RELATIONSHIP

The three in-plane strains of classical plate are obtained by using equations (5) and (6):

$$\epsilon_x = \frac{du}{dx} = -z \frac{d^2w_x}{dx^2} w_y \tag{7}$$

$$\epsilon_y = \frac{dv}{dy} = -z \frac{d^2w_y}{dy^2} w_x \tag{8}$$

$$\gamma_{xy} = \frac{du}{dy} + \frac{dv}{dx} = -2z \frac{dw_x}{dx} \frac{dw_y}{dy} \tag{9}$$

STRESS – STRAIN RELATIONSHIP

The in-plane constitutive equations are:

$$\sigma_x = \frac{E}{1 - \mu^2} [\epsilon_x + \mu \epsilon_y] \tag{10}$$

$$\sigma_y = \frac{E}{1 - \mu^2} [\mu \epsilon_x + \epsilon_y] \tag{11}$$

$$\tau_{xy} = \frac{E(1 - \mu)}{2(1 - \mu^2)} \gamma_{xy} \tag{12}$$

STRESS – DEFLECTION RELATIONSHIP

Substituting equations (7), (8) and (9) into equations (10), (11) and (12) where appropriate gives:

$$\sigma_x = \frac{-Ez}{1 - \mu^2} \left[\frac{d^2 w_x}{dx^2} w_y + \mu \frac{d^2 w_y}{dy^2} w_x \right] \quad 13$$

$$\sigma_y = \frac{-Ez}{1 - \mu^2} \left[\mu \frac{d^2 w_x}{dx^2} w_y + \frac{d^2 w_y}{dy^2} w_x \right] \quad 14$$

$$\tau_{xy} = \frac{-Ez(1 - \mu)}{(1 - \mu^2)} \frac{dw_x}{dx} \frac{dw_y}{dy} \quad 15$$

TOTAL POTENTIAL ENERGY

The strain energy is defined as:

$$U = \frac{1}{2} \int_x \int_y \left[\int_{-\frac{t}{2}}^{\frac{t}{2}} [\sigma_x \epsilon_x + \sigma_y \epsilon_y + \tau_{xy} \epsilon_{xy}] dz \right] dx dy \quad 16$$

For pure bending analysis, the external work is given as:

$$V = \int_x \int_y q w_x w_y dx dy$$

That is

$$V = q \int_x w_x dx \int_y w_y dy \quad 17$$

Substituting equations (10) to (15) into equation (16) gives strain energy – deflection relationship as:

$$U = \frac{D}{2} \int_x \int_y \left[\left(\frac{d^2 w_x}{dx^2} \right)^2 w_y^2 + 2 \left(\frac{dw_x}{dx} \right)^2 \left(\frac{dw_y}{dy} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d^2 w_y}{dy^2} \right)^2 w_x^2 \right] dx dy$$

That is

$$U = \frac{D}{2} \left[\int_x \left(\frac{d^2 w_x}{dx^2} \right)^2 dx \int_y w_y^2 dy \right] + \frac{2D}{2} \left[\int_x \left(\frac{dw_x}{dx} \right)^2 dx \int_y \left(\frac{dw_y}{dy} \right)^2 dy \right] + \frac{D}{2} \left[\int_x w_x^2 dx \int_y \left(\frac{d^2 w_y}{dy^2} \right)^2 dy \right] \quad 18$$

Subtracting equation (17) from Equation (18) gives the total potential energy functional as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \frac{D}{2} \left[\int_x \left(\frac{d^2 w_x}{dx^2} \right)^2 dx \int_y w_y^2 dy \right] \\ &+ \frac{2D}{2} \left[\int_x \left(\frac{dw_x}{dx} \right)^2 dx \int_y \left(\frac{dw_y}{dy} \right)^2 dy \right] \\ &+ \frac{D}{2} \left[\int_x w_x^2 dx \int_y \left(\frac{d^2 w_y}{dy^2} \right)^2 dy \right] \\ &- q \int_x w_x dx \int_y w_y dy \quad 19 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting equations (1) and (2) into equation (19) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \frac{A^2 D}{2} \left[\int_x \left(\frac{d^2 h_1}{dx^2} \right)^2 dx \int_y h_2^2 dy \right] \\ &+ \frac{2A^2 D}{2} \left[\int_x \left(\frac{dh_1}{dx} \right)^2 dx \int_y \left(\frac{dh_2}{dy} \right)^2 dy \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{A^2 D}{2} \left[\int_x h_1^2 dx \int_y \left(\frac{d^2 h_2}{dy^2} \right)^2 dy \right] \\ &- qA \int_x h_1 dx \int_y h_2 dy \quad 20 \end{aligned}$$

Now, equation (20) can be written in non dimensional axes R and Q.

$$\begin{aligned} x &= aR & 21 \\ y &= aQ & 22 \\ P &= b/a & 23 \end{aligned}$$

Where a, b and P are the plate lengths in x and y axes and long span- short span aspect ratio respectively.

Substituting equations (21), (22) and (23) into equation (20) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \frac{abA^2 D}{2a^4} \left[\int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 h_1}{dR^2} \right)^2 dR \int_0^1 h_2^2 dQ \right] \\ &+ 2 \frac{abA^2 D}{2a^4 P^2} \left[\int_0^1 \left(\frac{dh_1}{dR} \right)^2 dR \int_0^1 \left(\frac{dh_2}{dQ} \right)^2 dQ \right] + \frac{abA^2 D}{2a^4 P^4} \left[\int_0^1 h_1^2 dR \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 h_2}{dQ^2} \right)^2 dQ \right] \end{aligned}$$

DIRECT VARIATION OF TOTAL POTENTIAL ENERGY

Equation (24) shall be differentiated with respect to the deflection coefficient, A gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Pi}{dA} &= \frac{AD}{a^4} \left[\int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 h_1}{dR^2} \right)^2 dR \int_0^1 h_2^2 dQ \right] \\ &+ 2 \frac{AD}{a^4 P^2} \left[\int_0^1 \left(\frac{dh_1}{dR} \right)^2 dR \int_0^1 \left(\frac{dh_2}{dQ} \right)^2 dQ \right] \\ &+ \frac{AD}{a^4 P^4} \left[\int_0^1 h_1^2 dR \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 h_2}{dQ^2} \right)^2 dQ \right] \\ &- q \int_0^1 h_1 dR \int_0^1 h_2 dQ = 0 \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{AD}{a^4} \left[\int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 h_1}{dR^2} \right)^2 dR \int_0^1 h_2^2 dQ \right] \\ &+ 2 \frac{AD}{a^4 P^2} \left[\int_0^1 \left(\frac{dh_1}{dR} \right)^2 dR \int_0^1 \left(\frac{dh_2}{dQ} \right)^2 dQ \right] \\ &+ \frac{AD}{a^4 P^4} \left[\int_0^1 h_1^2 dR \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 h_2}{dQ^2} \right)^2 dQ \right] \\ &= q \int_0^1 h_1 dR \int_0^1 h_2 dQ \quad 25 \end{aligned}$$

This equation (25) is the direct governing equation of rectangular plate under pure bending from this present method. Rearranging equation (25) and making the coefficient of deflection the subject of the formula gives:

$$A = \frac{\frac{qa^4}{D} \left(\int_0^1 h_1 dR \int_0^1 h_2 dQ \right)}{k_x + 2 \frac{k_{xy}}{p^2} + \frac{k_y}{p^4}} \quad 26$$

Where

$$k_x = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 h_1}{dR^2} \right)^2 dR \int_0^1 h_2^2 dQ \quad 27$$

$$k_{xy} = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{dh_1}{dR} \right)^2 dR \int_0^1 \left(\frac{dh_2}{dQ} \right)^2 dQ \quad 28$$

$$k_y = \int_0^1 h_1^2 dR \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 h_2}{dQ^2} \right)^2 dQ \quad 29$$

NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Analyze a classical rectangular thin isotropic plate with all the four edge simply supported subjected to uniform distributed load, q. Let the x and y components of deflection be:

$$w_x = \sqrt{A} (R - 2R^3 + R^4) \quad 30$$

$$w_y = \sqrt{A} \sin \pi Q \quad 31$$

From equations (30) (31), h_1 and h_2 are:

$$h_1 = R - 2R^3 + R^4 \quad 32$$

$$h_2 = \sin \pi Q \quad 33$$

With these we obtain:

$$\int_0^1 h_1 dR = 0.02 \text{ and } \int_0^1 h_2 dQ = 0.127273. \text{ Hence,}$$

$$\int_0^1 h_1 dR \int_0^1 h_2 dQ = (0.02) \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right) = 0.127273 \quad 34$$

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 h_1}{dR^2} \right)^2 dR = 4.8 \text{ and } \int_0^1 h_2^2 dQ = 0.5. \text{ Hence,}$$

$$k_x = (4.8)(0.5) = 2.4 \quad 35$$

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{dh_1}{dR} \right)^2 dR = 0.4857142 \text{ and } \int_0^1 \left(\frac{dh_2}{dQ} \right)^2 dQ = 0.5\pi^2.$$

$$\text{Hence, } k_{xy} = (0.4857142)(0.5\pi^2) = 2.399 \quad 36$$

$$\int_0^1 h_1^2 dR = 0.0492063 \text{ and } \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 h_2}{dQ^2} \right)^2 dQ = 0.5\pi^4.$$

$$\text{Hence, } k_y = (0.0492063)(0.5\pi^4) = 2.4 \quad 37$$

Substituting equations (34) to (29) into equation (37) gives

$$A = \frac{0.127273 \frac{qa^4}{D}}{2.4 + \frac{4.798}{p^2} + \frac{2.4}{p^4}} \quad 38$$

The maximum deflection shall occur at the center of the rectangular plate. At the center of the circle, $R = Q = 0.5$. Substituting 0.5 into equations (32) and (33) gives:

$$h_1(0.5) = 0.3125 \quad 39$$

$$h_2(0.5) = 1 \quad 40$$

Substituting equations (38), (39) and (40) into equation (4) gives the equation for maximum deflection as:

$$w_{max} = \frac{0.0397728 \frac{qa^4}{D}}{2.4 + \frac{4.798}{p^2} + \frac{2.4}{p^4}} \quad 41$$

II. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The result of this present study is presented on table 1. A close critical observation of the table reveals that the maximum recorded percentage difference is 0.276. The result from past work was obtain from the work of Ibearugbulem et al. (2014). They used complete orthogonal polynomial deflection equation where as the present study used a part as polynomial function and the other as trigonometric function. This difference in the deflection equations between the previous study and the present one may be responsible for the maximum recorded percentage difference. Again, for aspect ratio of 1.0, the result from the present study is 0.00414qa⁴/D. On comparing this with values from works of Navier's (0.00416qa⁴/D) and Levi's(0.00406qa⁴/D), it was observed that the value from the present study makes lower bound and upper bound differences of 0.37% and 2.08% with the values from Navier and Levi respectively.

Thus, an inference that the results obtained herein is close to those of previous study is drawn. Hence, the present method as present herein is reliable and is recommended for use in classical plate analysis. For future studies, it is recommend that other aspects of thin plate analysis like buckling and free vibration should be carried out using the present method. It is also recommended that this present method is extended to refined plate theory analysis (RPT).

Table 1: Center deflection of ssss isotropic thin plate under uniform distributed load

Aspect ratio, P	Deflection at center (qa ⁴ /D)		Percentage difference
	Present	Past (Ibearugbulem et al., 2014)	
1	0.00414	0.00414	0.000
1.1	0.00497	0.00496	0.202
1.2	0.00577	0.00576	0.174
1.3	0.00654	0.00653	0.153
1.4	0.00727	0.00725	0.276
1.5	0.00794	0.00793	0.126
1.6	0.00857	0.00856	0.117
1.7	0.00915	0.00913	0.219
1.8	0.00968	0.00966	0.207
1.9	0.01016	0.01015	0.099
2	0.01061	0.01059	0.189

REFERENCES

- [1] Erdem, C. Imrak and Ismail Gerdemeli (2007).The problem of isotropic rectangular plate with four clamped edges.S`adhan`a Vol. 32, Part 3, pp. 181-186.
- [2] Ezech, J. C., Ibearugbulem, O. M., Njoku, K. O., and Ettu, L. O. (2013). Dynamic Analysis of Isotropic SSSS Plate Using Taylor Series Shape Function in Galerkin's Functional. International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, 3 (5): 372-375.

- [3] Hutchinson, J. R. (1992). On the bending of rectangular plates with two opposite edges simply supported. *J. Appl. Mech. Trans. ASME* 59: 679–681.
- [4] Ibeabuchi, V. T. (2014). Analysis of Elastic Buckling of Stiffened Rectangular Isotropic Plates Using Virtual Work Principles. A Master's Thesis submitted to the Post graduate School, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria.
- [5] Ibearugbulem, O. M. (2014), Using the product of two mutually perpendicular truncated polynomial series as shape function for rectangular plate analysis, *International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Engineering (IJETE)* ISSN: 2348–8050, ICRTIET-2014 Conference Proceeding, 30th -31st August 2014, 1-4
- [6] Jiu, Hui Wu, A. Q. Liu, and H. L. Chen (2007). Exact Solutions for Free-Vibration Analysis of Rectangular Plates. *Journal of Applied Mechanics* Vol. 74 pp. 1247-1251.
- [7] Njoku, K. O., Ezech, J. C., Ibearugbulem, O. M., Ettu, L. O., and Anyaogu, L. (2013). Free Vibration of Thin Rectangular Isotropic CCCC Plate Using Taylor Series Formulated Shape Function in Galerkin's Method. *Academic Research International*, 4 (4): 126-132.
- [8] Szilard, R. (2004). *Theories and Applications of Plate Analysis*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc. Taylor, R. L. and S. Govindjee (2004). Solution of clamped rectangular plate problems. *Communi. Numer. Meth. Eng.* 20: 757–765.
- [9] Ugural, A. C. (1999). *Stresses in plates and shells*, 2nd ed. Singapore: McGraw-hill.
- [10] Ventsel, E. and T. Krauthammer (2001). *Thin Plates and Shells: Theory, Analysis and Applications*. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- [11] Wang, C. M., Y. C. Wang, and J. N. Reddy (2002). Problems and remedy for the Ritz method in determining stress resultant of corner supported rectangular plates. *Comput. Struct.* 80: 145–154
- [12] Ye, Jianqiao (1994). Large deflection of imperfect plates by iterative BE-FE method. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, Vol. 120, No. 3 (March).

AUTHORS

First Author – Ibearugbulem, Owus M, Civil Engineering Department, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria
Second Author – Ibearugbulem, C. N, Civil Engineering Department, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria
Third Author – Habib Momoh, Civil Engineering Department, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria
Fourth Author – Asomugha, U.C, Civil Engineering Department, Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria