Detection and analysis of leaf curl virus from Jatropha

Kumud Nigam[1], Saurabh Srivastava[1], Devendra Kumar[2], Yogendra Verma[1], Shalini Gupta[1]

[1]Department of Oral Pathology & Microbiology, King George's Medical University, UP, Lucknow, India
[2]Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, King George's Medical University, UP, Lucknow, India

Abstract- Geminiviruses are a group of plant viruses that contain circular single stranded (ss) DNA genomes encapsidated in small twinned icosahedral capsids .The virus DNA A plus strand encodes the coat protein (CP/AV1) essential for viral transmission by whiteflies (*Bemisia tabaci*)[4]. Jatropha has been found to have strong molluscicidal activity and the latex to be strongly inhibitory to watermelon mosaic virus. It is also listed as a homicide, piscicide and raticide. Jatropha is considered as a poor quality fuelwood since the soft wood burns too rapidly. In Africa, Jatropha is widely planted as a "living fence" and hedgerows to protect food crops from damage by livestock and as a windbreak to prevent soil erosion moisture depletion. In Madagascar, Jatropha is used as a support plant for Vanilla. Jatropha is grown both as a vegetable and a spice crop[1]. Out of the 22 viruses infecting jatropha, mosaic and leaf curl disease are the most devastating.[5]Virus type--Single stranded DNA virus,Classification-Geminiviridae (Genus), Begomovirus (Family)[10][3] .Viral composition--Has a monopartite viral genome size of 2,750bp. Also has a satellite molecule, DNA-β satellite with a genome of 1361bp.Disease symptoms-- Upward curling, vein clearing and reduced leaf size. Stunted growth and no fruit produced in severely infected plants. The virus is obligately transmitted by an insect vector, which can be the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* or can be other whiteflies. This vector allows rapid and efficient propagation of the virus because it is an indiscriminate feeder. Natural conditions such as temperature of 25-35 Degrees C favor high vector population. Infected plant exhibits stunted young leaves and shoots. It grows very slowly, becomes bushy, and dwarfed. The leaf margin rolls either inward or upwards and is rather stiff with yellowish margin. Its leaves are thicker than normal, with leathery texture. The young leaves have yellowish color, cupped, thick, and rubbery. Isolate genomic DNA from jatropha plant from différent sample from diffrerent fields of lucknow. Then I have done quantitative and qualitative estimation of isolated DNA using nanodrop spectrophotometer and Agarose Gel Electrophoresis,respectively. Restriction digestion, PCR amplification of viral DNA with Begomovirus DNA-A specific primers, RAPD of a viral DNA.

Index Terms- Jatropha leaf, DNA extraction, PCR, Restriction digestion, RAPD.

I. Introduction

Jatropha (*Jatropha curcas* L.) also known as physic nut is a drought resistant perennial plant, which is popularly cultivated in the tropics as a living fence. The tree is of significant economic importance for its numerous industrial and medicinal uses. The oil extracted from Jatropha seeds is being used as biofuel for diesel engines thus jatropha has a great potential to contribute to the renewable energy source. In India the area under the cultivation of jatropha is increasing in recent years with the ever increasing demand for fossil fuels that are exhausting at a rapid rate.

Jatropha suffers from several fungal and bacterial diseases and more recently by the jatropha mosaic India virus (JMIV), which causes Jatropha mosaic disease (JMD) [2]. JMD was first reported from Karnataka state, South India in 2004 and was shown to be associated with a begomovirus based on virus transmission by the whitefly, **Bemisia tabaci** (Gennadius) and virus detection by **polymerase chain reaction** (PCR) tests [2]. However, the nature of the virus was unknown and its phylogenetic relationship with other begomoviruses was not established. Elsewhere, JMD was first reported on Jatropha from Puerto Rico and subsequently from Cuba and Jamaica. JMD in the Americas was shown to be associated with Jatropha mosaic virus (JMV), a bipartite begomovirus, which was also transmitted by *B. tabaci* in a semi persistent manner. **[29]**There is a growing intrest in Jatropha curcus as a biodiesel"miracle tree" to help alleviate the energycrisis and generate income in rural areas of developing countries. Jatropha oil is unclear how much genetics play in the amount of oil contained in Jatropha seed and kernels; never the less, estimate of the oil content in seeds range from 35-40% oil and the kernels 55-60%[28].Jatropha nuts can be strung on grass and burned like candlenuts, and the oil to make candles. Unrefined Jatropha oil can only be used in certain types of diesel engines, such as Lister type engines. The glycerin that is a by-product of biodiesel can be used to make soap, and soap can be produced from Jatropha oil itself. The seed oil can be applied to treat eczema and skin diseases and to soothe rheumatic pain. The oil and aqueous extract from oil has potential as an insecticide. Jatropha oil is also used to soften leather and lubricate machinery. [31]Viruses of the genus *Begomovirus* (family *Geminiviridae*) typically have bipartite, circular single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) genomes with all functions required for virus replication, control of **gene expression** and encapsidation encoded on DNA-A and genes involved in intra- and intercellular movement encoded on DNA-B[24] . More recently many monopartite begomoviruses that have single DNA molecule are reported from bhendi, cotton and tomato [35]. These are associated with additional satellite molecules called DNA-β, which in some cases modulate symptom expression [13]. All begomoviruses encode a coat protein (CP) in which packages all the genomic and satellite molecules. The CP acts as the coat of the virus particle and is essential for virus transmission from diseased to healthy plants by *B. tabaci*. The CP is highly conserved amongst the begomoviruses originating from the same geographical region and thus been adapted to transmission by local vector

populations [36]. The CP is therefore an essential component of begomovirus survival and has been used widely to characterise and establish the relationships of many begomoviruses . The core region of the CP sequences have also been used and shown useful for begomovirus diversity and classification purposes . In this study, JMIV was detected by PCR tests using two sets of begomovirus-specific degenerate primers. The core CP sequences were obtained and the phylogenetic relationship of JMIV with those of the American JMV and other begomoviruses was established. In addition, the JMD incidences, symptomatology, virus transmission by *B. tabaci* and a dodder parasitic plant have been demonstrated. Disease symptoms--Upward curling, vein clearing and reduced leaf size. Stunted growth and no fruit produced in severely infected plants.

II. METHODOLOGY

Collect the fresh leaves from jatropha samples collected.Wash the leaves properly with distilled water and soak them on the tissue paper. Weigh 150mg of leaves, add DNA extraction buffer(1.5ml) and grind them using pestle and mortar. Take 1ml of slurry in an ependorff tube. Add 66uL of 20% SDS .Vortex it and incubate it for 15 min at 65 C. Add one third volume (354uL) of 5M potassium acetate. Centrifuge at room temperature at 13,000 rpm for 15 min. Take 800uL supernatant in fresh tube. Add equal volume of phenol and chloroform (400uL each).Spin at 13,000 rpm for 15 min at room temperature. Take 650uL supernatant in fresh ependorff tube. Add equal volume of chloroform and isoamyl alcohol (24:1).Spin at 10,000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. Take 500uL of supernatant in fresh tube. Precipitate DNA by adding 0.6 volume of ice cold isopropanol i.e,300 uL. Spin at 15,000 rpm for 15 min at room temperature.Discard supernatant and wash pellet with 200uL 70% ethanol (ice cold).Centrifuge at 7000 rpm for 3 min at room temperature. Dry pellet in dry bath at 50-55 C for 2 min. Resuspend the pellet in 30uL double distilled water.Incubate at 50 ℃ for 5-8 min.Tap the pellet after 2-3 min.Quantify the amount of DNA by nanodrom spectrometer.Store DNA at -20 ℃ for future use. 160 mg of agarose was weighed and dissolved into 20 ml 1X TAE buffer by heating with continuous swirling till a clear solution was obtained. To this solution, 2 µl of EtBr was added. The comb was placed into the casting tray. The use of comb depends on the volume of DNA to be loaded and the number of samples. The molten agarose was poured on the gel casting platform with an inserted comb, ensuring that no air bubble have entrapped underneath the comb. After 30-45 minutes, when the gel sets/ hardens the comb was drawn taking care that the wells do not tear off. The gel was placed in electrophoresis tank. The gel tank was filled with sufficient volume of electrophoresis buffer i.e.,1XTAE buffer.For the PCR amplification TLCV primer was used, the following step was done.An initial denaturation step(94^0C,5 minute)was followed by 30 cycles of amplification (50 second at 94^0C.1 minute at 55^0C,and 1.5 minute at 72^0C).The temperature was maintained at 72^0C for 5 minutes after the last cycle. For RAPD-Setting up PCR, prepare a cocktail of PCR for 5 PCRs with five different target DNA samples. The variable components is to be added separated Sterile water,10X assay buffer,10Mm Dntp, Random primer, Taq DNA polymerase. Mix the content uniformly and gently. All the above addition to be done on ice. Aliqout 20 µL of the above reaction mix to each of the five different PCR vials placed on ice and label the vials 1,2,3,4 and 5.Add 1 µL of serratia marcescenes genomic DNA to vial labeled 1.similarly add 1 µL each of genomic DNAs of Bacillus subtilis, E.coli B,E.coli K12,Test DNA Sample to the vials labeled 2,3,4 and 5 respectively. Mix the contents gently and overlay with 50 µL of mineral oil to prevent evaporation. Centrifuge the sample briefly(6000 rpm for 30 sec at 4^oC) to bring down the contents of the tube. Carry out the amplification using a thermocycler for 45 cycles aacording to the following condition. An initial denaturation step(95^0C at1 minute and denaturation 95^0C at 1 minute, annealing 45^0C at 1minute and extension 72^0C at 2 minute). The temperature was maintained at 72^0C for 5 minutes after the last cycle Following PCR amplification, add 5 µL of gel loading buffer to each of the PCR vials. Prepare 2% agarose gel. Mix the contents thoroughly and stands for few seconds for the two layers to separate. Carefully pipette out 15 µL of reaction mixture and load onto 2% agarose gel. Load 10 µL of the ready to use Low Range DNA ruler provided note down the order in which the samples are loaded. Run the sample at 100 volts for 25 to 30 min. till the tracking dye reaches the end of agarose gel. Visualize the gel under UV transilluminator.

III. RESULT

The success of the isolation and extraction process of genomic DNA can be marked with resultant large DNA (high molecular weight DNA), that is not degraded during extraction and purification process, and can be cut by restriction enzymes that has been used.(FIG-1)To amplify the viral DNA from the DNA sample thus obtained, DNA-A specific primer was used.(FIG-2,3) DNA can be cut with restriction enzymes is visible from at least smear results of electrophoresis bands after DNA cut with *Eco*RI enzyme . *Eco*RI produce DNA bands when smears were electrophoreses because this restriction enzyme included in the frequent cutter. Ladder marker used 9,824bp. (FIG-4) The viral genome was not amplified using specific primers.771 bp ladder marker used. (FIG-5) No amplification was found after using DNA-A specific primers. The Phenol-based method facilitated quick and effective removal of cellular debris from the slurry as compared to Potassium acetate. But the amount of DNA precipitated was very low. Unavailability of any salt to help in precipitation was considered to be one of the main reasons for such an observation. The quality of DNA obtained from this method was satisfactory. The quantity (concentration) and quality of DNA determined by UV-Vis spectrophotometer at wavelength 260 and 280 nm. Determination of the total DNA quantity was calculated based on the value of absorbance at a wavelength of 260 nm. The highest DNA purity can be seen in the A260/280 ratio that produces the value of 1.8 to 2. Since the quality of DNA obtained from alcohol method was the best, so the quantification of all the samples of DNA samples obtained and quantification was done using nanodrop Spectrophotometer. Also these samples were only used for further study. The observations of nanodrop are as follows:(Table-1)

IV. DISCUSSION

Jatropha have a high nutritional and economic value in India. With improved cultivars, especially hybrid cultivars, and higher input use and intensification of cultural practices, the yield level can be increased considerably. This is an exciting time for geminivirus research. Past work has taught us much about the mechanism of viral DNA replication and about the general roles of viral proteins in this process and has paved the way for new and important questions. What are the precise functions and activities of viral proteins involved in replication? How are these activities regulated in multifunctional viral proteins? How do viral proteins interact with host proteins during replication, and how do these interactions subvert the host replication machinery for the purpose of viral DNA replication.[3] Geminivirus replication, as might be expected, appears to occur preferentially in cells that are actively synthesizing cellular DNA. Recent evidence suggests that Rep can induce the accumulation of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA, a polymerase-6 processivity factor) in transgenic tobacco plants. Do geminiviruses, like the mammalian DNA tumor viruses, possess the ability to prepare the host for viral DNA replication by stimulating normally quiescent cells to enter S phase? If so, what other viral and host proteins are involved? These and other questions are currently under investigation in a number of laboratories. What is learned during the next few years promises to teach us a great deal more about the mechanisms of viral DNA replication and pathogenesis and will provide new insights into host-pathogen interactions and the fundamental mechanisms of plant DNA replication and its control. Also a new success in the study of geminivirus is obtained with the discovery of the phenomenon of RNA silencing [8] Begomoviruses are also, both inducers and targets of RNAi. The begomovirus siRNAs are of 21, 22 and 24 nucleotide in length. Moreover, many segments of the viral DNAs also are methylated in a siRNA dependent manner in response to infection. However, unlike the case in mammalian systems, the host microRNAs that interfere with replication and spread of plant viruses are not known yet.[19] In response to plant antiviral RNA silencing, viruses are not behind in waging an arms race to neutralize host defenses. They have evolved several RNAi evading mechanisms like evolution of siRNA resistant satellite genomes, defective interfering RNAs, loss of target sequences by high mutation rate, formation of RISC-inaccessible secondary structures, associating with protein complexes posing steric hindrance, encapsidation and partitioning their replicative cycles in vesicles, chloroplasts and nucleus. Suppressors can reverse gene silencing effects and allow high transgene expression – a desired goal of molecular farming. Thus, RNAi suppressors and their hosts with antiviral RNAi, the former seems to be having an edge, at least as seen from the human angle. The enormous loss of our crops to begomoviral diseases necessitates development of intervention strategies to efficiently contain the virus. Spray of insecticides to get rid of the virus transmitting whitefly vector, is neither an effective nor an eco-friendly approach. Unfortunately, stable natural resistance sources for begomoviruses are few and plant breeders have not been successful in introgressing these largely multigenic traits into elite cultivars. Hence, modern biotechnology needs to offer an attractive alternative of engineering begomovirus resistance through transgenic route.[33]Pathogen-derived resistance (PDR) through the expression of various full length/truncated or defective viral proteins like Rep mutants of maize steak virus has been achieved. Antisense and RNAi technology has also been used but without consistent success. An exciting new approach using another set of small RNAs called artificial microRNAs (amiRs) to achieve virus resistance has been proved successful for few viruses.[34] This approach has not been used on geminiviruses so far.

Apart from these strategies many other approaches like use of ribozymes, DNA binding proteins, peptide aptamers; GroEL, etc. have been attempted. A hammerhead ribozyme directed against Rep showed ~33% cleavage activity on synthetic *rep* transcript, while the *Bemisia tabaci* GroEL gene, expressed in transgenic tomatoes under the control of a phloem-specific promoter, protected the plants from yellow leaf curl infection. All these strategies have their share of advantages as well as disadvantages and it seems that stacking together of multiple approaches would only provide a durable resistance against begomoviruses, given their extremely high penchant for rapid mutation and recombination.

V. CONCLUSION

To conclude, our finding Diseases caused by geminiviruses have long been recognized as a limitation to the cultivation of several important crops, including maize, cassava; bean, squash, cucurbits, and tomato, in tropical and subtropical regions of the world. More recently, geminivirus diseases, particularly those transmitted by whiteflies, have become an even greater threat to agriculture due to the appearance of a new and more aggressive whitefly biotype. This has renewed interest in the study of geminivirus pathogenesis and epidemiology and has stimulated work on the development of virus-resistant crop plants. Due to all these factors, geminivirus was chosen as the subject of study.
During the tenure of this training, following achievements were credited, Recognition of disease symptoms in field samples was done. Total genomic DNA was isolated from the diseased samples. It was shown that the method employing Potassium acetate was most potent for the isolation of DNA under the present laboratory conditions and facilities provided. The viral genome was not amplified using specific primers. No amplification was found after using DNA-A specific primers

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am thankful and express my heartly gratitude to **Dr. P.K. Seth, CEO Biotech Park & Former Director ITRC Lucknow**, for providing me the opportunity of work at an institute with an esteemed status in the field of Molecular Biology. My sincere thanks to **Dr. Uma Shankar** (Consultant Scientist, Biotech Park) who supported me both technically and morally for completing my work. I express my profound indebtedness to **Mr. Owais Khan** for constant help and guidance during entire period. I convey my thanks and regards to my family for their constant support, wishes and encouragement, which are an invaluable possession to me than anything else.

REFERENCES

[1] Varma A, Malathi VG. Emerging geminivirus problems. A serious threat to crop production.Ann Appl Biol 2003, 142:145-164.

[2] Aswatha Narayana DS, Rangaswamy KS, Shankarappa MN, Maruthi MN, Reddy CNL, Rekha AR, Murthy KVK. Distinct Begmoviruses closely related to cassava mosaic virusescauses Indian Jatropha Mosaic Disease.Int J Virol 2007, 3:1-11.

[3] Yadava P, Suyal G, Mukherjee SK. Begomovirus DNA replication and pathogenicity.Current Science 2010, 98:360-368.

[4] Höfer P, Bedford ID, Markham PG, Jeske H, Frischmuth T. Coat protein gene replacement results in whitefly transmission of an insect non transmissible geminivirus isolate.Virology J 1997, 236:288-295.

[5] Paszkowski U, Zhang SB, Potrykus I, Paszkowski J. Replication of the DNA A component of African cassava mosaic virus in a heterologous system.J Gen Virol 1993, 74:2725-2729.

[6] Etessami P, Saunders K, Watts J, Stanely J. Mutational analysis of complementary - sense of genes of African cassava mosaic virus DNA A.

J Gen Virol 1991, 72:1005-1012.

[7] Vionnet O, Pinto YM, Baulcombe DC. Suppression of gene silencing: A general strategy used by diverse DNA and RNA viruses of plants.

P Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96:14147-14152.

[8] Vanitharani R, Chellappan P, Fauquet CM. Geminiviruses and RNA silencing. Trends Plant Sci 2005, 10:144-151.

[9] Vanitharani R, Chellappan P, Pita JS, Fauquet CM. Differential roles of AC2 and AC4 of cassava geminiviruses in mediating synergism and suppression of posttranscriptional gene silencing.J Virol 2004, 78:9487-9498.

[10] Fauquet CM, Briddon RW, Brown JK, Moriones E, Stanley J, Zerbini M, Zhou X.Geminivirus strain demarcation and nomenclature.Arch Virol 2008, 153:783-821.

[11] Zhuo X, Liu Y, Calvert L, Munoz C, Otim-Nape GW, Robinson DJ, Harrison BD. Evidence that DNA - A of a geminivirus associated with severe cassava mosaic disease in Uganda has arisen by interspecific recombination.J Gen Virol 1997, 78:2101-2111

[12] Ndunguru J, Legg JP, Aveling TAS, Thompson G, Fauquet CM. Molecular biodiversity of cassava begomoviruses in Tanzania: evolution of cassava geminiviruses in Africa and evidence for East Africa being a center of diversity of cassava geminiviruses.Virology J 2005, 2:1-23.

[13] Bull SE, Briddon RW, Ssserubombwe WS, Ngugi K, Markham PG, Stanley J. Genetic diversity and phylogeography of cassava mosaic viruses in Kenya.

J Gen Virol 2006, 87:3053-3065

[14] Harrison BD, Zhou X, Otim-Nape GW, Liu Y, Robinson DJ. Role of a novel type of double infection in geminivirus induced epidemic of severe cassava mosaic in Uganda.Ann App Biol 1997, 131:437-448.

[15] Fondong VN, Pita JS, Rey ME, de Kochko A, Beachy RN, Fauquet CM. Evidence of synergism between African cassava mosaic virus and a new double-recombinant geminivirus infecting cassava in Cameroon.J Gen Virol 2000, 81:287-297.

[16] Pita JS, Fondong VN, Sangaré A, Otim-Nape GW, Ogwal S, Fauquet CM. Recombination, pseudorecombination and synergism of geminiviruses are determinant keys to the epidemic of severe cassava mosaic disease in Uganda.

J Gen Virol 2001, 82:655-665

[17] Legg JP, Fauquet CM. Cassava mosaic geminiviruses in Africa.Plant Mol Biol 2004, 56:585-599.

[18] Untiveros M, Fuentes S, Salazar LF. Synergistic interaction of Sweet potato chlorotic stunt virus (vinivirus) with Carla-. Cucumo-, ipomo-, and potyviruses infecting sweet potato.Plant Dis 2007, 91:669-676.

[19] Vionnet O. RNA silencing as a plant immune system against viruses.Trends Genet 2001, 17:449-459.

[20] Bisaro DM. Silencing suppression by geminivirus proteins.

Virology 2006, 344:158-168

[21] Fondong VN, Chowda Reddy RV, Lu C, Hankoua B, Felton C. The consensus N -myristoylation motif of a geminivirus AC4 protein is required for membrane binding and pathogenicity.Mol Plant Microbe Int 2007, 20:380-391.

[22] Ndunguru J, Legg JP, Fofana IBF, Aveling TAS, Thompson G, Fauquet CM. Identification of a defective molecule derived from DNA - A of bipartite begomovirus of East African cassava mosaic virus.Plant pathol 2006, 55:2-10.

[23] Stanley J, Frischmuth T, Ellwood S. Defective viral DNA ameliorates symptoms of geminivirus infection in transgenic plants.P Natl Acad Sci USA 1990, 87:6291-629

[24] Patil BL, Dutt N, Briddon RW, Bull SE, Rothenstein D, Borah BK, Dasgupta I, Stanley J, Jeske H. Deletion and recombination events between the DNA - A and DNA - B components of Indian cassava - infecting geminiviruses generate defective molecules in Nicotiana benthamiana.Virus Res 2007, 124:59-67 |

[25] Mbanzibwa DR, Tian YP, Tugume AK, Mukasa SB, Tairo F, Kyamanywa S, Kullaya A, Valkonen JP. Genetically distinct strains of Cassava brown streak virus in the Lake Victoria basin and the Indian Ocean coastal area of East Africa.Arch Virol 2009, 154:353-359.

[26] Winter S, Koerbler M, Stein B, Pietruszka A, Paape M, Butgereitt A. Analysis of Cassava brown streak viruses reveals the presence of distinct virus species causing cassava brown streak disease in East Africa.J Gen Virol 2010, 91:1365-1372.

[27] Mbanzibwa DR, Tian YP, Tugume AK, Patil BL, Yadav JS, Bagewadi B, Abarshi MM, Alicia T, Changadeya W, Mukumbira J, Muli MB, Mukasa SB, Tairo F, Baguma Y, Kyamanywa S, Kullaya A, Maruthi MN, Fauquet CM, Valkonen JPT. Evolution of cassava brown streak disease - associated viruses.

J Gen Virol 2011, 92:974-987

[28] Openshaw K. A review of Jatropha curcas: an oil plant of unfulfilled promise.

Biomass Energy 2000, 19:1-15.

[29] Gao S, Qu J, Chua NH, Ye J. A new strain of Indian cassava mosaic virus causes a mosaic disease in the biodisel crop Jatropha curcas.Arch Virol 2010, 155:607-612.

[30] Monde G, Walangululu J, Winter S, Bragard C. Dual infection by cassava begomoviruses in two leguminous species (Fabaceae) in Yangambi, North Eastern democratic republic of Congo.

Arch Virol 2010, 155:1865-1869.

[31] Alabi OJ, Ogbe FO, Bandyopadhyay R, Lava KP, Dixon AGO, Hughes JA, Naidu RA.Alternate hosts of African cassava mosaic virus and East African mosaic Cameroon virus in Nigeria.Arch Virol 2008, 153:1743-1747.

[32] Stanley J, Gay MR. Nucleotide sequence of cassava latent virus DNA.Nature 1983, 301:260-26

[33] Morinaga T, Ikegami M, Shimotohno K, Miura K. Total nucleotide sequences of the infectious cloned DNAs of Bean golden mosaic virus.Microbiol Immunol 1987, 31:147-15

[34] Sserubombwe WS, Briddon RW, Baguma YK, Ssemakula GN, Bull SE, Bua A, Alicai T, Omongo C, Otim-Nape GW, Stanley J. Diversity of begomoviruses associated with mosaic disease of cultivated cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) and its wild relative (Manihot glaziovii Müll. Arg.) in Uganda.J Gen Virol 2008, 89:1759-1769.

[35] Maruthi MN, Seal S, Colvin J, Briddon RW, Bull SE. East African cassava mosaic Zanzibar virus - a recombinant begomovirus species with mild phenotype.Arch Virol 2004, 149:2365-2377.

[36] Hong YG, Robinson DJ, Harrison BD. Nucleotide sequence evidence for the occurrence of three distinct whitefly - transmitted geminivirus in cassava.J Gen Virol 1993, 74:2437-244

[37] Saunders K, Salim N, Mali VR, Malathi VG, Briddon R, Markham PG, Stanley J:Characterisation of Sri Lankan cassava mosaic virus and Indian cassava mosaic virus: evidence for acquisition of DNA B component by a monopartite begomovirus.Virology 2002, 293:63-74.

[38] Berrie LC, Rybicki EP, Rey ME. Complete nucleotide sequence and host range of South African cassava mosaic virus: further evidence for recombination among begomoviruses. J Gen Virol 2001, 82:53-58.

[39] Rothenstein D, Haible D, Dasgupta I, Dutt N, Patil BL, Jeske H. Biodiversity and recombination of cassava - infecting begomoviruses from Southern India. Arch Virol 2006, 151:55-69.

[40] Bock KR, Guthrie EJ, Figueiredo G. A strain of Cassava latent virus occurring in coastal districts of Kenya.Ann Appl Biol 1981, 99:151-159.

[41] Raj SK, Snehi SK, Kumar S, Khan MS, Pathre U. First molecular identification of begomovirus in India that is closely related to Cassava

mosaic virus and causes mosaic and stunting of Jatropha curcas L.Australas Plant Dis Notes 2008, 3:69-72.

[42] Raj SK, Kumar S, Snehi SK, Pathre U. First report of Cucumber mosaic virus on Jatropha curcas in India.Plant Dis 2008, 92:171.

[43] Lozano G, Trenado HP, Valverde RA, Navas-Castillo J. Novel begomovirus species of recombinant nature in sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas) and Ipomoea indica: taxonomic and phylogenetic implications.J Gen Virol 2009, 90:2550-2562.

[44] Were HK, Winter S, Maiss E. Viruses infecting cassava in Kenya.
Plant Dis 2004, 88:17-22.

[45] Were HK, Winter S, Maiss E. Distribution of begomoviruses infecting cassava in Africa.J Plant Pathol 2003, 85:145-151.

[46] Behjatnia SA, Dry IB, Rezaian MA. Characterisation and transient replication of Tomato leaf curl virus defective DNA.Arch Virol 2007, 152:1127-1138.

[47] Monger WA, Seal S, Cotton S, Foster GD. Identification of different isolates of Cassava brown streak virus and development of a diagnostic test.Plant Pathol 2001, 50:768-775.

[48] Choi SK, Choi JK, Park WM, Ryu KH. RT-PCR detection and identification of three species of cucumoviruses with a genus - specific single pair of primers.
J Virol Methods 1999, 83:67-73

Title of the Manuscript:
Detection and analysis of leaf curl virus from Jatropha.

Running Title:
Detectionandanalysisofleafcurlvirusfromjatropha.

Type of Articles:
Original Paper Article

World Count: 4368
Conflict of interest: None

AUTHORS

First Author – Kumud Nigam, Department of Oral Pathology & Microbiology, King George's Medical University, UP, Lucknow, India, Email: simran.nigam39@gmail.com
Second Author – Saurabh Srivastava , Department of Oral Pathology & Microbiology, King George's Medical University, UP, Lucknow, India, Email: saurabhadd09@gmail.com
Third Author – Devendra Kumar, Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, King George's Medical University, UP, Lucknow, India, Email: devendrdubey@gmail.com
Fourth Author – Dr. Yogendra Verma, Department of Oral Pathology & Microbiology, King George's Medical University, UP, Lucknow, India, Email: dr.yogy@gmail.com
Fifth Author – Dr. Shalini Gupta, Department of Oral Pathology & Microbiology, King George's Medical University, UP, Lucknow, India, Email: sgmds2002@yahoo.co.in

Correspondence Author – Dr. Shalini Gupta, Associate Professor, Department of Oral Pathology, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, India, Mob: +91-9453556510
Email: sgmds2002@yahoo.co.in

Table:1
The quantification of all the samples of DNA samples obtained and quantification was done using Nanodrop Spectrophotometer. Also these samples were only used for further study. The observations of Nanodrop are as follows:(Table-1)

Sample	AbsorbanceA-260	AbsorbanceA-280	260/230	260/280	ConcentrationNg/µl
BKT-H	1.628	0.944	1.72	0.87	105.5
BKT-I	1.944	1.037	1.87	1.78	97.2
IT-H	1.558	0.811	1.92	0.92	112.7
IT-I	2.125	1.269	1.67	1.12	106.2
CH-H	2.14	1.623	1.80	1.34	98.0
CH-I	1.334	0.981	1.76	1.11	100.9
RT-H	1.230	0.666	1.85	1.10	61.5
RT-I	3.374	1.912	1.76	0.73	168.7

Fig: 1-Detection Primers

Fig:2-Result of restriction digestion



Fig:-3 Result of restriction digestion



Fig:4-Result of pcr of infected jatropha plant



Fig:-5 Result of rapd of infected jatropha sample DNA