

# New Subclasses of Meromorphically Multivalent Functions Defined by a Differential Operator

Dr. S. M. Khairnar\*, R. A. Sukne\*\*

\*Professor and Head  
And Dean (R & D)

MIT's Maharashtra Academy of Engineering,  
Alandi, Pune-412105

\*\*Assistant Professor in Mathematics Dilkap Research Institute of Engineering & Management Studies,  
Neral, Tal. Karjat, Dist. Raigad.

**Abstract-**In this paper authors introduced two new subclasses  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi}^+ m_p(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  and  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi}^- m_p(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  of meromorphically multivalent functions which are defined by means of a new differential operator. By making use of the principle of differential subordination, authors investigate several inclusion relationships and properties of certain subclasses which are defined here by means of a differential operator. Some results connected to subordination properties, coefficient estimates, convolution properties, integral representation, distortion theorems are obtained. We also extend the familiar concept of  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhoods of analytic functions to these subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions.

**Index Terms-**Analytic functions, meromorphic functions, multivalent functions, differential operator, subordination, neighborhoods.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\tilde{A}$  be the class of analytic functions in the unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Consider  $\Omega = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1, z \in U\}$  the class of Schwarz functions. For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  let  $P(\alpha) = \{p \in \tilde{A} : p(0) = 1 \text{ and } \text{Re}\{p(z)\} > \alpha, z \in U\}$ .

Note that  $P = P(0)$  is the well-known Caratheodory class of functions. The classes of Schwarz and Caratheodory functions play an extremely important role in the theory of analytic functions and have been studied by many authors. It is easy to see that  $p \in P(\alpha)$  if and only if  $\frac{p(z)-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \in P$ . (1.3) Using the properties of functions in the class  $P$  and the above condition, the following properties of the functions in the class  $P(\alpha)$  can be obtained.

Lemma 1.1 Let  $p \in \tilde{A}$ . Then  $p \in P(\alpha)$  if and only if there exists  $w \in \Omega$  such that  $p(z) = \frac{1-(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)w(z)}{1-w(z)}$  (1.4)

Lemma 1.2 (Herglotz formula) A function  $p \in \tilde{A}$  belongs to the class  $P(\alpha)$  if and only if there exists a probability measure  $\mu(x)$  on  $\partial U$  such that  $p(z) = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{1-(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)x(z)}{1-x(z)} d\mu(x)$  ( $z \in U$ ). (1.5)

The correspondence between  $P(\alpha)$  and probability measure  $\mu(x)$  on  $\partial U$ , given by (1.5) is one-to-one. If  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $\tilde{A}$ . We say that  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$ , written  $f \in g$ , if there exists a function  $w \in \Omega$  such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$  ( $z \in U$ ). It is

known that if  $f \in g$ , then  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(U) \subset g(U)$ . In particular, if  $g$  is univalent in  $U$  we have the following equivalence:  $f(z) \prec g(z)$  ( $z \in U$ ) if and only if  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(U) \subset g(U)$ . Let  $\Sigma_p$  denote the class of all meromorphic functions  $f$  of the form  $f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  ( $a_k \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}$ ) (1.6) which are analytic and  $p$ -valent in the punctured unit disk  $U^* = U \setminus \{0\}$ . Denote by  $\Sigma_p^+$  the subclass of  $\Sigma_p$  consisting of functions of the form  $f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \geq 0$  ( $z \in U^*$ ) (1.7) A function  $f \in \Sigma_p$  is meromorphically multivalent starlike of order  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) (see [2]) if  $-\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{p} \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha$  ( $z \in U$ ).

The class of all such functions is denoted by  $\Sigma_p^+(\alpha)$ . If  $f \in \Sigma_p$  is given by (1.6) and  $g \in \Sigma_p$  is given by

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by  $(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \geq 0$  ( $p \in \mathbb{N}, z \in U^*$ ). For a function  $f \in \Sigma_p$ , we define the differential operator  $D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi}^m$  in the following way:  $D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi}^0 f(z) = f(z)$

$$D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi}^1 f(z) = D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi} f(z) = (\tau - \xi)(\tau - \zeta z p + 1) f' z^p - 1$$

$$+ \frac{(\tau - \xi) - \varrho^2(\tau - \zeta)}{\varrho} \frac{[z^{p+1} f(z)]'}{z^p} + \left[ \frac{\varrho - (\tau - \xi) - \varrho^2(\tau - \zeta)}{\varrho} \right] f(z). \quad (1.8)$$

And in general

$$D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi}^m f(z) = D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi} [D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi}^{m-1} f(z)], \quad (1.9)$$

where,  $\left( 0 < \varrho \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \xi < 1, \tau \geq 1, 0 \leq \zeta < 1, 0 \leq \eta < 1 \right)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

If the function  $f \in \Sigma_p$  is given by (1.6) then, from (1.8) and (1.9), we

obtain  $D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi}^m f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p) a_k z^k$  (1.10) ( $m \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathbb{N}, z \in U^*$ , where  $\Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p) =$

$$\left\{ 1 + \left[ (k+p) \left( \frac{(\tau - \xi) - \varrho^2(\tau - \zeta)}{\varrho} + (k+p+1)(\tau - \xi)(\tau - \zeta) \right) \right]^m \right\} \quad (1.11)$$

From (1.10) it follows that  $D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi}^m f(z)$  can be written in terms

ofconvolution as  $D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) = (f * h)(z)$ (1.12)where  $h(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)z^k$ .(1.13)Note that, the case  $\xi = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\tau = \zeta$  of the differential operator  $D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m$  was introduced by Srivastava and Patel [18]. Making use of the differential operator  $D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)$  for  $p = 1$  was considered in [16].For differential operator  $D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)$ , we define a new subclass of the function class  $\Sigma_p$  as follows.

**Definition 1.1** A function  $f \in \Sigma_p$  is said to be in the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  if it satisfies

$$\text{the condition } \left| \frac{\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} + 2p(1-\eta)}{\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} + 8p(1-\eta)^2\alpha - 2p(1-\eta)} \right| < \beta \text{ for some } z \in U^* \text{.(1.14)}$$

And  $\left( 0 < \varrho \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \xi < 1, \tau \geq 1, 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, 0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \right)$ . Note that a special case of the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  for  $p = 1$  and  $m = 0$  is the class of meromorphically starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  and type  $\beta$  introduced earlier by Mogra et al. [12]. It is easy to check that for  $m = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$ , the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  reduces to the class  $p^*\alpha$ . We consider another subclass of  $\Sigma_p$  given by  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta) = \Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta) \cap \Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ .(1.15) The main object of this paper is to present a systematic investigation of the classes  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  and  $\Sigma_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ .

## II. MAIN RESULTS

**2 Properties of the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$**  We begin this section with a necessary and sufficient condition, in terms of subordination, for a function to be in the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ .

**Theorem 2.1:** A function  $f \in \Sigma_p$  is in the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  if and only if  $\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} < \frac{p(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta z-p}{1-\beta z}$  ( $z \in U$ ).(2.1)

**Proof:** Let  $f \in \Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ . Then, from (1.6), we

$$\text{have } \left| \frac{\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} + 2p(1-\eta)}{\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} + 4p(1-\eta) + 2p(\eta-1)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)} \right|^2 < \beta^2 \text{ or}$$

$$\frac{(1-\beta^2)}{(-4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)^2-1} \left| -\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} + 2p(\eta-1) \right|^2 - \frac{2[1+\beta^2(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)]}{(-4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)^2-1} \text{Re} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2p(1-\eta)} \cdot \frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right\} < \beta^2 \text{ if } \beta \neq 1,$$

$$\text{we have } \left| -\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} - 2p(1-\eta) \right|^2 - \frac{2[1+\beta^2(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{1-\beta^2} \text{Re} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2p(1-\eta)} \cdot \frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right\}$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{1+\beta^2(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)}{1-\beta^2} \right]^2 < \frac{-1-\beta^2(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)}{1-\beta^2} + \left[ \frac{1+\beta^2(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)}{1-\beta^2} \right]^2 \text{ That}$$

$$\text{is } \frac{\left| \frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} + 2p(\eta-1) \frac{1+\beta^2(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)}{1-\beta^2} \right|}{\frac{\beta[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{1-\beta^2}} < 1 \text{ (2.2)}$$

The above inequality shows that the values region of  $F(z) = -\frac{1}{2p(1-\eta)}$ .

$\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}$  is contained in the disk centered at  $\frac{1+\beta^2(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)}{1-\beta^2}$  and radius  $\frac{\beta[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{1-\beta^2}$ . It is easy to check that the function  $G(z) = \frac{1-(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta z}{1-\beta z}$  maps the unit disk  $U$

onto the disk  $\left| \frac{w - \frac{1+\beta^2(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)}{1-\beta^2}}{\frac{\beta[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{1-\beta^2}} \right| < 1$ . Since  $G$  is univalent and  $F(0) = G(0)$ ,  $F(U) \subset G(U)$ ,

we obtain that  $F(z) < G(z)$ , that is  $-\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} < 2p(1-\eta) \frac{1-(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta z}{1-\beta z}$  or  $\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} < \frac{-2p(1-\eta) + 2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta z}{1-\beta z}$ . Conversely,

suppose that subordination  $\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} < \frac{p(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta z-p}{1-\beta z}$  holds. Then

$$-\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} = 2p(1-\eta) \frac{1-(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta w(z)\beta z}{1-\beta w(z)\beta z}, \text{(2.3)}$$

where  $w \in \Omega$ . After simple calculations, from (2.3), we

$$\text{obtain } \left| \frac{\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} + 2p(1-\eta)}{\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} + 2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)} \right| < \beta \text{ which proves that}$$

$f \in \Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ . If  $\beta = 1$ , inequality (1.14)

$$\text{becomes } \left| \frac{\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} + 2p(\eta-1)}{\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} + 2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)} \right| < \beta \text{(2.4)}$$

From above we can easily obtain  $-\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} <$

$$2p(1-\eta) \frac{1-(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)z\beta z}{1-z} \text{ or } \frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} < \frac{-2p(1-\eta) + 2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)2p(1-\eta)z}{1-z\beta z}.$$

(2.5) Where,  $\left( 0 < \varrho \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \xi < 1, \tau \geq 1, 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, 0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \right)$ . Hence the proof. **Remark 2.1** Since  $\text{Re} \frac{1-(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta z}{1-\beta z} > \alpha$  it follows

that  $-\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{2p(1-\eta)} \cdot \frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right\} > \alpha$ . Hence  $D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) \in \Sigma_p^*(\alpha)$ .

**Structural formula for the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, 1, \eta)$**

**Theorem 2.2** A function  $f \in \Sigma_p$  is in the

class  $\sum_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}(\alpha, 1, \eta)$  if and only if there exists a probability measure  $\mu(x)$  on  $\partial U$  such

that  $f(z) = \left[ z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} \right] * \left\{ z^{-p} \cdot \exp \int_{|x|} 2p(1-\eta)[1 + \langle 4(\eta-1)\alpha + 1 \rangle] \times \log(1-xz) d\mu(x) \right\}$  Where  $(z \in U^*)$ . (2.6) The correspondence between  $\sum_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}(\alpha, 1, \eta)$  and the probability measure  $\mu(x)$  is one-to-one. Proof: In view of the subordination condition (2.5), we have

that  $f \in \int_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}(\alpha, 1, \eta)$  if and only if  $-\frac{1}{2p(1-\eta)} \cdot \frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \in P(\alpha)$ . From Lemma 1.2, we have  $-\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} =$

$$2p(1-\eta) \int_{|x|} \frac{1-(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)xz}{1-xz} d\mu(x)$$

Which is equivalent to

$\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} =$

$$\int_{|x|} \frac{-2p(1-\eta)+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)xz}{1-xz} d\mu(x)$$

Integrating this equality, we obtain  $z^p D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) = \exp \int_{|x|} 2p(1-\eta)[1 + 4\eta - 1\alpha + 1 \log 1 - xz] d\mu(x)$

$$D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) = z^{-p} \cdot \exp \int_{|x|} 2p(1-\eta)[1 + \langle 4(\eta-1)\alpha + 1 \rangle] \times \log(1-xz) d\mu(x) \quad (2.7)$$

$$D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) = (f * h)(z).$$

Where

$$h(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p) z^k$$

Using (2.10) and above two equations we obtained following result

$$f(z) = \left[ z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p) z^k \right] * \exp \int_{|x|} 2p(1-\eta)[1 + 4\eta - 1\alpha + 1 \log 1 - xz] d\mu(x).$$

Where  $(z \in U^*)$ .

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $f \in \sum_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}(\alpha, 1, \eta)$  Then  $z^p D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) < (1-z)^{2p(1-\eta)[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]}$   $(z \in U)$ . Proof: Let

$f \in \sum_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}(\alpha, 1, \eta)$  Then by (2.5) we have  $\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} <$

$$\frac{-2p(1-\eta)+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)z}{1-z}$$

Since the function  $\frac{-2p(1-\eta)+2p(1-\eta)(2(1-\eta)\alpha-1)z}{1-z}$  is univalent and convex in

$U$ , in view of Goluzin's result, we obtain  $\int_0^z \frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(\zeta)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(\zeta)} d\zeta <$

$$\int_0^z \frac{-2p(1-\eta)+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\zeta}{\zeta(1-\zeta)} d\zeta$$

Or  $\log D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) <$

$$\log \frac{[1-z]^{2p(1-\eta)[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]}}{(z)^p}$$

Thus, there exists a function  $w \in \Omega$

such that  $\log D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) <$

$$\log \frac{[1-w(z)]^{2p(1-\eta)[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]}}{w(z)^p},$$

which is equivalent

$$\text{to } z^p D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) < [1-z]^{2p(1-\eta)[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]}.$$

**Structural formula for the class  $\sum_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$**

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $f \in \sum_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ . Then  $f(z) =$

$$\left[ z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} \right] * \left[ z^{-p} \exp \left( 2p(1-\eta)[\beta + \langle 4(\eta-1)\beta\alpha + \beta \rangle] \int_0^z \frac{w(\zeta)}{[1-\beta w(\zeta)]} d\zeta \right) \right]$$

$$\left[ z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} \right] * \left\{ z^{-p} \cdot \exp \int_{|x|} 2p(1-\eta)[1 + \langle 4(\eta-1)\alpha + 1 \rangle] \times \log(1-xz) d\mu(x) \right\}$$

(2.8) Where  $(z \in U^*)$  and  $(w \in \Omega)$ .

Proof: Let  $f \in \sum_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  and since we have obtained

$$\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} < \frac{p(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta z^{-p}}{1-\beta z} \quad (z \in U), \therefore \frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} = \frac{p(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta w(z)-2p(1-\eta)}{1-\beta w(z)} \quad (z \in U) \quad (2.9)$$

From above equation, we

$$\text{have } \frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} + \frac{2p(1-\eta)}{z} = \frac{2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta w(z)-2p(1-\eta)}{1-\beta w(z)} \quad (z \in U^*).$$

Integrating above

$$\text{implies that } \frac{\log [z^p D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]}{2p(1-\eta)[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]} = \beta \int_0^z \frac{w(\zeta)}{[1-\beta w(\zeta)]} d\zeta. \quad (2.10)$$

$$D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) = (f * h)(z), \text{ where } h(z) =$$

$$z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p) z^k.$$

Using (2.10) and above two equations we obtained following result

$$f(z) = \left[ z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} \right] * \left[ z^{-p} \exp \left( 2p(1-\eta)[1 + \langle 4(\eta-1)\alpha + 1 \rangle] \beta \int_0^z \frac{w(\zeta)}{[1-\beta w(\zeta)]} d\zeta \right) \right]$$

**Theorem 2.5** If  $f \in \sum_p$  belongs to  $\sum_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ , then  $D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) *$

$$\left\{ \frac{-2p(1-\eta)z^{-p}+(p+1)z^{1-p}}{(1-z)^2} (1-\beta e^{i\theta}) + \frac{z^{-p}}{(1-z)} [2p(1-\eta) - 2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta e^{i\theta}] \right\}$$

$\neq 0$  (2.11) for  $(z \in U^*)$  and  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ .

Proof: Let  $f \in \sum_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ . Then, from (2.1) it

$$\text{follows } -\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \neq \frac{-2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta e^{i\theta} + p}{1-\beta e^{i\theta}}$$

$$(z \in U), \theta \in (0, 2\pi)$$

(2.12) It is easy to see that the condition (2.12) can be

$$\text{written as follows } (1-\beta e^{i\theta}) z [D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]^{r e^{i\theta}} D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) \neq 0 \quad (2.13)$$

$$\text{Note that } D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) = D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) * \left( z^{-p} + z^{1-p} + \dots + \frac{1}{z} + 1 + \frac{z}{1-z} \right) = D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) * \frac{z^{-p}}{1-z}$$

$$(2.14) \quad \text{And}$$

$$z [D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]' = D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) * (-pz^{-p} + (1-p)z^{1-p} - \dots - 1z + z(1-z)2 = D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) * (-pz^{-p} + 1 + pz - p(1-z)2)$$

$$(2.15) \quad \text{Where,}$$

$$\left( 0 < \varrho \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \xi < 1, \tau \geq 1, 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, 0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \right).$$

By using (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15), we

$$\text{obtained } -\frac{z[D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)]'}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \neq \frac{-2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\beta e^{i\theta} + p}{1-\beta e^{i\theta}}$$

$$(z \in U), \theta \in (0, 2\pi)$$

Coefficient estimates:

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $f$  of the form (1.6) is in the class  $\sum_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ . Then, for  $n \geq 3 - 2p(1 -$

$\eta) \frac{|a_n| (n+p) \Phi_n(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}{2p(1-\eta)[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]} \leq \beta$  (2.16) Where  $\Phi_n(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)$  is given by (1.11)

Proof: To prove the coefficient estimates (2.16) we use the method of Clunie and Koegh [4]. Let  $f \in \Sigma_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$

have  $\frac{z \left[ \frac{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right] + 2p(1-\eta)}{z \left[ \frac{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right] + 2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)}$  =  $zw(z)$  where  $w$  is

analytic in  $U$  and  $|w(z)| \leq \beta$  for  $z \in U$ .

Then  $z \left[ \frac{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right] + p D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) = zw(z) \left[ \frac{z \left( \frac{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right) + 2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1) D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{z \left( \frac{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right) + 2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1) D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right]$

(2.17)  $zw(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k z^k$ , making use of (1.10) and (2.17), we obtain  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} (k+p) \Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p) a_k z^{k+p} =$

$$\left\{ \frac{-p[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]}{\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} [k+p(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)] a_k z^{k+p}} \right\} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k z^k \quad (2.18)$$

Equating the coefficients in (2.18), we have

$$-p[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]w_n = \sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} \{k+p(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)\} a_k z^{k+p} - 2p(1-\eta)[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]w_n + \sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)] a_k z^{k+p} \quad (2.19)$$

From (2.19), we

obtain  $\left\{ \frac{-4p(1-\eta)(1-\alpha) + \sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)] a_k z^{k+p}}{\sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)] a_k z^{k+p}} \right\} \times \sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} c_k z^{k+p} = \sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)] a_k z^{k+p}$

(2.20) It is known that, if  $h(z) = \sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} h_n z^n$  is analytic in  $U$ , then for  $0 < r < 1$

$$1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |h_n|^2 r^{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \quad (2.21)$$

Since  $|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k z^k| < \beta|z| < \beta$ , Making use of (2.20) and (2.21), we

have  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} [(k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p))]^2 |a_k|^2 r^{2(k+p)} \leq \beta^2 \times \left\{ \frac{p^2 [1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]^2 + \sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)]^2}{\sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)]^2} |a_k|^2 r^{2(k+p)} \right\}$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} [k+2p(1-\eta)]^2 (\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p))^2 |a_k|^2 \leq 4(1-\eta)^2 p^2 \beta^2 [1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]^2 + \sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)]^2 (\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p))^2 |a_k|^2$$

The above inequality implies  $n^2 \Phi_{n-p}(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)^2 |a_{n-p}|^2 \leq 4(1-\eta)^2 p^2 \beta^2 [1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]^2 + \sum_{k=1-p}^{n-1} [k+2p(1-\eta)]^2 (\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p))^2 |a_k|^2$  Finally, replacing  $n-p$  by  $n$ , we have  $|a_n| \leq \frac{4p(1-\eta)\beta [1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]}{(n+2p(1-\eta))\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}$

Where,

$\left( \begin{array}{l} 0 < \rho \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \xi < 1, \tau \geq 1, 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, \\ 0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } m \in N \end{array} \right)$ . Thus, the proof of our theorem is completed. Theorem 2.6 enables us to obtain a distortion result for the class  $\Sigma_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ .

Corollary 2.1: If  $f \in \Sigma_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  is given by (1.6), then for  $0 < |z| = r < 1$   $|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r^p} + p\beta[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]$

$$\times r^{1-p} \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+p)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} |f'(z)| \geq \frac{1}{r^p} + 2p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]$$

$$\times r^{1-p} \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+2p(1-\eta))\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}$$

And  $|f'(z)| \geq \frac{2p(1-\eta)}{r^{p+1}} - 2p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)] \times r^{2-p} \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+2p(1-\eta))\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} |f'(z)| \leq \frac{2p(1-\eta)}{r^{p+1}} +$

$$4p(1-\eta)^2 \beta [1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)] \times r^{2-p} \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+2p(1-\eta))\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}$$

In the sequence we give a sufficient condition for a function to belong to the class  $\Sigma_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ .

Theorem 2.7 Let  $f \in \Sigma_p$  be given by (1.6). If for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $0 < \beta \leq 1$   $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \{k(\beta+1) + 2p(1-\eta)[1+\beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)] a_k\} \leq p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]$   $f \in \Sigma_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ .

Proof: Assume that  $f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ . We

have  $M = \left| z \left[ \frac{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right] + 2p(1-\eta) D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) \right| - \beta \left| z \left[ \frac{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right] + 2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1) D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z) \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} (k+2p(1-\eta))\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p) a_k z^k \right| - \beta \left| \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)] a_k z^k \right| + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)] a_k z^k$

For  $0 < |z| = r < 1$ , we obtain  $r^p M \leq \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} (k+p\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)) a_k r^{k+p}$

$$\beta \left[ -\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)\Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)] a_k r^{k+p} \right]$$

Or  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \{k(\beta+1) + 2p(1-\eta)[1+\beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)]\} \times \Phi_k a_k r^{k+p} - 2p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]$ . Since the above inequality holds for all  $r$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ), letting  $r \rightarrow 1$ , we

have  $M \leq \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(\beta+1) + 2p(1-\eta)}{[1+\beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)]} \right\} \times \Phi_k |a_k| - 2p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(\eta-1)\alpha+1)]$ . Making use of (2.22), we

obtain  $M \leq 0$ , that is  $\left| \frac{z \left[ \frac{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right] + 2p(1-\eta)}{z \left[ \frac{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right] + 2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)} \right| < \beta$

Consequently,  $f \in \Sigma_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ . Where,

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} 0 < \rho \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \xi < 1, \tau \geq 1, 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, \\ 0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } m \in N \end{array} \right)$$

### 3 Properties of the class $\Sigma_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$

We begin this section by proving that the condition (2.22) is both necessary and sufficient for a function to be in the class  $\Sigma_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $f \in \Sigma_p^+$ . Then  $f$  belongs to the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  if and only if  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \{k(\beta + 1) + 2p(1-\eta) + \beta(2(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)\} a_k \leq 2p(1-\eta)\beta + 4\eta - 1\alpha + 1$ .

**Proof:** In view of Theorem 2.7, we have to prove “only if” part. Assume that  $f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  ( $a_k \geq 0$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{M}$ ) in the

$$\text{class } \Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta). \text{ Then } \left| \frac{z \left[ \frac{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right] + 2p(1-\eta)}{z \left[ \frac{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right] + 2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1)} \right| =$$

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} (k+2p(1-\eta)) \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p) a_k r^{k+p}}{\frac{2p(1-\eta)[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{z^p} - \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)] \Phi_k a_k z^k} \right| < \beta$$

for all  $z \in U$ . Since  $\text{Re } z \leq |z|$  for all  $z$ , it follows that  $\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} (k+2p(1-\eta)) \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p) a_k r^{k+p}}{\frac{2p(1-\eta)[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{z^p} - \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} [k+2p(1-\eta)(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)] \Phi_k a_k z^k} \right\} < \beta$ . (3.1) We choose the values of  $z$  on the real axis such

that  $\frac{1}{2p(1-\eta)} \cdot \frac{z \left[ \frac{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \right]}{D_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}$  is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (3.1) and letting  $z \rightarrow l$  through positive values, we obtain  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} (k+2p(1-\eta)) \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p) a_k \leq 2p(1-\eta)\beta + 1 + (4(1-\eta)\alpha + 1) - \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \beta [k + 2p(1-\eta)4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1] \Phi_k a_k$

Or  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \{k(\beta + 1) + 2p(1-\eta)[1 + \beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1)] \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)\} a_k \leq 2p(1-\eta)\beta + 4\eta - 1\alpha + 1$ .

Where,  $\left( \begin{matrix} 0 < \varrho \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \xi < 1, \tau \geq 1, 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, \\ 0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \end{matrix} \right)$ . Hence, the result follows.

**Corollary 3.1:** Iff  $f \in \Sigma_p^+$  given by (1.7) is in the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  then  $a_n \leq \frac{2p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{\{n(\beta+1)+2p(1-\eta)[1+\beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)]\} \Phi_n(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}$ ,  $n \geq 1 - 2p(1-\eta)$ . (3.2) with equality for the functions of the form  $f_n(z) =$

$$\frac{1}{z^p} - \frac{2p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{\{n(\beta+1)+2p(1-\eta)[1+\beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)]\} \Phi_n(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} z^n$$

Coefficient estimates obtained in Corollary 3.1 enables us to give a distortion result for the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** If  $f \in \Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ , then for  $0 < |z| = r < 1$   $|f(z)| \geq \frac{1}{z^p} - \frac{2p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{\{\beta[1-2p(1-\eta)+(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)2p(1-\eta)]+1\} \Phi_{1-p}(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} r^{1-p}$  And  $|f(z)| \geq \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{2p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{\{\beta[1-2p(1-\eta)+(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)2p(1-\eta)]+1\} \Phi_{1-p}(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} r^{1-p}$

where equality holds for the function  $f_p(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{2p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{\{\beta[1-2p(1-\eta)+(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)2p(1-\eta)]+1\} \Phi_{1-p}(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} z^{1-p}$  at  $z = ir, r$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $f \in \Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ , Making use of inequality  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k \leq$

$$\frac{2p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}{\{\beta[1-2p(1-\eta)+(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)2p(1-\eta)]+1\} \Phi_{1-p}(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} \quad (3.3)$$

which follows easily from Theorem 3.1, the proof is trivial. Now, we prove that the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  is closed under convolution.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $h(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} c_k z^k$  be analytic in  $U^*$  and  $0 \leq c_k \leq 1$ . If  $f$  given by (1.7) is in the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  then  $f * h$  is also in the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ .

**Proof:** Since  $f \in \Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ , then by Theorem 3.1, we have  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \{k(\beta + 1) + 2p(1-\eta) + \beta(2(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)\} a_k \leq 2p(1-\eta)\beta + 4\eta - 1\alpha + 1$ . In view of the above inequality and the fact that  $(f * h)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k c_k z^k$  we obtain  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \{k(\beta + 1) + 2p(1-\eta)[1 + \beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)]\} a_k c_k \leq \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \{k(\beta + 1) + 2p(1-\eta)[1 + \beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) \Phi_k(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)]\} a_k c_k \leq 2p(1-\eta)\beta + 4\eta - 1\alpha + 1$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, the result follows. The next result involves an integral operator which was investigated in many papers [2], [6], [20].

**Theorem 3.4** If  $f \in \Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ , then the integral operator  $F_{c,p}(z) = \frac{c}{z^{p+c}} \int_0^z t^{c+2p(1-\eta)+1} f(t) dt$ ,  $c > 0$ . It is also in the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ .

**Proof:** It is easy to check that  $F_{c,p}(z) = f(z) * \left( z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{c}{c+2p(1-\eta)+k} z^k \right)$ .

Since  $0 < \frac{c}{c+2p(1-\eta)+k} \leq 1$ , by Theorem 3.3, the proof is trivial. Where,  $\left( \begin{matrix} 0 < \varrho \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \xi < 1, 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, \tau \geq 1, \\ 0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \end{matrix} \right)$ .

#### 4 Neighborhoods and partial sums

Following earlier investigations on the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions by Goodman [7], Ruschweyh [17] and more recently by Liu and Srivastava [9], [10], Liu [11], Altinta, s et al. [1], Orhan and Kamali [14], Srivastava and Orhan [19], Orhan [15], Deniz and Orhan [5] and Aouf [3], we define the  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhood of a function  $f \in \Sigma_p$  of the form (1.6) as follows.

**Definition 4.1** For  $\delta = \frac{2(\tau-\xi)^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho}(\tau-\xi)(1-\varrho^2)}{1+2(\tau-\xi)^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho}(\tau-\xi)(1-\varrho^2)} > 0$  and nonnegative sequence  $S = \{s_k\}_{k=1-p}^{\infty}$  Where  $s_k = \frac{\{\beta[1-2p(1-\eta)+(4(1-\eta)\alpha-1)2p(1-\eta)]+1\} \Phi_{1-p}(\varrho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}{2p(1-\eta)\beta[1+(4(1-\eta)\alpha+1)]}$

(4.1)  $(k \geq 1 - p, p \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1)$ . The  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhood of a function  $f \in \Sigma_p$  of the form (1.6) is defined

$$\text{by } N_{\delta}(f) = \left\{ g \in \Sigma_p : g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} s_k |b_k - a_k| \leq \delta \right\}$$

(4.2) For  $s_k = k$ , Definition 1.4 corresponds to the  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhood considered by Ruschweyh [17]. Making use of Definition 4.1, we prove the first result on  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhood of the class  $\Sigma_{\varrho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ .

Theorem 4.1 Let  $f \in \sum_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  be given by (1.6). If  $f$  satisfies  $[f(z) + \varepsilon z^p](1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \in \sum_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$

$$(4.3) (\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, |\varepsilon| < \delta, \delta \geq 0)$$

then  $N_\delta(f) \subset \sum_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$

(4.4)

Proof: It is not difficult to see that a function  $f \in \sum_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  if and only

$$\text{if } \frac{z [D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)] + 2p(1-\eta) D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)}{\beta z [D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)] + \beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) 2p(1-\eta) D_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi p}^m f(z)} \neq \sigma \quad (4.5)$$

( $z \in U, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}, |\sigma| = 1$ ) which is equivalent

$$\text{to } \frac{(f^*h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq 0 \quad (z \in U). \quad (4.6)$$

Where for convenience,  $h(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} c_k z^k = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{\{\beta\sigma [k + (4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) 2p(1-\eta)] - (k + 2p(1-\eta))\} \Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}{2p(1-\eta)\beta [1 + (4(\eta - 1)\alpha + 1)\sigma]} z^k$

(4.7) From (4.7) it follows

$$\text{that } |c_k| = \left| \frac{\{\beta\sigma [k + (4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) 2p(1-\eta)] - (k + 2p(1-\eta))\} \Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}{2p(1-\eta)\beta [1 + (4(\eta - 1)\alpha + 1)\sigma]} \right| \leq \frac{\{\beta\sigma [k + (4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) 2p(1-\eta)] + (k + 2p(1-\eta))\} \Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}{2p(1-\eta)\beta [1 + (4(\eta - 1)\alpha + 1)\sigma]} \quad (k \geq 1 - p, p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (4.8)$$

Furthermore, under the hypotheses (4.3), using (4.6) we obtain the following

assertions:  $\frac{|f(z) + \varepsilon z^p| (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} |h(z)|}{z^{-p}} \neq 0$ . Or  $\frac{(f^*h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq \varepsilon$  ( $z \in U$ ), which is equivalent  $\left| \frac{(f^*h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| \geq \delta$  (4.9) Now, if

we let  $g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in N_\delta(f)$ , then we

$$\text{have } \left| \frac{|f(z) - g(z)| * |z|}{z^{-p}} \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} (a_k - b_k) z^{p+k} \right| \leq \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{\{\beta [k + (4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) 2p(1-\eta)] + (k + 2p(1-\eta))\} \Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}{2p(1-\eta)\beta [1 + (4(\eta - 1)\alpha + 1)]} |a_k - b_k| z^{p+k} < \delta z \in U, k \geq 1 - p, p \in \mathbb{N}, \delta > 0.$$

Where,

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} 0 < \rho \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \xi < 1, 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1 \\ \tau \geq 1, 0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right). \text{ Thus,}$$

for any complex number  $\sigma$  with  $|\sigma| = 1$ , we have  $\frac{(g^*h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq 0$

( $z \in U$ ) which implies  $g \in \sum_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ . The

proof of the theorem is completed. In the sequence we give the definition of  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhood of a function  $f \in \sum_p^+$  of the form (1.7).

Definition 4.2 For  $\delta > 0$  and a non-negative sequence  $S = \{s_k\}_{k=1-p}^{\infty}$  where  $s_k = \frac{\{k(\beta + 1) + 2p(1-\eta)[1 + \beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1)]\} \Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}{2p(1-\eta)\beta [1 + (4(\eta - 1)\alpha + 1)]}$  ( $k \geq 1 - p, p \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1$ ) The  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhood of a function

$f \in \sum_p^+$  of the form (1.7) is defined

by  $N_\delta(f) = \left\{ g \in \sum_p^+ : g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \right\}$  (4.10) We have the

following result on  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhood of the function  $f \in \sum_p^+$  (1.7) is in the class  $\sum_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ . Then  $N_\delta(f) \subset \sum_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ , (4.11) Where  $\delta = \frac{2(\tau - \xi)(\tau - \zeta) + \frac{(\tau - \xi) - \rho^2(\tau - \zeta)}{\rho}}{1 + 2(\tau - \xi)(\tau - \zeta) + \frac{(\tau - \xi) - \rho^2(\tau - \zeta)}{\rho}}$ .

The result is the best possible in the sense that  $\delta$  cannot be increased.

Proof: For a function  $f \in \sum_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  of the form (1.7),

Theorem 3.1 immediately

yields

$$\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{\{k(\beta + 1) + 2p(1-\eta)[1 + \beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1)]\} \Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}{2p(1-\eta)\beta [1 + (4(\eta - 1)\alpha + 1)]} a_k \leq \frac{1}{\Phi_{1-p}(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, 1, p)} \quad (4.12)$$

Let

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in N_\delta(f)$$

with

$$\delta = \frac{2(\tau - \xi)(\tau - \zeta) + \frac{(\tau - \xi) - \rho^2(\tau - \zeta)}{\rho}}{1 + 2(\tau - \xi)(\tau - \zeta) + \frac{(\tau - \xi) - \rho^2(\tau - \zeta)}{\rho}} > 0. \text{ From the condition (4.10)}$$

we find that  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} s_k |b_k - a_k| \leq \delta$

(4.13) Using (4.12) and (4.13), we

obtain  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} s_k b_k \leq \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} s_k a_k + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} s_k |b_k - a_k| \leq \frac{1}{\Phi_{1-p}(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, 1, p)} + \delta = 1$  Thus, in view of Theorem 3.1, we get

$g \in \sum_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ . To prove the sharpness of the assertion of the theorem, we consider the functions  $f \in \sum_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$  and  $f \in \sum_p^+$  given

by  $f(z) =$

$$z^{-p} + \frac{2p(1-\eta)\beta [1 + (4(\eta - 1)\alpha + 1)]}{\{\beta [1 - 2p(1-\eta) + (4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) 2p(1-\eta)] + 1\} \Phi_{1-p}(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} z^{1-p} \quad (4.14)$$

And  $f(z) =$

$$z^{-p} + \left[ \frac{2p(1-\eta)\beta [1 + (4(\eta - 1)\alpha + 1)]}{\{\beta [1 - 2p(1-\eta) + (4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) 2p(1-\eta)] + 1\} \Phi_{1-p}(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} + \frac{2p(1-\eta)\beta [1 + (4(\eta - 1)\alpha + 1)]}{\{\beta [1 - 2p(1-\eta) + (4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1) 2p(1-\eta)] + 1\} \Phi_{1-p}(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)} \right] z^{1-p} \quad (4.15)$$

Where,

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} 0 < \rho \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, 0 \leq \xi < 1, \tau \geq 1, \\ 0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right)$$

Hence the function  $g \in N_\delta(f)$  but according to Theorem 3.1,  $g \in \sum_{\rho\tau\zeta\xi mp}^+(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ . Consequently, the proof of our theorem is completed. Next, we investigate the ratio of real parts of functions of the form (1.6) and their sequences of partial sums defined

by  $k_m(z) =$

$$\begin{cases} z^{-p}, & m = 1, 2, \dots, -p \\ z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{m-1} a_k z^k & m = 1 - p, 2 - p, \dots \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

We also determine sharp

lower bounds for  $Re \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{k_m(z)} \right\}$  and  $Re \left\{ \frac{k_m(z)}{f(z)} \right\}$ .

Theorem 4.3 Let  $f \in \sum_p^+$  be given by (1.6) and let  $k_m(z)$  be

given by (4.16). Suppose that  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \theta_k |a_k| \leq 1$

(4.17) Where  $\theta_k =$

$$\frac{\{k(\beta + 1) + 2p(1-\eta)[1 + \beta(4(1-\eta)\alpha - 1)]\} \Phi_k(\rho, \tau, \zeta, \xi, m, p)}{2p(1-\eta)\beta [1 + (4(\eta - 1)\alpha + 1)]}$$

Then, for  $m \geq 1 - p$ , we have  $Re \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{k_m(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{\theta_m}$

$$(4.18) \text{ And } Re \left\{ \frac{k_m(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{\theta_m}{1 + \theta_m}$$

(4.19) The results are sharp for each  $m \geq 1 - p$

with the extremal function given by  $f(z) = z^{-p} - \frac{1}{\theta_m} z^m$

$$(4.20)$$

Proof:

Under the hypotheses of the theorem, we can see from (4.17) that  $\theta_{k+1} > \theta_k > 1$  ( $k > 1 - p$ ). Therefore, by

using hypotheses (4.17) again, we have  $\sum_{k=1-p}^{m-1} |a_k| +$

$$\theta_m \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \theta_k |a_k| \leq 1.$$

$$(4.21) \text{ Let } w(z) = \theta_m \left[ \frac{f(z)}{k_m(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\theta_m}\right) \right] =$$

$$1 + \frac{\theta_m \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}}{1 + \sum_{k=1-p}^{m-1} a_k z^{k+p}} \quad (4.22) \text{ Applying}$$

(4.21) and (4.22), we

$$\text{find } \left| \frac{w(z)-1}{w(z)+1} \right| = \left| \frac{\theta_m \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}}{2 + 2 \sum_{k=1-p}^{m-1} a_k z^{k+p} + \theta_m \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}} \right| \leq$$

$$\frac{\theta_m \sum_{k=1-p}^{m-1} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=1-p}^{m-1} |a_k| - \theta_m \sum_{k=1-p}^{m-1} |a_k|} \leq 1 \quad (z \in U) \quad (4.23) \text{ From}$$

above it is clear that  $\text{Re } w(z) > 0$ , ( $z \in U$ ). From (4.22), we immediately obtain (4.18). To prove that the function  $f$  defined by (4.20) gives sharp result, we can see that for  $z \rightarrow 1 - \frac{f(z)}{k_m(z)} = 1 - \frac{1}{\theta_m} z^m \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{\theta_m}$  which shows that the bound in (4.18) is the best possible. Similarly, if we let  $\Phi_z =$

$$(1 + \theta_m) \left[ \frac{k_m(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{\theta_m}{1 + \theta_m} \right]$$

$$= 1 - \frac{(1 + \theta_m) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}}{1 + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}} \quad (4.24) \text{ and making use of (4.21), we}$$

$$\text{find that } \left| \frac{\Phi(z)-1}{\Phi(z)+1} \right| = \left| \frac{-(1 + \theta_m) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}}{2 + 2 \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p} - (1 + \theta_m) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}} \right| \leq$$

$$\frac{(1 + \theta_m) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} |a_k| + (1 + \theta_m) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_k|} \leq 1 \quad (4.25)$$

Where,

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} 0 < \rho \leq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \xi \leq 1, 0 \leq \zeta < 1, \tau \geq 1, \\ 0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \text{ and } m \in N \end{array} \right).$$

which leads immediately to the assertion (4.19) of the theorem. The bound in (4.19) is sharp for each  $m \geq 1-p$ , with the extremal function  $f$  given by (4.20). The proof of the theorem is now completed.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. Altıntaş, O. Ozkan, H. M. Srivastava, "Neighborhoods of a certain family of multivalent functions with negative coefficients", *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 47(2004), 1667-1672.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, H. M. Hossen, "New criteria for meromorphic p-valent starlike functions", *Tsukuba J. Math.*, 17(1993), 481-486.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, "Certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with generalized hypergeometric function", *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 55(2008), 494-550.
- [4] J. Clunie, F. R. Keogh, "on starlike and Schlicht functions", *J. London Math. Soc.*, 35(1960), 229-233.
- [5] E. Deniz, H. Orhan, "Some properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions with negative coefficients by using generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator", *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 60(3)(2010), 699-713.
- [6] Y. Dingdong, "on a class of meromorphic starlike multivalent functions", *Bull. Institute Math. Acad. Sinica*, 24(1996), 151-157.
- [7] A. W. Goodman, "Univalent functions and nonanalytic curve", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(1957), 598-601.
- [8] G. M. Goluzin, "on the majorization principle in function theory", *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 42(1935), 647-650.21
- [9] J. -L. Liu, H. M. Srivastava, "A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions", *J. Math. Anal Appl.*, 259(2001), 566-581.
- [10] J. L. Liu, H. M. Srivastava, "Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function", *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 39(2004), 21-34.
- [11] J. L. Liu, "Properties of some families of meromorphic p-valent functions", *Math. Japon*, 52(3) (2000), 425-434.
- [12] M. L. Mogra, T. R. Reddy, O. P. Juneja, "Meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 32(1985), 161-176.
- [13] Ch. Pommerenke, "Univalent functions", *Vandenhoek and Ruprecht*, Gottingen, 1975.

- [14] H. Orhan, M. Kamali, "Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients", *Acta Math. Acad. Paed. Nyiregyhaziensis*, 21(2005), 55-61.
- [15] H. Orhan, "Neighborhoods of a certain class of p-valent functions with negative coefficients defined by using a differential operator", *Math. Ineq. Appl.*, 12(2) (2009), 335-349.
- [16] D. Raducanu, H. Orhan, E. Deniz, "on a subclass of meromorphic functions defined by a differential operator", Submitted.
- [17] St. Ruscheweyh, "Neighborhoods of univalent functions", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81(1981), 521-527.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava, J. Patel, "Applications of differential subordination to a certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions", *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 6(3) Art. 88(2005).
- [19] H. M. Srivastava, H. Orhan, "Coefficient inequalities and inclusion relation for some families of analytic and multivalent functions", *Appl. Math. Letters*, 20(2007), 686-691.
- [20] B. A. Uralegaddi, C. Somanatha, "New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 43(1991), 137-140.
- [21] Halit Orhan, Dorina Raducanu and Erhan Deniz, "Subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions defined by a differential operator", arXiv: 1008.4691v1 [math.CV] 2010.

#### AUTHORS

**First Author-** Dr. S. M. Khairnar  
Professor and Head and Dean (R & D),  
MIT's Maharashtra Academy of Engineering,  
Alandi, Pune-412105.  
[Smkhairnar2007@gmail.com](mailto:Smkhairnar2007@gmail.com)

**Second Author-** R. A. Sukne  
Assistant Professor in Mathematics,  
Dilkap Research Institute of Engineering  
& Management Studies. Neral, Tal. Karjat,  
Dist. Raigad.  
[rasukne@gmail.com](mailto:rasukne@gmail.com)