Assessment of forest density using geospatial techniques of a tropical protected area
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Abstract- The management, development and conservation of a protected area require vast knowledge of forest resources, its distribution and utilization pattern by its flora and fauna. Application of remote sensing and GIS as a tool, has assumed immense significance in assessment of these information. These applications are now widely used in conservation and management of protected areas across the globe. Forest canopy density is one of the important parameters in the management of a forest. And advancement of geospatial technologies provides a method to evaluate forest cover in the inaccessible and remote areas. In this study, the forest canopy density of Gir National Park and Sanctuary a tropical forest area was evaluated using remote sensing and GIS. The satellite imagery was utilized to generate spatial data of forest density of this protected area. Approximately 63.5 percent of forest area was found as covered with forest canopy density of less than 10–40%, while 35.89 percent forest area was found with the density class of 40 to more than 70%. These techniques are very much cost effective; provide information at a satisfactory accuracy level which will be beneficial for the biodiversity management and planning specially the forestry sector.
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I. INTRODUCTION

An understanding of the relationship between spatial distribution of animals and their habitats plays an important role in conservation and management of a protected area (Leis & Norris, 2003). Remote sensing is a technology of gathering information about objects without being in physical contact with them while using different wavelength regions of electromagnetic spectrum (Kushwaha, 2005). All the remote sensing systems, capture radiations of different wavelengths reflected by the earth surface features and record it either directly on the film as in case of aerial photography or on a digital medium such as tape; which further can be used to generate the image. Remote sensing and Geographic Information System (GIS) can be used as tool for obtaining information about the quality and status of a protected area and its utilization pattern by various wildlife species. These informations are very crucial while managing a protected area for the conservation of wildlife species. Remote sensing and GIS also help in monitoring areas of land for their suitability to wild species, through integration of various habitat variables of both spatial and non-spatial nature (Davis et al., 1990). The outputs of such systems are usually simple, easily understandable and can be used for the assessment of environmental impacts or prioritization of conservation efforts in a timely and cost-effective manner (Kushwaha et al., 2004).

Tropical forests are usually being rich in biodiversity with a variety of species richness and diversity (Alwyn & Calaway, 1987; Jacobs, 1988). These areas are also recognized as dense forests due to the high density of vegetation formed by clumped distribution of individuals and also enormously tangled undergrowth of different herbs, shrubs and climbers. Detailed and accurate maps of these forests condition and structure are required for assessment of the floral and faunal diversity as well as for sustainable forest management (Blodgett et al., 2000). Assessment of forest density and its evaluation is one of the important aspects of management and long term monitoring of protected area for meaningful biodiversity conservation. It is also helpful in planning and implementation of forest rehabilitation programs (Rikimaru et al., 2002). It has been suggested that canopy density is an essential parameter to assess and analyze the factors affecting forest growth, its regeneration and to ensure on management initiatives outputs (Chauhan, 2004). The traditional way of ground monitoring for these estimation can be costly and time consuming, whilst the use of GIS and remote sensing, as a platform for estimating the density of protected areas, may speed up the process and provide for a more efficient option (Blodgett et al., 2000). Geospatial technologies including remote sensing, GIS and Global Positioning System (GPS) provide factual data and information on quality and forest canopy density status of forest area (Schamberger & Krohn, 1982).

Various species requires different resources in terms of food, shelter and mate. Some species need dense forest cover while some need open or degraded habitat, which also governs the resource partitioning between the different species for their survival. The degree of forest canopy cover, a species required in their habitat, is crucial for suitability of the area for a particular species. The higher the resources, the better are the chances for the survival of species. Development in geospatial technologies provides a method to evaluate forest cover in the inaccessible and remote areas. The satellite remote sensing is best suited for analysis of canopy closures, as elucidated by Roy et al. (1994).
The concept of habitat analysis started with the development of habitat evaluation procedure by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Evaluation of wildlife habitats based on ecological principles is well-established in USA in connection with environmental impact assessments, where the aim was to ensure that appropriate consideration is given to the development planning process (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1981). At the same time, there has been considerable pressure for the use of standardized procedures for habitat evaluation, both for economical as well as ecological reasons, among various organizations and professionals. Many authors have used remotely sensed data along with other ecological parameters to assess the habitat in many areas (Bright, 1984; Kelly, 1987; Lyon, 1983; Parihar et al., 1986; Roy et al., 1995; Alam, 2011).

II. STUDY AREA

This study was carried out in the Gir National Park and Sanctuary (GNPS), located in Gujarat province of India (Fig. 1). GNPS is well-known for only wild population of Asiatic lion (Panthera leo persica) in the world. The total area of GNPS is 1412.13 km² of which the National Park comprises of 258.71 km² surrounded by 1153.41 km² of Sanctuary. It also supports a rich biodiversity viz., 606 recorded flowering plant species, 39 mammal species, 37 reptiles, 300 species of birds and more than 2000 species of insects (Singh & Kamboj, 1996; Alam, 2011; Meena & Kumar, 2012). Apart from the Asiatic lion, other carnivores such as leopard (Panthera pardus), jungle cat (Felis chaus), striped hyena (Hyaena hyaena), jackal (Canis aureus), Indian fox (Vulpes benghalensis), ratel (Mellivora capensis), mongoose (Herpestes edwardsi), and rusty spotted cat (Prionailurus rubiginosus) are found in GNPS. Major herbivores include chital (Axis axis), sambar (Cervus unicolor), nilgai ( Boselaphus tragocamelus), four horned antelope (Tetracerus quadricornis), langur (Presbytis entellus) and chinkara (Gazella gazella). The GNPS falls under the type 5A/Cla, i.e., very dry teak forest (Champion & Seth, 1968). The area is comprised of low hills of volcanic origin with an altitudinal range of 83-524 m.

GNPS (20°40' to 21°50' N to 70°50' to 71°15' E), lies around 40 km away from the coast in the Kathiawar or Saurashtra peninsula of Gujarat state of India. It stretches over a length of about 70 km from west to east and 40 km from north to south. The sanctuary is narrowest in the east and west. Major portion of Gir forest falls in Junagadhand Gir-Somnath districts, and the remaining in Amreli district. Three different management units can be recognized in GNPS. They are sanctuary west, national park and sanctuary east. These management units differ in terms of vegetation, topography, rainfall and degradation. The climatic condition of Gir is generally hot with an erratic monsoon. Seasons in Gir are fairly distinct. June through September is monsoon, followed by a post monsoon season. Late November to early March is winter season. It is followed by a hot dry season from mid March to mid June. The maximum and minimum temperature is 45°C in summer and 7°C in winter. Rainfall is erratic and unevenly distributed. The maximum and minimum annual rainfall being 1866 mm and 199 mm respectively, average being 980 mm. There are 45 small settlements known as Nesses are occupied by Maldharies (pastoral grazers) in some part of Gir Sanctuary.

The most important aspect of Gir forest is that it has become a very stable ecosystem with tremendous regenerating, self supporting and self sustaining capacity due to its rich and diverse fauna and flora. This ecosystem forms a part of south central highlands in Saurashtra region and is a catchment of important rivers like Shetrunji, Hiran, Saraswati, Shingoda, Machhundri, Raval and Datardi. The forest of GNPS helps in recharge the water table due to infiltration and percolation in conjunction with soil and moisture conservation. The continuous forest covers over a large tract also exert significant moderating effect on the climate in the region. The GNPS also helps in reducing the salinity problem on the southern coast of Saurashtra. Thus, GNPS is a boon to farmers inhabiting the peripheral environs by ensuring sustained agricultural and horticultural production to them.

![Figure 1 Location map of Gir National Park and Sanctuary.](www.ijsrp.org)
III. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The study was divided into two stages; the first stage was field survey (2007 to 2009), collection and processing of collateral and satellite data to create spatial data base on forest canopy density, while the second stage was geospatial analysis (Fig. 2). Random stratified vegetation sampling was carried out during the research project. Each sampling point was marked with GPS and data on canopy cover was recorded throughout the study area. In this study, computer software ERDAS Imagine 9.1, ArcGIS 9.3, ArcView 3.2a were used for data processing and GIS analysis.

Satellite data of Landsat-TM dated 15th May 2009, path-row: 149-45 was downloaded from http://glovis.usgs.gov/. A map with various attributes of GNPS with high resolution was co-registered using geometric correction techniques. This data was re-projected into Universe Transverse Mercator (UTM) World Geodetic System-84 (WGS 84) projection for further analysis. A study Area of Interest (AOI) was built around the Gir Sanctuary boundary and co-registered with sub-pixel accuracy for accurate estimation of areas. The geo-coded FCC of Landsat-TM dated 15th May 2009 (Fig. 3) was digitally analyzed. The forest canopy density of the area was prepared through digital analysis of satellite data using Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) (Richardson & Wiegand, 1977; Huete, 1988; Crippen, 1990; Weier & Herring, 2000). The NDVI values were grouped into four canopy density classes viz., <10% (non-forest), 10–40% (open), 40–70% (medium) and >70% (dense). Image elements like tone, texture, shape, size, shadow, control location and association were evaluated for this purpose. These data was validated by the ground truthing and expert field experience. NDVI is a method of measuring and mapping the density of green vegetation. For its measurement satellite sensors used that observe the distinct wavelengths of visible and near-infrared sunlight which is absorbed and reflected by the plants, then the ratio of visible and near-infrared light reflected back up to the sensor is calculated. The ratio gives a number from minus one (−1) to plus one (+1). An NDVI value of zero means no green vegetation and close to +1 (0.8–0.9) indicates the highest possible density of green leaves (Weier & Herring, 2000). The ‘normalized difference vegetation index’ is calculated by the formula: NDVI = (IR−R)/(IR + R), where IR = infrared and R = red. Values for forest canopy density were recorded and specified as categorical variables.

![Figure 2 Paradigm of the study.](image)

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study highlights that extensive field work, sound database was utilized in predicting the forest canopy density of a protected area with acceptable accuracy. Further, the study revealed that GNPS supports diversified wildlife habitats. The forest density of the area is fairly good for biodiversity of GNPS. Result reveled that approximately 9.16 % of forest area is covered by forest canopy density of more than 70%, while 26.73 % with the density class of 40–70 %, 49.96 % with the density class of 10–40% and 13.60 % with the density class of less than 10 % (Table 1). Asiatic lion the endangered and flagship species of GNPS (Alam, 2011; Meena & Kumar, 2012), and other major prey species preferred to live in open forest habitat. And availability of more than 46.96 percent area as open forest supports the good quantity of habitat for both prey and predators. The high forest density areas are also adequately present to provide shelter and food availability to wild animals in the scaries summer periods. GNPS falls in semi-arid zone of Gujarat state in India, is one of the well protected forests, having open and thorn forests that provide favorable resources for the survival and protected safe refuge for the shelter with adequate forest cover, which is the factor supporting the high density of faunal diversity in the GNPS (Meena & Kumar, 2012).
It is suggested that further investigations will be beneficial focusing forest type wise density analysis whilst documenting the entire forest area only. The present analysis supports the significant result of the conservation and management work carried out in the GNPS since last many decades, improved the forest density of GNPS. The present result will be helpful to the managers for considering different conservation zones of priorities for management. There is a change in vegetation density and species composition after 1972 in GNPS due to regeneration and good growth of teak (*Tectona grandis*) coppice crop. The assessment of forest cover based on visual and digital interpretation of the satellite data, dense forest areas with crown density of 40% and above recorded in Amreli and Junagadh districts were 107 km² and 1028 km², respectively and major part of dense forest in two districts fall in GNPS (Meena & Kumar, 2012). Forest canopy density of GNPS was analyzed in this study using satellite data using geospatial techniques also validate the previous information (Jadav et al., 2002).

Forest canopy density is one of the important parameters in the management of a forest or protected area (Rikimaru et al., 2002). It has been suggested that canopy density is an essential parameter to assess and analyze the factors affecting forest growth, its regeneration and to keep a check on management initiatives in areas of plantations and regeneration status (Chauhan, 2004). The multi-spectral earth observation data with appropriate ground measurements can suitably extrapolate across a large geographic region, and this has significant advantages for forest management, especially in areas where forests are in remote locations or are inaccessible (Blodgett et al. 2000). Also, the geospatial techniques to measure the forest canopy density have been illustrated from different regions using a wide array of spatial and spectral resolutions (Roy et al., 1990& 1996; Rikimaru & Miyatake, 1997; Katul & Albertson, 1998; Harding et al., 2001; Marshall et al., 2002; Singh et al., 2003).

**Table 1** Different category of forest canopy density in Gir National Park and Sanctuary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Area in km²</th>
<th>Percentage area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10%</td>
<td>191.98</td>
<td>13.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 40%</td>
<td>705.54</td>
<td>49.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 70%</td>
<td>377.42</td>
<td>26.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 70%</td>
<td>129.32</td>
<td>9.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbody</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1412.13</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Advancement in geospatial technologies provides a medium to evaluate forest cover in the inaccessible and remote areas. This can also enable a multi-scalar assessment of the associated ecosystem parameters (forest canopy density, habitat diversity, etc.). The satellite remote sensing is best suited for analysis of forest canopy density (Roy et al., 1994) whilst modelling the biophysical spectral response for forest density stratification especially in dry deciduous forests.

The approach for measuring forest density is valuable whilst managing fragile and unique ecosystems of a protected area (Prasad et al., 2009). In this direction, the thematic information extracted and further fitted into ecological models would highlight and define the fundamental parameters governing biodiversity viz. fragmentation, disturbance and biological richness (Chauhan, 2004; Prasad, 2006). Moreover, the density stratification provides a baseline study to improvise the existing conservation policies and accordingly prioritizing the conservation needs of an area. Proper management operations that can derive basis from the present analysis can facilitate the forest managers, conservationists and scientists to maintain the diversity as well as density of forest areas.
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